31 March 2019

Origins

this post.

Formulas

what they actually do.

Lexical analysis

LPAREN

RPAREN

ADD

MUL

Parser

(1.2

2.2 *

And we have our answer.

Abstract Syntax Trees

an Abstract Syntax Tree.

expression.

Ragel

응응 {

1+1

'/'

'('

")"

any

* | ;

} 응용

#include <iostream>

int main() {

int cs;

int act;

#include <stdexcept>

space

machine tokeniser;

main := |*

2.2 * 2.5 -> 5.5

Now we can get to the practical details...

Let's start with Ragel. Borrowing from the Ragel website:

grammar for a language. Lemon is one such parser generator.

evaluate all of its children, before calculating the sum of their evaluations.

LITERAL (1.2)

LITERAL (2.5)

LITERAL (1)

not care about whitespace.

Parsing mathematical expressions

mathematical expressions like (1.2 + 1) * 2.5.

all of those constraints were satisfied.

Ever wondered how you can implement a simple calculator in C++? You probably haven't, but it is

at how we can use two code generation tools, Ragel and Lemon, to generate a parser for simple

interesting to look at how the problem can be solved very elegantly using code generation. This post looks

One of my more ambitious past projects was an attempt to build something that, at the time, I described as

definitions that would apply to a range within a spreadsheet (e.g. one row, where each column follows a

particular set of constraints, followed by n rows, where the columns follow another set of constraints). A

programmer could then augment that 'class' with functions that were type-safe and well-defined, assuming

A class could then be instantiated on a particular range of a spreadsheet, after which all of those instance

methods would be guaranteed to be well-behaved. Modifications to the data would fail if constraints would

be violated. Instances of classes could even be overlapped. In many ways, this is Excel tables on steroids.

One way I thought this could be useful was to automatically generate constraints for a small, well-defined

Constraint violations or type-mismatches could be detected, localised, and corrected, allowing the dataset

described as applying type-safety to a spreadsheet, which is an interesting idea in itself, but not the focus of

One of the first steps in this project was constructing a formula parser. As many C or C++ programmers

multi-threading, and at the time, this was either impossible (or very poorly documented).

powerful, Spirit has a reputation for long compile times, and being somewhat difficult to read.

would do, I wrote an initial implementation using Flex and Bison (successors of Lex and Yacc, respectively).

However, I was disappointed with their support for C++. Another sore point was that I had hoped to support

After looking at alternatives (included Boost Spirit), I settled upon Ragel and Lemon. It was Zed Shaw's post

on Ragel State Charts that really piqued my interest in this option. His post painted Ragel+Lemon out to be

a much less intimidating option than Boost Spirit, which is a parser library based on C++ templates. While

Now if you've never heard of Ragel or Lemon (or Flex/Bison, or even Lex/Yacc) it'll be helpful to understand

THe first step in parsing a formula (or any programming language) is tokenisation, or lexical analysis. This is

how we take a formula (as a string) and extract the meaingful substrings. For example, when parsing a

formula in a spreadsheet, we care about things like cell references, numbers, and such, but we usually do

The example we'll come back to a few times in this post is the string '(1.2 + 1) * 2.5'. If we tokenised this,

This representation allows a program to perform useful tranformations on the string, although for

Lexical analysis is usually performed by taking a list of regexes. When a part of the input matches a

Once we have a stream of tokens, how do we evaluate them, to arrive at a final value?

be computed like so (with the stack shown on the left, and reductions on the right):

particular regex, an appropriate token will be emitted. Ragel can generate code that does this efficiently.

Say we had the sequence of tokens '(1.2 + 1) * 2.5'. We could write a function that sees a '(', and knowing

recursively on the tokens in between '(' and ')'. This might work, but it would be inefficient for larger inputs.

of the stack can be reduced in some way. When those tokens are reduced, they are popped off the stack,

and the reduced version is pushed onto the stack in their place. Thus, the sequence '(1.2 + 1) * 2.5' could

(1.2 + 1 -> (2.2 # The tokens '1.2', '+', '1' are reduced to '2.2'

The tokens '2.2', '*', '2.5' are reduced to '5.5

(2.2) -> 2.2 # The tokens '(', '2', ')' are reduced to '2'

This is, in fact, the basis of an LR Parser - or a left-to-right (L), rightmost derivation (R) parser. Amazingly,

The last thing I wanted to mention before moving on to the more practical aspects of this post is the idea of

An Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) allows us to represent a computation as a tree of simpler operations. Each

necessary. For example, when evaluating a 'addition' node. To evaluate that node, we would recursively

Compilers typically use Abstract Syntax Trees to perform program optimisation, before finally converting the

tree into byte-code or some other linear form. This is not something we explore in this post, but I think it is

Ragel compiles executable finite state machines from regular languages. Ragel targets C, C++ and

is done using inline operators that do not disrupt the regular language syntax.

