

# Giant Planet Atmospheres Do Not Have Stellar Composition

## 1. Processes that matter

0. Main carriers of CNOH as a function of time

1. Which snow lines and where are they

- Assume nitrogen is mainly in  $N_2$  and  $NH_3$ ; assume hydrocarbons are primarily  $CH_4 \rightarrow$  three cases:
  - (a) all nitrogen is  $N_2$  and no  $CH_4$
  - (b) 10% of nitrogen is  $NH_3$  and no  $CH_4$
  - (c) all nitrogen is  $N_2$  and 5% of carbon is in  $CH_4$
- binding energy for  $N_2 = 790 \pm 25$  K (Öberg et al. 2005)
- $N_2$  abundance: look in Pontoppidan et al. (2003), papers cited in Bisschop et al. (2006)
- $NH_3$  abundance: Lahuis & van Dishoeck (2000), Boogert et al. (2008), Dodson-Robinson et al. (2009)
- make C/O and N/O ratio plots similar to Figure 1 in Öberg et al. (2011)

2. Snow line evolution with time

- consider evolution both in terms of disk temperature and chemical composition
- Garaud & Lin (2007), also papers by Scott Kenyon

3. Shape of snow line

- include “cold finger effect”, e.g. Stevenson & Lunine (1988), Cuzzi & Zahnle (2004), Ciesla & Cuzzi (2006)
- Stevenson & Lunine (1988) derive an approximate cold finger solution for the size of the area where the surface density of water ice is enhanced near the orbit of Jupiter

4. Planetesimal build-up including drift

- calculate drift rate as a function of the size of the planetesimal and compare to the evaporation rate; follow Weidenschilling (1977), Chiang & Youdin (2010) (which has all the correct coefficients) for the drift calculation

- also calculate desorption timescale and the distance traveled by the planetesimal as a function of radius (e.g., for the H<sub>2</sub>O and CO snowlines)

5. Core accretion including migration

- can derive analytic formula similar to equation (2) from Öberg et al. (2011) that takes into account different C/O ratio between different snow lines
- discuss both Type I and Type II migration

6. Runaway atmospheric accretion

7. Late stage accretion

8. Core dredging

- refs in Lodders (2009); also Stevenson (1985), Guillot et al. (2004)

Other things to consider:

- Potential planet formation locations
- Monte Carlo simulation including the processes that we find are relevant

## REFERENCES

- Bisschop, S. E., Fraser, H. J., Öberg, K. I., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Schlemmer, S. 2006, *A&A*, 449, 1297
- Boogert, A. C. A., Pontoppidan, K. M., Knez, C., Lahuis, F., Kessler-Silacci, J., van Dishoeck, E. F., Blake, G. A., Augereau, J.-C., Bisschop, S. E., Bottinelli, S., Brooke, T. Y., Brown, J., Crapsi, A., Evans, II, N. J., Fraser, H. J., Geers, V., Huard, T. L., Jørgensen, J. K., Öberg, K. I., Allen, L. E., Harvey, P. M., Koerner, D. W., Mundy, L. G., Padgett, D. L., Sargent, A. I., & Stapelfeldt, K. R. 2008, *ApJ*, 678, 985
- Chiang, E. & Youdin, A. N. 2010, *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 38, 493
- Ciesla, F. J. & Cuzzi, J. N. 2006, *Icarus*, 181, 178
- Cuzzi, J. N. & Zahnle, K. J. 2004, *ApJ*, 614, 490
- Dodson-Robinson, S. E., Willacy, K., Bodenheimer, P., Turner, N. J., & Beichman, C. A. 2009, *Icarus*, 200, 672

- Garaud, P. & Lin, D. N. C. 2007, *ApJ*, 654, 606
- Guillot, T., Stevenson, D. J., Hubbard, W. B., & Saumon, D. The interior of Jupiter, ed. F. Bagenal, T. E. Dowling, & W. B. McKinnon, 35–57
- Lahuis, F. & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2000, *A&A*, 355, 699
- Lodders, K. 2009, ArXiv e-prints
- Öberg, K. I., Murray-Clay, R., & Bergin, E. A. 2011, *ApJ*, 743, L16
- Öberg, K. I., van Broekhuizen, F., Fraser, H. J., Bisschop, S. E., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Schlemmer, S. 2005, *ApJ*, 621, L33
- Pontoppidan, K. M., Fraser, H. J., Dartois, E., Thi, W.-F., van Dishoeck, E. F., Boogert, A. C. A., d’Hendecourt, L., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Bisschop, S. E. 2003, *A&A*, 408, 981
- Stevenson, D. J. 1985, *Icarus*, 62, 4
- Stevenson, D. J. & Lunine, J. I. 1988, *Icarus*, 75, 146
- Weidenschilling, S. J. 1977, *MNRAS*, 180, 57