

Q1 is due 11am, Monday 30 September.

Q2 is due 1pm, Thursday 3 October.

1. **Thermodynamics of a non-interacting Bose gas.** In Lecture 3, I proposed a “hack” for how to calculate the chemical potential $\mu = k_B T \ln z$ of an ideal Bose gas. The chemical potential is treated differently above and below the critical temperature for BEC: Above T_c , we ignored the ground-state population, found z by solving $\bar{N}_{\text{ex}}(z, T) = \bar{N}$, using the continuum limit expression, $\bar{N}_{\text{ex}} = C\beta^{-\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)g_\alpha(z)$. Below T_c , we set $z = 1$, and found the condensed atom number with $\bar{n}_0 = \bar{N} - \bar{N}_{\text{ex}}(1, T)$. In this problem, I ask you to use numerical methods to solve the full $\bar{N} = \bar{n}_0 + \bar{N}_{\text{ex}}$ equation at all temperatures.

- (i) First, recreate the “hack” solution, and make a plot for μ versus T . You should be able to recreate something like Fig. 3 in the posted typeset notes “Bose and Fermi distributions.” But instead of E_F , use $k_B T_c$ as the energy scale to make your axes dimensionless. **Plot $\mu/k_B T_c$ for the range $T/T_c = 0 \rightarrow 2$.**
- (ii) Next, find the perturbative correction below T_c in the following way: Solve for z using $\bar{n}_0 = z/(1 - z)$ using the condensate number found from (i), which is $n_0 = \bar{N} - \bar{N}_{\text{ex}}(z = 0)$. **Plot $\mu/k_B T_c$ found in this way, for the range $T = 0$ to $T = 0.99T_c$. Does μ diverge as $T \rightarrow T_c$? Why or why not? { You will need to choose a total atom number for this part and subsequent ones: I suggest 10^2 , since these corrections vanish as $N \rightarrow \infty$. }**
- (iii) Now solve the self-consistent equation $\bar{N} = \bar{n}_0(z) + \bar{N}_{\text{ex}}(z, T)$. Make a **plot for $\mu/k_B T_c$ that compares three solutions (i), (ii), and (iii)**, for the range $T/T_c = 0 \rightarrow 0.99$. *Hint: Since numerical methods usually require an initial guess to solve nonlinear equations, you can use part (ii) as an initial guess for part (iii).*
- (iv) Above T_c , a perturbative correction to μ is to solve $\bar{N} = \bar{n}_0(z_{\text{part(iii)}}) + \bar{N}_{\text{ex}}(z, T)$. The full solution is to let z vary in both terms, $\bar{N} = \bar{n}_0(z) + \bar{N}_{\text{ex}}(z, T)$. Make a **plot for $\mu/k_B T_c$ that compares three solutions**, for the range $T/T_c = 1.01 \rightarrow 1.30$.
- (v) Finally, let’s not consider **what happens at $T = T_c$** , variable total atom number \bar{N} . Here, both of the perturbative methods considered above fail, so we have to use the self-consistent method. **Plot fugacity z versus $\log_{10}(N)$** , for $N = 10^2 \rightarrow 10^4$. Notice that as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the approximation $z \approx 1$ gets better. In retrospect, we could have called part (i) the “thermodynamic limit” solution.
- (vi) I notice that $z = 1 - 2N^{-1/3}/\ln N$ seems to be a good approximation to $z(N)$ at T_c . Add this to your plot as well. Try to figure out, analytically, **why this works so well**.

2. Gaussian Ansatz. Consider the GP energy functional for N particles of mass m and scattering length a :

$$E(\Phi) = \int d^3\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} |\nabla\Phi|^2 + U(\mathbf{r})|\Phi|^2 + \frac{g}{2} |\Phi|^4 \right].$$

For a simple harmonic oscillator potential $U = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 r^2$, let's assume that the ground state of the GP equation is a Gaussian, $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{N}\phi(\mathbf{r})$, where

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4}\sigma^{3/2}} e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2}.$$

{ *This problem is considered in §6.2.1 of your textbook. Please read that section before working the problem, so that you understand the significance of the work.* }

- (i) Find the energy per particle E/N as a function of σ , ω , N , m , and fundamental constants.
- (ii) The energy can be written in a nondimensional form

$$\epsilon = As^{-2} + Bs^2 + \frac{\chi}{2}s^{-3},$$

where $\epsilon = E/N\hbar\omega$, $s = \sigma/\ell_{ho}$, and $\ell_{ho} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$. Give the values of A , B , and χ .

- (iii) Find an expression for the s which minimizes the energy.
- (iv) Solve for s in the limit of large Na . Compare the scaling to the Thomas-Fermi radius for a BEC in the same limit,

$$R_{\text{TF}} = \ell_{\text{ho}} \left(\frac{15Na}{\ell_{\text{ho}}} \right)^{1/5}$$
