# Finite-state morphological transducers for three Kypchak languages

Jonathan North Washington<sup>†</sup>, Ilnar Salimzyanov<sup>‡</sup>, Francis M. Tyers<sup>⋆</sup>

†Departments of Linguistics and Central Eurasian Studies Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47405 (USA) jonwashi@indiana.edu

<sup>‡</sup>Institut für Maschinelle Sprachverarbeitung Universität Stuttgart Stuttgart (Germany) ilnar@ilnar

\*Departament de Llenguatges i Sistemes Informàtics Universitat d'Alacant E-03071 Alacant (Spain) ftyers@dlsi.ua.es

Abstract

Hargle, bargle.

Keywords: Kazakh, Tatar, Kumyk, morphology, transducer

#### 1. Introduction

The Northwestern branch of Turkic is often referred to as the Kypchak branch, and can be divided into three subbranches. Kumyk is a member of the Western Kypchak group, Tatar is a member of the Northern Kypchak group, and Kazakh is a member of the south Kypchak group (Johanson, 2006, 82-83). The geographic distribution of the languages is shown in map ??.

Washington et al. (2012) Salimzyanov et al. (2013) Бекманова & Махимов (2013)

# 2. Languages

- 2.1. Kazakh
- 2.2. Tatar
- 2.3. Kumyk

Бамматов (1960) Ольмесов (2000)

- 3. Methodology
- 3.1. Development effort
- 3.2. Statistics

#### 4. Evaluation

We have evaluated the morphological analysers in two ways. The first was by calculating the naïve coverage and mean ambiguity on freely available corpora. The second was by performing an evaluation of precision and recall on some smaller, hand-validated test sets.

	N.T.	1 C	4
Part of speech	Number of stems		
	Kazakh	Tatar	Kumyk
Noun	-	-	-
Verb	-	-	-
Adjective	_	-	-
Proper noun	_	-	-
Adverb	_	-	-
Numeral	_	-	-
Conjunction	_	-	-
Postposition	_	-	-
Pronoun	_	-	-
Determiner	_	-	-
Total:	-	-	-

Table 1: Number of stems in each of the categories

Language	-
Kazakh	-
Tatar	-
Kumyk	-

Table 2: Naïve coverage

### 4.1. Corpora

- 5. Future work
- 6. Conclusions

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Google Code-in (2011) for supporting the development of the Kazakh transducer and the Google Summer of Code (2012) for supporting the development of both the Kazakh and the Tatar transducers.

Language	Precision	Recall
Kazakh	-	-
Tatar	-	-
Kumyk	-	-

Table 3: Precision and recall

Language	Corpus	Words	Coverage
Kazakh	wikipedia 2011	850K	-
	Äwezov	155K	-
	RFERL 2010	3.2M	-
	bible	577K	-
	quran	107K	-
	average	-	90.5%
Tatar	wikipedia 2013	128K	-
	news 2005-2011	4.6M	-
	new testament	137K	-
	quran	165K	-
	Aytmatov	5K	-
	average	-	89.0%
Kumyk	yoldash	287K	-
	new testament	154K	-
	book of Genesis	28K	-
	average	-	88.0%

Table 4: Corpora used for coverage tests

#### References

Johanson, Lars (2006). History of Turkic. In Lars Johanson & Éva Á. Csató (Eds.), The Turkic Languages, New York: Routledge, chap. 5, pp. 81--125.

Salimzyanov, Ilnar, Washington, Jonathan North, & Tyers, Francis M. (2013). A free/open-source Kazakh-Tatar machine translation system.

Washington, Jonathan North, Ipasov, Mirlan, & Tyers, Francis M. (2012). A finite-state morphological analyser for Kyrgyz.

Бамматов, З. З. (1960). Русско-кумыкский словарь. Москва: Государственное издательсвто иностранных и национальных словарей.

Бекманова, Г. Т. & Махимов, А. (2013). Графематический и моргологический анализатор Казахского языка. pp. 192--200.

Ольмесов, Нураммат Хайруллаевич (2000). Сопоставительная грамматика кумыкского и русского языков. Махачкала: ИПЦ ДГУ.