## ALEJANDRO PÉREZ CARBALLO

usc school of philosophy • mudd hall of philosophy • 3709 trousdale parkway • los angeles, ca 90089 office: (213) 740 4084 • Cell: (617) 447 8905 • EMAIL: aperezca@usc.edu • web: http://perezcarballo.org

AOS Metaphysics, Philosophy of Mind & Language, Philosophy of Mathematics

AOC Logic, Metaethics, Philosophy of Science, Formal Semantics & Pragmatics

**Employment** *University of Southern California* (2011 – present)

Provost Post-Doctoral Scholar in the Humanities, School of Philosophy

*University of Sydney* (May 2012 – August 2012) Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Center for Time

**Education** *Massachusetts Institute of Technology* (2005 – 2011)

PhD in Philosophy, with a minor in Linguistics Dissertation: *Rationality without representation* 

Committee: Robert Stalnaker, Stephen Yablo (co-chairs); Alex Byrne, Agustín Rayo

*Université de Paris 7* (2004 – 2005)

Master (M2) in Logic and Foundations of Computer Science (track: Mathematical Logic)

Thesis: Large cardinals and regularity properties of sets of reals in  $L(\mathbb{R})$ 

Advisor: Stevo Todorčević

Mention très bien (highest honors)

*Institut d'Histoire et Philosophie des Sciences (IHPST) (2004 – 2005)* 

Enrolled in the DEA in Philosophy of Science

*Université de Paris* 1 (2002 – 2004)

*Maîtrise* in Philosophy (*mention très bien*)

Thesis: Indexicality and Belief

Advisor: François Récanati (Institut Jean Nicod)

Maîtrise in Logic (mention très bien) Licence in Logic (mention très bien)

*Université de Paris 4* (2001 – 2003) DEUG in Musicology (*mention bien*)

Universidad Simón Bolívar (1998 – 2001)

Enrolled in a 5 year undergraduate program (Licenciatura) in Pure Mathematics

Awards MIT Kenan Sahin Presidential Fellowship (2005)

## Presentations

Comments on B. Molyneux, 'On the Infinite Richness of Seeming and its Relevance to the Hard Problem of Consciousness' Pacific APA, April 2012

Comments on M. Fernández Vargas, 'An Incoherence in Epistemic Internalism' Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, May 2011

'Questions and models' Philosophy and Model Theory, Université de Paris X (Nanterre)–IHPST, June 2010

'An account of mathematical practice' EFA, Universidad de Buenos Aires, September 2009

'Communication for expressivists' (with Paolo Santorio) Eminees group, Harvard University, November 2008

'What expressivism could not be' (with Paolo Santorio) MIT Work in Progress seminar, May 2008

'Projection, accommodation, and the Proviso Problem'
MIT-Paris workshop on Presuppositions and Implicatures, ENS, May 2007
Revised as 'Toward a dissolution of the Proviso Problem' in
MIT Working Papers in Linguistics, Vol. 60

## Service

Bellingham Summer Philosophy Conference, Chair (Summer 2011)

Rocky Mountain Ethics Congress, Chair (Summer 2011)

Guest co-editor of a *Philosophical Studies* special issue celebrating the 30th anniversary of Robert Stalnaker's 'Assertion'

Co-organizer of a conference celebrating the 30th anniversary of Robert Stalnaker's 'Assertion', December 2008

Department librarian, MIT Linguistics and Philosophy, 2006-2009

Editorial assistant for the volume *Absolute Generality* (Oxford University Press), edited by A. Rayo and G. Uzquiano, July 2006

Harvard–MIT Graduate Philosophy conference Reviewer, 2005 – 2009 Co-organizer, 2005 – 2007 Website designer, 2005 – 2006 Courses taken Form

Formal epistemology<sup>†</sup>(Robert Stalnaker)

at MIT, unless otherwise noted

Ontology and its discontents<sup>†</sup>(Stephen Yablo)

('†': audited)

Modeling a representational system<sup>†</sup>(Agustín Rayo) The history of the free will debate (Richard Holton)

Explanation and defeat<sup>†</sup>(Roger White)
Possibility and content<sup>†</sup>(Agustín Rayo)
Aboutness, with applications<sup>†</sup>(Stephen Yablo)

Foundational aspects of set theory (Peter Koellner, HARVARD)

