

John Spicer

I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System

4.1

- Yes, 0100 is accepted by DFA M.
- No, 011 is rejected by M.
- No, the input is not in the correct form (only 1 comp)
- No, the input is not in the correct form (first comp is not a regular expression)
- No, the M's language is not empty.
- Yes, M accepts the same language as itself.

4.2

Define the language as $C = \{ \langle M, R \rangle \mid M \text{ is a DFA and } R \text{ is regular expression where } L(M) = L(R) \}$

Turing Machine T decides C:

T = "on input $\langle M, R \rangle$ (M is DFA, R is reg. Expression):

- Convert R into DFA D_R w | Kleene's theorem
- Run TM decider F on input $\langle M, D_R \rangle$
- If F accepts, accept. If F rejects, reject.

4.3

$ALL_{DFA} = \{ \langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is DFA and } L(A) = \Sigma^* \}$

Turing Machine M decides ALL_{DFA} :

M = "on input x

- If x is DFA, denote the DFA D_A . Else reject x.
- Make the complement DFA D_A^c
- Run $\langle D_A^c \rangle$ on Turing Machine T that decides E_{DFA}
- If T accepts $\langle A^c \rangle$, accept x.
- If T rejects, reject x.

4.7

Assume $\{0, 1\}$ belongs to real number R and is countable.

Assumption must be contradicted to prove B is uncountable.

Countable real number:

$r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots$

B lies in the range $\{0, 1\}$. This means that a number y between 0 and 1 has an integer part that is 0.

Suppose y_0 is a number $< r_0$. This ensures y_0 and r_0 are \neq .

$y_1 \leftrightarrow .d_{11}, d_{12} \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_2 &\leftrightarrow .d_{21}, d_{22} \dots \\
y_3 &\leftrightarrow .d_{31}, d_{32} \dots \\
y_n &\leftrightarrow .d_{n1}, d_{n2} \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Since $y = 0.y_0y_1y_2y_3\dots$ and $y_n \neq d_{nn}$ for each N , then $y_n \neq r_i$.
This proves by contradiction that the value of y is different from the value of a rational number. Therefore, B is uncountable via diagonalization.

4.8

$$T = \{ (i, j, k) \mid i, j, k \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

Make a one-to-one and onto mapping from T to \mathbb{N} .

i, j, k are natural numbers and are countable.

$\langle i, j, k \rangle = \langle i_1, j_1, k_1 \rangle$ is a one-to-one function from S to \mathbb{N} and an onto mapping from S to \mathbb{N} .

Prove it is also a one-to-one and onto mapping from T to \mathbb{N} .

Assume $(h(\langle i, j \rangle, k)) = (h(\langle i', j' \rangle, k'))$.

Assume $f(\langle i, j, k \rangle) = f'(\langle i', j', k' \rangle)$.

$$h(\langle h(\langle h(\langle i, j \rangle, k) \rangle) \rangle) = h(\langle h(\langle i', j' \rangle, k') \rangle)$$

Since h is one-to-one:

$$(h(\langle i, j \rangle, k)) = (h(\langle i', j' \rangle, k'))$$

$$h(\langle i, j \rangle) = h(\langle i', j' \rangle) \text{ and } k = k'$$

$$\langle i, j \rangle = \langle i', j' \rangle$$

$$h(\langle m, k \rangle) = n, \text{ where } m \text{ and } k \text{ are natural numbers}$$

h is onto, therefore f is also onto.

Therefore, f is one-to-one and onto. Hence, T is countable.

4.16

$A = \{ \langle R \rangle \mid R \text{ is a regular expression describing a language containing 1 string } w \text{ that has 111 as a substring} \}$

Assume regular expression: $C = (0 \cup 1)^* 111 (0 \cup 1)^*$

$L(R) \in A$, $L(R)$ is regular

$C \cap L(R)$ has DFA $M \cap L(R)$, regular language is closed under intersection.

Following Turing Machine T decides A:

1. Convert R into DFA D_R with Kleene's Theorem.
2. Make DFA $D_{C \cap L(R)}$
3. Run Turing Machine
4. Simulate TM that decides CFG, generate strings that contain 111 as a substring. If it accepts, accept. If it rejects, reject.

This proves language A is decidable.

4.21

$S = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a DFA that accepts } wR \text{ whenever it accepts } w \}$

Consider Turing machine N.

Check if M is a proper DFA. If proper, accept. Otherwise, reject.

Make DFA M_R that recognizes $\{ w \mid wR \}$

Simulate Turing Machine N.

DFA M_R is constructed by making NFA from M by reversing transitions as follows:

1. Swap initial state w/ accepting state
2. Make new initial state w/ ϵ transition to earlier accepting states

This proves M is decidable by S. Therefore, language S is decidable.

Turing Machine Code:

name: fibonacci

init: Init

accept: Accept

Init, $_$, $_$, $_$

Accept, $_$, $_$, $_$, -, -, -

Init, 0, $_$, $_$

Shift, 0, $_$, 0, -, -, -

Shift, 0, _, 0

Check, 0, _, 0, -, -, -

Shift, 0, 0, _

Shift, 0, 0, _, -, >, <

Shift, 0, 0, 0

Shift, 0, 0, 0, -, >, <

Shift, 0, _, _

Shift2, 0, _, _ -, <, -

Shift2, 0, 0, _

Shift2, 0, 0, 0, -, <, >

Shift2, 0, _, 0

Shift3, 0, _, 0, -, >, -

Shift3, 0, _, 0

Shift3, 0, 0, 0, -, >, >

Shift3, 0, 0, 0

Shift3, 0, 0, 0, -, >, >

Shift3, 0, _, _

Shift4, 0, _, _ -, <, <

Shift4, 0, 0, 0

Shift4, 0, 0, 0, -, <, <

Shift4, 0, _, 0

Shift4, 0, _, 0, -, -, <

Shift4, 0, 0, _

Shift4, 0, 0, _, -, <, -

Shift4, 0, _, _

Check, 0, _, _, -, >, >

Check, 0, 0, 0

Check, 0, 0, 0, >, >, -

Check, 0, _, 0

Check, 0, _, 0, >, -, >

Check, _, _, _

Accept, _, _, _, -, -, -

Check, 0, _, _

Reset, 0, _, _, -, <, <

Reset, 0, 0, 0

Reset, 0, 0, 0, -, <, <

Reset, 0, _, 0

Reset, 0, _, 0, -, -, <

Reset, 0, 0, _

Reset, 0, 0, _, -, <, -

Reset, 0, _, _

Reset, 0, _, _, <, -, -

Reset, _, _, _

Reset2, _, _, _, >, >, >

Reset2, 0, _, 0

Check, 0, 0, 0, -, -, -

Reset2, 0, 0, 0

Shift, 0, 0, 0, -, -, -