Anyway, here's a Ragel program to match the expressions in our mini-language:

const std::string input("(1.2 + 1) * 2.5");

const char * pe = input.c str() + input.size();

If you put this in a file called 'tokeniser.rl', you can run it through Ragel to generate C++ code:

This is where Lemon comes in. Lemon is a parser generator that is maintained as part of the SQLite project.

It can be used to generate an LALR parser from a context-free grammar. This is what we will use to match

sequences of tokens, and replace them with simpler derivations (e.g. LITERAL (1.2) ADD LITERAL (1)

When I introduced LR parsers, I neglected to mention that LR parsers come in different 'strengths'. An LR

parser is often denoted LR(k), where 'k' is the number of look-ahead tokens that the parser uses to

So an LR(1) parser uses one token for look-ahead. This doesn't seem like a big deal, but it can have

significant effect on the size of the parser's internal state machine. Okay, we'll just use an LR(0) parser -

The problem is that some languages cannot be unambiguously parsed with an LR(0) parser, so we need

something bigger. LALR parsers were invented as a compromise between LR(0) and LR(1). They allow for

more powerful languages (not quite LR(1), though often close enough) while having a smaller internal state

So Lemon takes as input a source file (typically with a '.y' extension) and a template (lempar.c), which is

First, put the following into a file called 'context.h' (the reason why will become clear shortly):

determine which reduction to use next, or whether to push another token onto the stack.

At this point, I should step back for a moment, and talk about LALR parsers...

// Setup constants used in generated code

const char * p = input.c str();

// Directives to embed tokeniser

ragel -o tokeniser.cpp tokeniser.rl

q++ -o tokeniser tokeniser.cpp

Hopefully, you'll get some output like this:

Next, we'll do something useful with these tokens.

Fun fact: C++ cannot be parsed by an LR(1) parser.

provided as part of the Lemon distribution.

const char * eof = pe;

const char * ts;

const char * te;

%% write data; %% write init:

%% write exec;

You can then compile and run it:

./tokeniser

LPARENS

ADD

MUL

Lemon

LALR parsers?

what's the difference?

machine.

Back to Lemon

#pragma once

%include {

};

struct Context {

double result;

Then, put the following into a file called parser.y:

%extra argument { struct Context * context }

bool error;

#include <assert.h>

#include "parser.h"

%token type { double }

formula ::= expr(A).

 $\{ A = B + C; \}$

 $\{ A = B - C; \}$

 $\{ A = B * C; \}$

 $\{ A = B / C; \}$

expr(A) ::= LITERAL(B).

 $\{ A = B; \}$

 $\{ A = B; \}$

tokens, and to evaluate them.

lemon parser.y

look like this:

);

);

);

the grammar.

void Parse (

void * pParser,

double value,

Context * context

void * pParser,

int kind,

void *ParseAlloc(

void ParseFree(

4 parsing conflicts.

%left ADD SUB.

%left MUL DIV.

1 * 2 + 1

(1 * 2) + 1

응응 {

1+1

1 + 1

1 + 1

'('

")"

any

* | ;

} 응용

#include <iostream>

#include <stdexcept>

#include "context.h"

#include "parser.h"

void Parse (

void * parser,

double value,

Context * context

int kind,

void *ParseAlloc(

void ParseFree (

const char * ts;

const char * te;

%% write data; %% write init;

%% write exec;

return true;

void * pParser,

void (*freeProc)(void*)

// Setup constants for lexical analyzer

const char * pe = input.c str() + input.size();

void * parser = ParseAlloc(::operator new);

std::getline(std::cin, input); Context context = {0, false};

ParseFree (parser, ::operator delete);

ragel -o calculator.cpp calculator.rl

g++ -o calculator calculator.cpp parser.o

const char * p = input.c str();

Parse (parser, 0, 0, context);

will embed the lexical analyser in that function.

loop until the input stream is terminated:

while (std::cin) {

} else {

return 0;

lemon parser.y

prompt:

>

Try it out!

