

Senior Enrichment Rubric

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| Front end | The front end is mostly incomplete, with several missing features. | Several front end features are in place, though it could use refactoring. Visibility controls may be limited, if in place at all. | Most front end logic is in place, though it could be written in a more modular way. Many visibility controls may be missing. | Most front end logic is in place, though some visibility controls may be missing (e.g. The 'Delete Instructor' Button is visible for instructors with assigned cohorts) | Front-end logic is sound. The UI is built using a component-based architecture. Visibility controls are in line with the project spec. |
| Middleware | Most routes are incomplete or missing. | Several routes included in the spec are written correctly, though many could use more work. | The majority of routes included in the spec are written, though several could use further debugging. There is no middleware for error handling. | The majority of routes included in the spec are written, as well as error handling and redirecting middleware. Most necessary routes are properly tied to the UI. | All Routes included in the spec are written, as well as error handling and redirecting middleware. All necessary routes are properly tied to the UI. |
| Models | Models are undefined and unassociated. | Models are defined. Seeding and correct methods are absent. | Models are correctly defined and associated. Either no seeding is evident or several model methods are incorrectly implemented. | Models are correctly defined, with instances seeded in the database. Associations are largely correct. Some model methods could use fine tuning or debugging. | Models are correctly defined, with instances seeded in the database. All the necessary and proper associations, validators and methods are built as well. |

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Modularity | Code is undocumented spaghetti. | Code is missing documentation OR in need of significant refactoring. | Documentation is attempted but is not thorough enough. Code could use definite refactoring in some places. | The vast majority of the code is well documented and modular. Some refactoring could be used. | Code is beautifully written and easy to maintain. Functions are well documented, and separation of concerns is evident. |
|------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---|

EXTRA CREDIT

- Design + Responsiveness (up to 5 bonus points)
- Additional features not specified in spec (up to 5 bonus points)

TOTAL = $0.75 * (\text{Completed User Stories} / 17) + \text{Rubric Total} + \text{Extra Credit}$