

There are deficiencies in propositional logic. We will talk about two new constructs: **Predicates & Quantifiers**

From Merriam_Webster:

Definition of PREDICATE

- a: something that is affirmed or denied of the subject in a proposition in logic
 b: a term designating a property or relation
- 2 : the part of a sentence or clause that expresses what is said of the subject and that usually consists of a verb with or without objects, complements, or adverbial modifiers

Example: If we let F be the name of the predicate, then we can think of F(x) as a sentence that asserts an object (or subject) is fast.

F(x) is read as "x is fast." where x represents the domain or "domain of discourse"

Rockets are fast. Usain Bolt is fast.

The predicate can be thought of as "is fast".

Example: Consider the statement x > 3

- x is a variable or a placeholder
- > 3 is the predicate

Let P(x) represent x > 3. We call P(x) a propositional function. When we assign a value to x then P(x) becomes a proposition and has a truth value.

P(4) is true.

P(1) is false.

Example: Rachel makes lunch for Murray.

Anna makes necklaces for Naomi.

Predicate "template" is

____ makes ____ for ____

The predicate describes a relationship between three variables or objects.

Makes(x, y, z) or M(x, y, z)

x: who makes something

y: things being made

z: people that something is being made for

Propositional functions can have multiple variables.

Example: Let
$$Q(x,y)$$
 represent $x + 1 = y$

- What is the truth value of Q(1,2)?
- What is the truth value of Q(3,2)?

Example: Let R(x, y, z) represent $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$

- What is the truth value of R(1,1,1)?
- What is the truth value of R(3,4,5)?

When using predicates we have to think about what values we input.

The set of values we intend to plug in is called the **domain of discourse** or commonly just the **domain**.

Example: All babies love to sleep. Parker is a baby.

Let *S(x)* denote: *x* likes to sleep.

S(Parker) is a true statement.

What is *S*(8) ?

Suppose we fix a domain for S(x). Let the domain of babies be $\{Parker, Madeline, Nocona, Tatum\}$

Then S(Parker), S(Madeline), S(Nocona), and S(Tatum) have truth values and make sense. S(8), $S(\ \ \)$ don't have truth values and can't really be defined.

Example: [with Propositional Logic] "All babies love to sleep." might be represented as

Parker loves to sleep \land **Madeline** loves to sleep \land **Nocona** loves to sleep \land **Tatum** loves to sleep

But what if we re_define out domain to be All Babies in the USA.... using propositional logic only would be pretty inefficient.

Universal Quantifier: ∀

 $\forall x \ P(x)$ means "For all x in my domain, P(x)." for some general predicate P(x)

Example: [with Quantifiers] "All babies love to sleep." might be represented as

$$\forall x S(x)$$

Note that with the quantifier $\forall x \ S(x)$ becomes a proposition

Question: When is the statement $\forall x P(x)$ true?

Question: When is the statement $\forall x P(x)$ false?

Example: Let the domain be the integers. Our proposition is; $\forall x \ (x^2 \ge 0)$.

Is this true or false?

Example: Let the domain be the integers. Our proposition is; $\forall x \ (x^2 > x)$.

Is this true or false?

The case that breaks a universal statement is called a **counterexample**.

Long-Term Takeaway:

- To disprove a universal proposition, all you need is one specific counterexample that makes it not work
- To prove a universal proposition one specific example does nothing! Usually you have to work a lot harder ...
- NEVER try to prove a universal statement by choosing a specific example and showing P(x) to be true

So the **universal quantifier** ∀ is how we talk about **all things**.

What if we want to talk about something?

Many mathematical statements claim that there is an element of the domain that has a certain property.

Existential Quantifier: ∃

 $\exists x \ P(x)$ means "there exists an x in the domain, such that P(x)"

Question: When is the statement $\exists x P(x)$ true?

Question: When is the statement $\exists x P(x)$ false?

Example: Let the domain be the integers. Our proposition is; $\exists x \ (x^2 \ge 0)$.

Is this true or false?

Example: Let the domain be the integers. Our proposition is; $\exists x \ (x = x + 1)$.

Is this true or false?

Special case to consider. **Empty Domain**

- $\triangleright \forall x P(x)$ is true
- $\Rightarrow \exists x \ P(x) \text{ is false}$

Example: All of the Olympic running medals I have won are gold.

Scope of Quantifiers

Quantifiers have the narrowest scope of all logical operands.

Example: $\forall x (P(x) \land Q(x))$ is not the same as $\forall x P(x) \land Q(x)$

Logical Equivalence involving Quantifiers

Statements involving predicates and quantifiers are logically equivalent if and only if they have the same truth value no matter which predicates you use and which domain they're defined over.

Example: Are these equivalent?

$$\forall x (P(x) \land Q(x)) \equiv \forall x P(x) \land \forall x Q(x)$$

Example: Let our domain be $\{a, b, c\}$. Prove that the following is true:

$$\forall x (P(x) \land Q(x)) \equiv \forall x P(x) \land \forall x Q(x)$$

Example: Are the statements below equivalent?

$$\forall x (P(x) \lor Q(x)) \equiv \forall x P(x) \lor \forall x Q(x)$$

Example: Are the statements below equivalent?

$$\exists x \, \big(P(x) \lor Q(x) \big) \equiv \exists x \, P(x) \lor \exists x \, Q(x)$$

Example: Are the statements below equivalent?

$$\exists x \, \big(P(x) \land Q(x) \big) \equiv \exists x \, P(x) \land \exists x \, Q(x)$$

Question: What is the negation of $\forall x \ P(x)$?

$$\neg \forall x P(x)$$

Example: What is the negation of the statement: All babies like to sleep?

Question: What is the negation of $\exists x \ P(x)$?

$$\neg \exists x P(x)$$

Example: What is the negation of the statement: There exists a baby that loves sleep?

Collectively, these are called **DeMorgan's Law for Quantifiers**

- $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$
- $\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$

And then we had the distribution laws

- $\forall x (P(x) \land Q(x)) \equiv \forall x P(x) \land \forall x Q(x)$
- $\exists x (P(x) \lor Q(x)) \equiv \exists x P(x) \lor \exists x Q(x)$

With these rules, and the logical equivalences we found for regular propositions, we can prove all kinds of equivalences of quantifier propositions

Example: Prove that $\neg \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \equiv \exists x (P(x) \land \neg Q(x))$

Translating from English into Logical Expressions

Example: Translate the following into symbols: "Every student in CSCI 2824 has passed Calculus 1."

Example: Translate the following into symbols: "Every student in CSCI 2824 has passed Calculus 1." but use the domain, all students at CU.

Translating from English into Logical Expressions

Example: Let the domain be the set of all CU students, and translate:

"Every student in CSCI 2824 is either taking Data Structures, or has already passed it."