5.5

10

Inspect

> 1 + 1

> Hello

> ((1 + 1)

Benchmarks?

Useful resources

Ragel website

© 2020 Tristan Penman

Lemon page on SQLite website

Ragel State Charts (by Zed Shaw)

Lemon documentation

Ragel documentation

> 2 * (2 + 3)

gcc -c parser.c

> (1.2 + 1) * 2.5

Error: Invalid input.

Error: Invalid input

What can we do with all of this?

std::cout << "> ";

std::string input;

int main() {

const char * eof = pe;

extern "C" {

);

);

);

int cs;

int act;

space

Putting it all together

machine formula;

main := |*

Lemon will attempt to parse that as:

%parse failure

{ context->result = A; }

expr(A) ::= expr(B) ADD expr(C).

expr(A) ::= expr(B) SUB expr(C).

expr(A) ::= expr(B) MUL expr(C).

expr(A) ::= expr(B) DIV expr(C).

expr(A) ::= LPAREN expr(B) RPAREN.

{ context->error = true; }

that will be used each time the parser is called.

keeps track of both the final result, and the current state of the parser.

Okay. Let's turn this into C code, by running it through Lemon:

Lets take a look at what is happening in this file. We start with the | %include | directive, which gives Lemon

some code that needs to be included before the generated parser (in this case, header files). We then use

double is an appropriate choice. %extra argument allows us to specify an argument for the parser,

The next section is the grammar itself. These are the reductions that make it possible to take a stream of

Finally, we have a %parse failure directive, which says what to do if none the tokens could not be

matched to the grammar. This highlights the importance of the 'context.h' file. The struct defined in that file

What you get back is a file (parser.c) which, when compiled into your program, provides functions that will

/** The parser */

void * (*mallocProc) (size t) /** Function used to allocate memory */

void (*freeProc) (void*) /** Function used to reclaim memory */

You will also get a header file (parser.h), which contains numeric #define s for each kind of token used in

parser has been initialised, you can pass in tokens, one at a time, using Parse . When passing in a token,

This is because we haven't told lemon what the precedence of the MUL/DIV and ADD/SUB tokens should

This gives MUL and DIV higher precedence than ADD and SUB, and defines them as being left-

Now we have to link our Ragel tokeniser and our Lemon parser into one program. Although it would be nice

leave the Lemon-generated parser in its original C form. This way, we simply use extern "C" to call the

To actually make a calculator, we'll need to update our Ragel code to know how to call the parser. This is

('-'?[0-9]+('.'[0-9]+)?) { Parse(parser, LITERAL, std::atof(ts), context); };

{ /* ignore whitespace */ };

{ return false; };

{ Parse(parser, ADD, 0, context); }; { Parse(parser, SUB, 0, context); };

{ Parse(parser, MUL, 0, context); };

{ Parse(parser, DIV, 0, context); };

{ Parse(parser, LPAREN, 0, context); };

{ Parse (parser, RPAREN, 0, context); };

/** The parser */

void * (*mallocProc) (size t) /** Function used to allocate memory */

bool calculate (void * parser, const std::string & input, Context * context) {

We can see three important parts here: the state machine definition, parser references (parser.h and

function prototypes), and a function called calculate(). The %% write directives in calculate()

Finally, we'll add a main() function, which prompts the user for input, and calls calculate(). It will

if (calculate(parser, input, &context) && !context.error) {

std::cout << "Error: Invalid input." << std::endl;

This should produce an executable called calculator. Running this will present you with the calculator

std::cout << context.result << std::endl;

Let's generate and compile everything from scratch, to ensure we have everything we need:

One example is a small project that I've nicknamed *Inspect*. You can find the code here.

simple, the project actually uses Ragel and Lemon in several interesting ways.

is really only useful as a reference for using Ragel and Lemon to generate an AST.

Rust. Haskell would also be an interesting journey into the land of parser combinators.

subtraction and division, and performs floating point arithmetic instead of integer arithmetic.

comparison with Boost Spirit and ANTLR-based parsers.

