Mathematics Homework Sheet 4

Author: Abdullah Oguz Topcuoglu & Yousef Farag

Problem 1

We want to prove

$$(\forall n \in N) \land (x \in R) \land (x \ge -1) \qquad (1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$$

by using mathematical induction.

Base Case: For n = 1, we have

$$(1+x)^1 = 1 + x \ge 1 + 1 \cdot x$$

Which is true for every $x \in R$ so it means it is also true for $x \in [-1, \infty]$

Inductive step:

We assume that the statement is true for n = k, i.e.

$$(1+x)^k \ge 1 + kx$$

Multiply both sides by 1 + x, since 1 + x > 0 because $x \in [-1, \infty]$, we have

$$(1+x)^{k}(1+x) \ge (1+kx)(1+x)$$
$$(1+x)^{k+1} \ge 1 + kx + x + kx^{2}$$
$$0 \ge -kx^{2}$$

Add these two together, we get

$$(1+x)^{k+1} \ge 1 + (k+1)x$$

And this completes the proof.

Give a counterexample to show that the condition $x \ge -1$ is necessary:

Let's take x = -2 and n = 2. Then we have

$$(1-2)^2 \ge 1 + 2 \cdot (-2)$$

 $(-1)^2 \ge 1 - 4$
 $1 > -3$

Which is not true. In fact it would be false when n is even. So the condition $x \ge -1$ is necessary. Because that way 1 + x is never negative

Problem 2

Problem 2 (a)

$$X_1 := \{ x \in R : x^2 - 2x \le 0 \}$$

What x values satisfy this condition?

$$x^2 - 2x \le 0$$

$$x(x-2) \le 0$$

In order this inequality to be satisfied the signs of x and x-2 must be different or one of them needs to be zero, and this only happens when $0 \le x \le 2$. So this means:

$$X_1 = [0, 2]$$

In this case X_1 is bounded from below and above.

$$supX_1 = 2$$

$$infX_1 = 0$$

And $sup X_1 \in X_1$ which means $sup X_1$ is also the maximum value. $inf X_1 \in X_1$ which means $inf X_1$ is also the minimum value.

Problem 2 (b)

$$X_2 := \{x \in R \setminus \{0\} : 5 - x^2 > \frac{4}{x^2}\}$$

What x values satisfy this condition?

$$5 - x^2 > \frac{4}{x^2}$$

$$5 - x^2 - \frac{4}{x^2} > 0$$

$$5 - x^{2} - \frac{4}{x^{2}} > 0$$

$$\frac{5x^{2} - x^{4} - 4}{x^{2}} > 0$$

Since x^2 is always positive, we can multiply both sides by x^2 .

$$5x^{2} - x^{4} - 4 > 0$$

$$x^{4} - 5x^{2} + 4 < 0$$

$$(x^{2} - 4)(x^{2} - 1) < 0$$

$$(x - 2)(x + 2)(x - 1)(x + 1) < 0$$

So, this inequality is satisfied when $-2 < x < -1 \quad \lor \quad 1 < x < 2$. So this means:

$$X_2 = (-2, -1) \cup (1, 2)$$

In this case X_2 is bounded from below and above.

$$sup X_2 = 2$$
$$in f X_2 = -2$$

And $supX_2 \notin X_2$ which means $supX_2$ is not the maximum value. $infX_2 \notin X_2$ which means $infX_2$ is not the minimum value. Maximum and minimum values are not in the set.

Problem 3

We say that x' is an supremum of Y if $\forall x \in Y, x' > x$ so given that supY exists for set Y we can take an element $x \in Y$ such that we know that the following relation holds true for $\forall y \in Y$ because of the existence of a supremum

$$\forall y \in Y(supY > y) \tag{1}$$

Now according to the second property of ordered fields

$$\forall a, b, c \in F : (a \le b) \land (c \le 0) \implies a.c \ge b.c \tag{2}$$

let a = y, c = -1, b = supY from 1 we know that a < b and we know that -1 < 0 thus using 2 we can conclude

$$-1.y > -1.supY$$

from the 9th property of fields we can conclude

$$-y > -supY \tag{3}$$

from 1 we know that 3 holds true for all $y \in Y$ and thus by the defintion of the infimum, the infimum of the set -Y exists and it is equal to -supY

Problem 4

Problem 4 (a)

We want to prove

$$\forall x, y \in R \quad |x+y| \le |x| + |y|$$

Let's continue with this inequality

$$\forall x,y \in R \quad x \leq |x|, \ y \leq |y|, \ -x \leq |x|, \ -y \leq |y|$$
 (Considering the first two inequalities above)
$$-x - y \leq |x| + |y|$$
 (Considering the last two inequalities above)

(x+y) and (-x-y) is nothing but two possible outcomes of |x+y| So, we have

$$|x+y| \le |x| + |y|$$

And this completes the proof.

Problem 4 (b)

Let us consider the following 4 cases:

Assume $x \geq y$:

When y is positive, the following is true:

$$|x| - |y| = x - y \tag{1}$$

When y is negative, the following is true:

$$|x| - |-y| = x - y$$
 \wedge $x - (-y) = x + y$

From above, we can conclude:

$$|x| - |-y| \le x - (-y)$$

and both sides of the inequality are ≥ 0 due to the assumption $x \geq y$ (2)

$$|x| - |-y| \le x - (-y) \ge 0$$
 (2)

Now let us assume that x < y:

when x is positive the following is true:

$$|x| - |y| = x - y \tag{3}$$

when x is negative the following is true:

$$|-x| - |y| = x - y \land -x - y = -(x+y) \land |-(x+y)| \ge |-x| - |y|$$
 (4)

from 1, 2, 3, 4 we can conclude that

$$||x| - |y|| \le |x - y|$$
 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.