Reverse Engineering and Malware Analysis Fundamentals

Exercises

Adam Podlosky

@apodlosky

Always Use a Virtualized OS

- Do NOT analyze executables on your host operating system
 - Setup and secure a virtual machine (see resources)
 - Also, REMnux ("Kali" for malware): https://remnux.org
- The provided binaries were written and compiled by myself
 - They are NOT malicious
 - Endpoint/antivirus software may display false-positive alerts

Tools Used In Demo

- <u>PE-bear</u> PE file format viewer/editor (by @hasherezade)
- IDA Industry standard disassembler (\$\$\$, freeware version)
 - Alternatives: Cutter/Radare2, BinaryNinja (\$), Hopper (\$)
- PEiD PE and packer identification
- ResourceHacker View and edit PE resources
- SysinternalsSuite Windows troubleshooting tools
- x32dbg/x64dbg Great assembly-level debugger for Windows

empty.exe - Part 1, Example 1

- Source File: part1_intro/empty.c
- Objectives:
 - View source: Valid C program? Can it compile? Can it link?
 - PE-bear: entry point? sections?
 - O IDA: entry function?
 - x32dbg: loaded/mapped modules?

hello.exe - Part 1, Example 2

- Source File: part1_intro/hello.c
- Objectives:
 - PE-bear: find entry point
 - o IDA:
 - So many functions from a single-line program?
 - Find entry point and compare with written code

hello_msgbox.exe - Part 1, Example 3

- Source File: part1_intro/hello_msgbox.c
- Objectives:
 - View source: WinMain() instead of main()?
 - PE-bear: compare subsystem value with hello.exe
 - Review subsystems: Console, Windows, (older, POSIX)

hello_winapi_nocrt.exe - Part 1, Example 4

- Source File: part1_intro/hello_winapi_nocrt.c
- Objectives:
 - View source:
 - WinAPI functions instead of standard C functions?
 - EntryPoint() instead of main() function?
 - PE-bear: find entry point
 - IDA: a lot fewer functions without C runtime library

greeting.dll - Part 2, Example 1

- Source File: part2_dll/greeting.{c,h,def}
- Objectives:
 - View source: DllMain() instead of main() function?
 - PE-bear: exported functions

nullpad.exe - Part 2, Example 2

- Source File: part2_dll/nullpad.{c,h,rc}
- Objectives:
 - View source: dynamically resolves functions from greeting.dll using *LoadLibrary* and *GetProcAddress*
 - PE-bear: imported functions, resources
 - ResourceHacker: view resources
 - Vulnerable to DLL hijacking?

annoying.dll - Part 2, Example 3

- Source File: part2_dll/greeting.{c,h}
- Objectives:
 - View source: greeting.c compiled with -DANNOYING
 - Review Dynamic-Link Library Search Order
 - How could this DLL be used in a hijacking attempt against the Nullpad application

hello_getproc.exe - Part 3, Example 1

- Source File: part3_obfus/hello_getproc.c
- Objectives:
 - PE-bear: imports
 - GetModuleHandle, LoadLibrary, and GetProcAddress?
 - IDA: identify functions, cross-reference strings

hello_modenum.exe - Part 3, Example 2

- Source File: part3_obfus/hello_modenum.c
- Objectives:
 - PE-bear: imports
 - IDA: identify functions, cross-reference strings helps
 - x32dbg: set breakpoint on GetProcAddress
 - Debugging can greatly speed up reversing

hello_stealth.exe - Part 3, Example 3

- Source File: part3_obfus/{hello_stealth.c, nt_internal.h}
- Objectives:
 - PE-bear: entry point, imports, section names
 - PEID KANAL: any signatures?
 - o **IDA**: several functions, no imports nor strings for clues
 - x32dbg: debugging can save time, e.g. return values
 - Bonus: zero out TLS directory, still executes how?

hello_zeros.exe - Part 3, Example 4

- Source File: part3 obfus/hello stealth.c
- Objectives:
 - Identify entry point, imports, sections
 - Identify any strings or cryptographic signatures
 - Fully reverse engineer the executable in IDA
 - How are imported functions resolved?
 - Debugging can save reversing time
 - Bonus: zero out TLS directory, still executes how?

infector.exe - Part 4

- Source File: part4_infect/infector.c
- Infection process:
 - Locate a code cavity in target executable
 - Write target's OEP into stub code
 - After stub executes, returns to OEP
 - Write stub into code cavity, adjust section headers if needed
 - Set PE's new entry point to the inserted stub

crackme1.exe - Take Home Project!

- Objective: reverse engineer the program and determine the algorithm required to generate the secret code
- Console-based crackme, run from command prompt
- Hints:
 - Code validation is base on the entered name
 - Locate validation function by debugging or following references to strings

crackme2.exe - Take Home Project!

- Objective: reverse engineer the program and determine the algorithm required to generate the secret code
- Also, it plays chiptunes while you're busy reversing :-)
- Hints:
 - Identify cryptographic signatures (e.g. findcrypt, PEiD KANAL)
 - Code validation is based on strings from certain WinAPI calls
 - User32!GetDlgItemTextA retrieves text from an edit control