Inspect is a toy spreadsheet REPL that includes a formula parser, and allows cells to be defined using

to keep things simple, references to undefined cells will result in an empty value being retrieved. While

either literals, or formulas that reference other cells. At any time, the entire sheet can be recalculated. And

What also makes this project interesting to look at is that, instead of parsing formulas every time a sheet is

Note that when I say 'toy implementation', I mean it! Inspect has a dead simple command line interface, and

recalculated, they are instead converted into an Abstract Syntax Tree at the time the formula is entered.

I had originally intended to include some benchmarks and other metrics in this post, but I think it is long

I'm also interested in explore how the same problem would be approached in other languages, such as

In the mean time, you can find all of the code for this post on Github, in the microcalc repo. This includes a

handy Makefile for compiling the calculator. It also supports for a few more basics operations, such as

enough as it is. What I would like to do instead is write a follow up post that includes a more in-depth

/** The major token code number */

/** The parser to be deleted */

/** Function used to reclaim memory */

/** Value associated with a token (%toke

/** Optional %extra argument parameter '

to expose our Lemon-generated parser through C++ code, my experience has been that it is simpler to

ParseAlloc and ParseFree are used to initialise and destroy a parser, respectively. And once a

we have to tell the parser what kind of token it is, using one of the constants defined in the parser.h.

When we ran Lemon with this grammar, we also got the following output:

be. We can do that by adding the following lines above %token type:

associative. Left-associative means that in seeing an expression like this:

Running Lemon again will now ensure that we have an unambiguous parser.

parser functions from C++. You'll see how I've done that in the example code below.

going to involve a number of changes, so let's start a new file called 'calculator.rl':

/** The major token code number */

/** The parser to be deleted */

/** Value associated with a token (%token ty

/** Optional %extra argument parameter */

%token type to assign a type to the value that will be attached to each token. For our calculator,

#include <stdbool.h> #include "context.h"

LITERAL (1.2)

LITERAL (1)

LITERAL (2.5)

becomes LITERAL (2.2)).

RPARENS

return 0;

ASM. Ragel state machines can not only recognize byte sequences as regular expression machines do,

but can also execute code at arbitrary points in the recognition of a regular language. Code embedding

('-'?[0-9]+('.'[0-9]+)?) { std::cout << "LITERAL(" << std::atof(ts) << ")" <<

{ /* ignore whitespace */ };

{ std::cout << "ADD" << std::endl; }; { std::cout << "SUB" << std::endl; };

{ std::cout << "MUL" << std::endl; }; { std::cout << "DIV" << std::endl; };

{ std::cout << "LPARENS" << std::endl; };

{ std::cout << "RPARENS" << std::endl; };

{ throw std::runtime error("Unexpected character"); }

useful to be aware that the purpose of many parsers is to construct an AST, rather than evaluate an

node can represent an operation, value, or some other concept in a program, and can branch out as

an LR parser can be automatically generated from a set rules (or reductions), which together form the

Another approach is to work left to right, pushing tokens onto a stack, until we find that the tokens on the top

that a ')' must follow at some point, looks for that token. Once ')' is found, the function could call itself

ignoring whitespace, we might come up with the following representation:

convenience, I'll continue to write the tokens as '(', '1.2', '+', etc.

datasets, and to later apply those constraints to larger datasets as part of a data cleansing process.

to be used as an input to potentially larger applications. In retrospect, this could be more accurately

an object-oriented spreadsheet. In my design, a class was essentially a set of constraints and type

About

GITHUB

This is a blog about Software

Github.

ດ ¥

Recent Posts

JSON

AWS

expressions

ahead...

extensions

JavaScript

commit

Akka

Mac OS X

TWITTER

Engineering and the pursuit of

PROJECTS

Performance, Reliability and Scalability - with an occasional

business side of technology.

Parsing mathematical

detour into the human and

Elsewhere

Extending Ruby with C++

 Preprocessing JSON... using Getting started with CUDA on Why I came back to Australia Reading list progress report A reading list for the year

If you enjoy the content on this site, you should follow me on Twitter, or explore my code on

Hash Table with Scala and Installing R and RStudio on

 Tail Call Optimisation and C / Writing a Gem with native Build your own workstation Porting an Asteroids clone to Sparsehash Internals Fixing the authorship of a Git WordPress and Docker Implementing a Distributed