Homework Sheet 3

Author

Abdullah Oğuz Topçuoğlu Ahmed Waleed Ahmed Badawy Shora Yousef Mostafa Farouk Farag

Matriculation Number

Tradello di della con la contra con	
7063561	Mar
7069708	Jan-
7073030	Tho

vna Dernovaia -Hendrik Gindorf

orben Johr

Exercise 3

We can do something similar to LsdRadix sort we saw in the lecture.

Pseduocode:

```
function SortGridPoints(A[1..n]) {
   redefine key(point) := point.y
    CountingSort(A)
    redefine key(point) := point.x
    CountingSort(A)
}
```

Correctness:

- The first CountingSort sorts the points by their y coordinates.
- The second CountingSort sorts the points by their x coordinates, but since CountingSort is stable, the order of points with the same x coordinate is preserved.
- Therefore after both sorts the points are sorted lexicographically by (x,
- Thats also what we did in the lecture for LSDRadixSort we started from the least significant digit to the most significant digit. The same idea applies here.

Running Time Analysis:

- Each CountingSort runs in time O(n + k) where k is the range of the keys.
- Here the keys are the x and y coordinates of the points.
- Since the points are connected, the range of x coordinates is at most n and the range of y coordinates is also at most n.
- Therefore each CountingSort runs in time O(n + n) = O(n).
- Since we perform two CountingSorts, the total running time is O(n) + O(n) = O(n).

Exercise 4

(a)

We can use the LSDRadixSort again.

Pseudocode:

```
function SortStrings(A[1..n], length) {
    for i in length..1 {
        redefine key(string) := string[i]
        CountingSort(A)
    }
}
```

Correctness:

- We sort the strings starting from the last character to the first character (least significant to most significant).
- Each CountingSort is stable, so the order of strings with the same character at position i is preserved.
- Therefore after sorting by all character positions, the strings are sorted lexicographically.
- So just like LSDRadixSort we saw in the lecture where d is alphabet size, U is the alphabet

Running Time Analysis:

- Each CountingSort runs in time O(n + k) where k is the range of the keys.
- Here the keys are the english letters 'a' to 'z', so k = 26.
- Therefore each CountingSort runs in time O(n + 26) = O(n).
- Since we perform CountingSort 26 times, the total running time is O(26 * n) = O(n).

(b)

The idea is i will try to convert this problem to the one we solved in part (a). I will treat every word as if they have the same length which is the maximum length of the strings in the input And then i will introduce a special character for non existent characters in the shorter strings that will come before 'a' in the alphabet. So that when we sort the strings lexicographically the shorter strings will come before the longer strings with the same prefix. So i change the alphabet to be $\{\#, a, b, ..., z\}$ where # is the special character.

Pseudocode:

```
function SortStringsVariableLength(A[1..n], lengths[1..n]) {
    maxLength = 0
    for i in 1..n {
        if lengths(i) > maxLength {
            maxLength = lengths(i)
        }
    }
    for i in maxLength..1 {
        if i > length(string) {
            redefine key(string) := '#'
        } else {
            redefine key(string) := string[i]
        }
        CountingSort(A)
    }
}
```

Correctness:

We proved this in part (a) already.

Running Time Analysis:

- Finding the maximum length takes O(n) time.
- Each CountingSort runs in time O(n + k) where k is the range
- Here the keys are the english letters 'a' to 'z' plus the special character '#', so k=27.
- Therefore each CountingSort runs in time O(n + 27) = O(n).
- Since we perform CountingSort maxLength times, the total running time is $O(\max L ength * n + n) = O(m + n) = O(m)$ where m is the total length of all strings.