

# TBD (*THE ROLE OF ICE COMPOSITIONS AND MORPHOLOGY FOR SNOWLINES THE C/N/O RATIOS IN ACTIVE DISKS*)

ANA-MARIA A. PISO<sup>1</sup>, KARIN I. ÖBERG<sup>1</sup>, ET AL.  
*Draft version October 21, 2015*

## ABSTRACT

...

### 1. INTRODUCTION

*Background info. Importance of volatiles in disks and planetary atmospheres, detections of snowlines in disks, C/O ratios etc. State again the importance of radial drift and gas accretion on the snowlines location, and that a systematic study of the combination of these two particular effects across the disk has not been done before. Then transition to the fact that we provide such a systematic study in Paper I and in this paper: here we enhance the model of Paper I by making three additions: (1) we add N and CH<sub>4</sub> in the static chemistry model, (2) we explore how different abundances of CH<sub>4</sub> and of the N main carriers (N<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>) affect the C/O and N/O ratios, and (3) we explore how different binding energies of CO, N<sub>2</sub> and (perhaps) CH<sub>4</sub> affect the snowline locations.*

### 2. MODEL REVIEW

*Review the four disk models, desorption model, relevant timescales. Summarize the findings of Paper I, i.e. particles of certain sizes desorb instantaneously and at a fixed particle size dependent location. Probably split into 2 subsections.*

### 3. VOLATILE ABUNDANCES AND BINDING ENERGIES: EFFECT ON C/O AND N/O RATIOS IN A STATIC DISK

#### 3.1. Nitrogen and CH<sub>4</sub>

*Discuss that nitrogen is abundant in the solar system and should be abundant in disks as well, but that its dominant form is largely unknown. Discuss that the main carrier of nitrogen is N<sub>2</sub>, but some fraction of it can be NH<sub>3</sub> as well. Present and motivate the choices that we make for NH<sub>3</sub> abundances. Along the same lines, discuss CH<sub>4</sub>, and the choices that we make for CH<sub>4</sub> abundances.*

#### 3.2. Volatile Desorption Energies

*State that desorption energies for H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> are well constrained experimentally, and that the CO<sub>2</sub> binding energy is only weakly dependent on whether it's pure CO<sub>2</sub> or combined with H<sub>2</sub>O, but that is not the case for CO, N<sub>2</sub> (and perhaps CH<sub>4</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>?). Briefly discuss CO-CO, N<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub>, CO-H<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O (and perhaps the same for CH<sub>4</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> if we find literature on that) ex-*

*perimental results for binding energies, and motivate the choices that we make.*

#### 3.3. Results for C/O and N/O in a Static Disk

*For each of them (C/O and N/O), show a 3-panel plot as follows: each panel has a specific CH<sub>4</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> abundance (top: none, middle: median abundance, bottom: maximum abundance); for a given panel, have multiple curves for C/O or N/O, depending on the choice of binding energies, so that it's clear visually how the binding energy changes the snowline location. Discuss how different abundances and binding energies affect snowline locations and C/O or N/O ratios.*

## 4. RESULTS

### 4.1. N<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> Snowline Locations

*One multipanel 3x3 (or 3x2) rainbow plot similar to the snowline plots from Paper I, for one choice for the binding energies (perhaps the largest ones, since we want a limit on how far in we can push the snowlines?). Rows: snowlines as a function of particle size for passive, active and (maybe) steady-state disk. Columns: N<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>. Not entirely certain how necessary these plots/subsection actually are, since it is exactly the same qualitatively as in Paper I...*

### 4.2. C/O and N/O Ratios

*For each of them (C/O and N/O) show a 3x3 multipanel plot similar to the C/O plot from Paper I, for the same choice of binding energies as in the previous subsection. Columns: C/O or N/O in passive, active and steady-state disk. Rows: No CH<sub>4</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>, median CH<sub>4</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>, maximum CH<sub>4</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>. Again, not sure if we need/want this for all disk choices, at least in the case of C/O since we already have that in Paper I. For N/O, quantify how the snowline location changes from a static disk due to drift and gas accretion.*

## 5. DISCUSSION

*Discuss how entrapment of volatiles by H<sub>2</sub>O affects volatile abundances and C/O ratios. More TBD.*

## 6. SUMMARY

*Maybe we can include the summary in the discussion section?*

<sup>1</sup> Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden