

Directions: Write a coherent and well-organized essay IN SPANISH on the topic that appears below.

Instrucciones: Escribe un ensayo coherente y bien organizado EN ESPAÑOL sobre el siguiente tema.

Question 4
Text Comparison
Suggested Time — 35 minutes

Analiza el efecto de los recursos literarios que los autores emplean en los dos poemas para desarrollar el tema del tiempo y el espacio. En tu ensayo, compara la presentación de este tema en los dos poemas. Debes incluir ejemplos de los textos que apoyen tus ideas.

Poema 1
Salmo XVII

Miré los muros de la patria mía,
si un tiempo fuertes, ya desmoronados,
de la carrera de la edad cansados,
por quien caduca ya su valentía.

Verso

5 Salíme al campo; vi que el sol bebía
los arroyos del yelo desatados,
y del monte quejosos los ganados,
que con sombras hurtó su luz al día.

Entré en mi casa; vi que, amancillada,
10 de anciana habitación era despojos;
mi báculo, más corvo y menos fuerte.

Vencida de la edad sentí mi espada,
y no hallé cosa en que poner los ojos
que no fuese recuerdo de la muerte.

Francisco de Quevedo
“Salmo XVII”
Poesía lírica del Siglo de Oro
Madrid: Ediciones Cátedra, 1999.
(Originalmente se publicó en el siglo XVII).

AP® SPANISH LITERATURE AND CULTURE

2018 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 4

Essay: Text Comparison

Texts and Theme

Text 1: “Salmo XVII,” Francisco de Quevedo

Text 2: “Campos de Soria (VI),” Antonio Machado

Theme: *El tiempo y el espacio*

5 The essay clearly analyzes the literary devices and compares the theme in the texts.

- Effectively analyzes rhetorical, stylistic, or structural features in both texts in relation to the development of the theme.
- Analyzes the development of the theme in both texts to support comparative analysis.
- Includes an explicit statement of purpose (thesis), a coherent structure, and a cohesive and logical progression of ideas in a well-developed essay.
- Supports analysis by integrating specific, well-chosen textual examples throughout the essay.

4 The essay analyzes the literary devices and compares the theme in the texts; description and narration are present but do not outweigh analysis.

- Discusses rhetorical, stylistic, or structural features in both texts in relation to the development of the theme.
- Explains and compares the presence of the theme in the texts.
- Includes an explicit statement of purpose (thesis), a coherent structure, and a logical progression of ideas.
- Supports analysis with appropriate textual examples.

3 The essay attempts to analyze the literary devices and compare the theme in the texts; however, description and narration outweigh analysis.

- Describes some rhetorical, stylistic, or structural features in both texts and attempts to explain their relevance to the theme.
- Describes the presence of the theme in both texts.
- Includes a statement of purpose, evidence of organization (a stated topic, an introduction, a conclusion), and a logical progression of ideas.
- Elaborates on main points and supports observations with examples; however, the examples may not always be clear and relevant.
- Contains some errors of interpretation, but errors do not detract from the overall quality of the essay.

Note:

A. If the essay has a significantly unbalanced focus on one of the texts, the analysis must be good to merit a 3.

B. If the essay does not include literary devices, the comparison of the theme in the texts must be good to merit a 3.

C. If the essay suggests a lack of understanding of the theme, the analysis of literary devices in both texts must be good to merit a 3.

AP® SPANISH LITERATURE AND CULTURE

2018 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 4 (continued)

2 The essay shows little ability to analyze the literary devices or compare the texts; summary and paraphrasing predominate.

- May identify some rhetorical, stylistic, or structural features in one or both texts but may not explain their relevance to the theme.
- Describes the presence of the theme in one text, but the description of the theme in the other text is weak.
- May not clearly state a purpose or be organized around a central idea or argument; progression of ideas may not be logical.
- Presents main points and some details, describes basic elements of texts but may do so without examples or supporting an argument.
- Contains some errors of interpretation that occasionally detract from the overall quality of the essay.

Note: An essay that treats only one text cannot earn a score higher than 2.

1 The essay is inaccurate and insufficient; there is no attempt to analyze the literary devices or compare the texts; irrelevant comments predominate.