Truth<sup>†</sup>(Vann McGee)

Mathematical thought and its objects<sup>†</sup>(Charles Parsons, HARVARD)

Metaethics (Richard Holton)

The metaphysics of modality (Robert Stalnaker)

Kant's philosophy of mathematics (Daniel Sutherland, HARVARD)

Mathematical realism (Vann McGee)

Proseminar II (Richard Holton and Robert Stalnaker)

Modal logic<sup>†</sup>(Robert Stalnaker) Vagueness (Agustín Rayo)

Proseminar I (Alex Byrne and Rae Langton)
Truth in mathematics (Jean Mosconi), IHPST

Cognitive perspectives on the philosophy of science (Jacques Dubucs, IHPST)

The notion of logical consequence (Philippe de Rouilhan, IHPST)

Pragmatics<sup>†</sup>(Danny Fox) Conditionals (Kai von Fintel)

Advanced semantics (Danny Fox and Irene Heim)

Pragmatics (Irene Heim)

Inner model theory for large cardinals<sup>†</sup>(Peter Koellner, HARVARD) Introduction to inner model theory<sup>†</sup>(Peter Koellner: informal seminar)

Descriptive set theory (Alain Louveau, PARIS 7)

Forcing (Ramez Labib-Sami, PARIS 7)

Model theory and set theory (Gabriel Sabbagh, PARIS 7) Computability and incompleteness (Paul Rozière, PARIS 7)

**Teaching** 

Anglo-American Philosophy since 1950, Spring 2012

Minds, Machines, & Language, Spring 2012 Paradox and infinity, Spring 2011 (at MIT)

Teaching assitantships

Making sense: Language, Thought and Logic (B. Nickel, G. Chierchia

and S. Shieber), Harvard, Spring 2010

Philosophical issues in brain science (A. Byrne and P. Sinha), Spring 2009

Paradox and infinity (A. Rayo), Fall 2008

Logic I (V. McGee), Fall 2007

Minds and machines (A. Byrne), Spring 2007

Moral problems and the good life (S. Haslanger), Fall 2006

Languages

Spanish (native), English (fluent), French (fluent), Italian (intermediate)

References

Alex Byrne Robert C. Stalnaker

Professor of Philosophy Laurance S. Rockefeller Professor of Philosophy

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Massachusetts Institute of Technology

abyrne@mit.edu stal@mit.edu

Agustín Rayo Stephen Yablo

Associate Professor of Philosophy Professor of Philosophy

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Massachusetts Institute of Technology

arayo@mit.edu yablo@mit.edu

Dissertation summary

Rationality without Representation

The goal of my dissertation is to make room for our moral and mathematical practices within an independently attractive picture of our cognitive lives. On this picture, mental states represent by standing in relations of counterfactual dependence with the things they represent (much like thermometers carry information about the surrounding air). A well-known problem for this picture is that it does not seem to carry over to our moral and mathematical thought. Rather than discard this picture, I suggest we opt for a form of *nonfactualism* on which our moral and mathematical thought does not aim to describe facts. I develop a novel account of mathematical practice along these lines, and defend a well-known variety of nonfactualism about morality. I show how denying that these practices aim to describe facts is compatible with substantial rationality constraints on our moral and mathematical theorizing.

In Chapter 1 ('Negation, expressivism, and intentionality') I argue that the best explanation for why two mental states are inconsistent need not presuppose that such states are representational—that they have, in the jargon, *truth-conditions*. I use this to provide a solution to the 'negation problem' for metaethical expressivism, and show how both factualists and nonfactualists are equally well-placed to account for synchronic rationality constraints. In Chapter 2 ('Structuring logical space') I sketch an account of mathematical practice along non-representational lines. I show how it can do justice to the applicability of mathematics, and propose ways in which one's epistemic goals can impose substantial constraints on which mathematical theories to accept. Chapter 3 ('Good questions') provides a general account of the way in which rationality constrains changes in our hypothesis space. In particular, I show how some such changes can be better than others by placing the discussion within a general framework of rational dynamics, on which rational epistemic change involves maximizing expected *epistemic* utility.

What emerges is a middle ground between a straightforward factualist treatment of morality and mathematics and the implausible view that, when it comes to morality or mathematics, 'anything goes'.