- May identify some rhetorical, stylistic, or structural features in one or both texts but does not explain their relevance to the theme.
- Demonstrates lack of understanding of the theme.
- Does not state a purpose, show evidence of organization, or offer a progression of ideas.
- May consist entirely of plot summary without examples relevant to the theme.
- Contains frequent errors of interpretation that significantly detract from the overall quality of the essay.

0 The response is so brief or so poorly written as to be meaningless, is not in Spanish, or is otherwise off-task.

Note: An essay that merely restates part or all of the prompt or stimuli receives a score of 0. An essay that receives a 0 in content must also receive a 0 in language.

— **Page is blank.**

Note: An essay that receives a (—) in content must also receive a (—) in language.

AP® SPANISH LITERATURE AND CULTURE

2018 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 4 (continued)

Language Usage

- 5 Language usage is appropriate to the task, generally accurate, and varied; the reader’s understanding of the response is clear and supported by the student’s use of language.**
- Vocabulary is varied and appropriate to the text(s) being discussed, presents main ideas and supporting details, and communicates some nuances of meaning.
 - Control of grammatical and syntactic structures is very good; use of verb tenses and moods is generally accurate; word order and formation are accurate; use of cohesive devices and transitional elements or both is appropriate to guide understanding.
 - Writing conventions (e.g., spelling, accent marks, punctuation, paragraphing) are generally accurate; paragraphing shows grouping and progression of ideas.
- 4 Language usage is appropriate to the task and generally accurate; the reader’s understanding of the response is clear and not affected by errors in the student’s use of language.**
- Vocabulary is appropriate to the text(s) being discussed and presents main ideas and some supporting details.
 - Control of grammatical and syntactic structures is good; occasional errors in the use of verb tenses and moods do not detract from understanding; word order and formation are mostly accurate.
 - Writing conventions (e.g., spelling, accent marks, punctuation, paragraphing) are generally accurate; occasional errors do not detract from understanding; paragraphing shows grouping and progression of ideas.
- 3 Language usage is appropriate to the task and sometimes accurate; the reader understands the response though the student’s use of language is somewhat limited.**
- Vocabulary is appropriate to the text(s) being discussed but may limit the student’s ability to present some relevant ideas.
 - Control of grammatical and syntactic structures is adequate; errors in the use of verb tenses and moods may be frequent but do not detract from overall understanding; word order and formation are generally accurate.
 - Writing conventions (e.g., spelling, accent marks, punctuation, paragraphing) are sometimes accurate; numerous errors do not detract from overall understanding; paragraphing shows grouping of ideas.
- 2 Language usage is sometimes inappropriate to the task and generally inaccurate; the reader must supply inferences to make the response understandable.**
- Vocabulary may be inappropriate to the text(s) being discussed and forces the reader to supply inferences.
 - Control of grammatical and syntactic structures is weak; errors in verb forms, word order, or word formation are numerous and serious enough to impede comprehension at times.
 - Writing conventions (e.g., spelling, accent marks, punctuation, paragraphing) are generally inaccurate; errors are numerous and serious enough to impede comprehension at times; paragraphing may not show grouping of ideas.

**AP® SPANISH LITERATURE AND CULTURE
2018 SCORING GUIDELINES**

Question 4 (continued)

Language Usage (continued)

1 Language usage is inappropriate to the task, inaccurate, or insufficient; the reader struggles to create an understanding of the response.

- Vocabulary is insufficient or inappropriate to the text(s) being discussed; errors render comprehension difficult.
- Control of grammatical and syntactic structures is inadequate; errors in verb forms, word order, or word formation are nearly constant and impede comprehension frequently.
- Writing conventions (e.g., spelling, accent marks, punctuation, paragraphing) are inaccurate; errors are nearly constant and impede comprehension frequently; there may be little or no evidence of paragraphing.

0 The response is so brief or so poorly written as to be meaningless, is not in Spanish, or is otherwise off-task.

Note: An essay that merely restates part or all of the prompt or stimuli receives a score of 0. An essay that receives a 0 in content must also receive a 0 in language.

— **Page is blank.**

Note: An essay that receives a (—) in content must also receive a (—) in language.