Brute-Force Reductions Between DOMINATING-SET and the Continuous Min–Max p-Center Problem

Anonymous Author

Department of Computer Science, Fictional University author@cs.example.edu

Abstract—We give transparent, exponential-time algorithms and many-to-one reductions that convert any instance of DOMINATING-SET (DS) into an equivalent instance of the continuous Min—Max p-Center (multicenter) problem, and vice versa. Although classical textbooks already prove polynomial-time equivalence via gadget constructions, our goal is a minimal, easily auditable Python prototype suitable for teaching and ground-truth generation on graphs with at most fifteen vertices. We describe formal problem definitions, present brute-force pseudocode, prove the correctness of both reductions, and report empirical runtimes on paths, cycles, stars, cliques and disconnected graphs. All source code and datasets are publicly available.¹

I. INTRODUCTION

The decision version of DOMINATING-SET is NP-complete even on bipartite graphs [1]. The Min–Max p-Center problem—a continuous facility-location variant where centres may lie on edges—generalises DS by choosing a covering radius R instead of fixing it to 1. Reductions between the two problems appear in the literature but rarely in executable form. We contribute a *pure brute-force* reference implementation that:

- enumerates every subset of at most k vertices for DS,
- enumerates every subset of at most p centres chosen from vertices and edge midpoints for p-Center,
- outputs explicit witnesses for each "yes" instance, and
- verifies yes-to-yes / no-to-no correspondence for both reductions.

The code is 50 LOC per algorithm and thus ideal for classroom demonstrations or benchmarking heuristics.

II. PRELIMINARIES

[Dominating Set] Given an undirected graph G=(V,E) and integer k, decide whether there exists $D\subseteq V$ with $|D|\le k$ such that every $v\in V$ is either in D or adjacent to a vertex in D.

[Continuous Min–Max p-Center] Given G, an integer p and radius $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, decide whether one can place at most p centres on vertices or anywhere along edges so that every vertex is within graph-distance R of some centre.

Notation. Distances are shortest-path lengths; all edges are unit-length in our experiments.

Algorithm 1 Brute Dominating-Set

```
Require: Graph G = (V, E), integer k

1: for r \leftarrow 1 to k do

2: for all subsets S \subseteq V of size r do

3: D \leftarrow S \cup \bigcup_{u \in S} N(u)

4: if |D| = |V| then

5: return S {Witness set}

6: end if

7: end for

8: end for

9: return None {No dominating set}
```

Algorithm 2 Brute Continuous *p*-Center Feasibility

Require: Graph G, integer p, radius R

```
E\} 2: Precompute all-pairs distances d(u,v) by Floyd–Warshall 3: for r\leftarrow 1 to p do 4: for all subsets S\subseteq C of size r do 5: if \forall x\in V: \min_{c\in S} d(x,c)\leq R then 6: return (true, S) 7: end if 8: end for
```

1: $C \leftarrow \{(\text{node}, v) \mid v \in V\} \cup \{(\text{edge}, (u, v), 0.5) \mid (u, v) \in V\}$

III. BRUTE-FORCE ALGORITHMS

A. Dominating-Set Search

10: **return** (**false**, NONE)

9: end for

Algorithm 1 enumerates every subset of size $\leq k$; its time complexity is $\Theta(\sum_{r < k} \binom{n}{r} (n+m))$.

B. p-Center Feasibility

Algorithm 2 discretises centre locations to vertices plus edge midpoints; this suffices for unweighted graphs.

IV. BIDIRECTIONAL REDUCTIONS

A. $DS \rightarrow p$ -Center

Copy G unchanged, assign unit weight to every edge, set p=k and R=1. If D is a dominating set, placing centres at every $v\in D$ covers each vertex within distance 1. Conversely,

¹https://github.com/example/brute-ds-pcenter

any feasible p-center solution with R=1 yields a dominating set of equal size.

B. p-Center \rightarrow DS

Build $H = (V, E_H)$ where $(u, v) \in E_H$ iff $\operatorname{dist}_G(u, v) \leq R$. A size-p dominating set in H corresponds to p centres of radius R in G, and vice versa. Our brute routine explicitly enumerates candidate subsets of vertices+midpoints until it finds a covering witness (YES) or exhausts the search (NO).

V. INPUT FORMAT

The program takes as input:

- 1) An edge-list CSV file (e.g. edges.csv) describing an undirected, unweighted graph G=(V,E). Each row contains two non-negative integers separated by a comma, representing an edge (u,v) with $u,v\in V$. Vertex labels may be arbitrary integers but must be consistent throughout.
- 2) A positive integer k, specifying
 - the maximum size of the dominating set to search for, and
 - the number of centers in the p-center problem.

$\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE I} \\ \text{A sample input CSV file} \end{array}$

1	2
2	1
3	1

VI. OUTPUT FORMAT

Running the notebooks produces two kinds of output, both in-memory:

1) **Console / Notebook Log** Each code cell prints diagnostic information to stdout. A typical run emits lines in the following order:

```
Dominating set k: \{0, 2\}
Distance to nearest dominating node
for each node:
  Node 0: 0.000
  Node 1: 1.000
  Node 2: 0.000
 Node 3: 1.000
  Node 4: 1.000
Min{Max multicenter ( k):
(('node', 0),('node', 2))
radius 1.000
Distance to nearest center
for each node:
  Node 0: 0.000
  Node 1: 1.000
  Node 2: 0.000
  Node 3: 1.000
  Node 4: 1.000
```

DS→Multicenter reduction feasible? True Multicenter→DS reduction dominating set: {0, 2}

- 2) **Inline Figures** Two Matplotlib drawings are displayed directly below the cell that invokes them:
 - *Dominating-set plot* the input graph with vertices in the dominating set coloured red.
 - Min-max p-center plot the same graph with the chosen centres highlighted (red circles for nodecentres, red "X" at any edge-midpoint centres) and dashed coverage circles of radius R.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

All tests were run in Python 3.11. Graphs up to n=15 keep runtimes below 2s.

Graph	n	DS?	pC?	Both agree
Path ₄	4	Y	Y	✓
Cycle ₅	5	Y	Y	\checkmark
Star ₅	5	Y	Y	\checkmark
Clique ₄	4	Y	Y	\checkmark
Disconnected ₆	6	N	N	✓

Figure 1 shows a Path₄ instance with its dominating set and covering circles for the corresponding *p*-center solution.



Fig. 1. Path₄: dominating set (left) and 2-centre cover (right).

VIII. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

The exhaustive algorithms explode once k>7; pruning rules or a branch-and-bound scheme could extend the practical range. Handling *weighted* graphs would require a finer discretisation of candidate centres. Replacing brute search by state-of-the-art DS solvers (e.g. MaxSAT encoding) and p-center heuristics will scale to hundreds of vertices while preserving reduction-based correctness.

IX. CONCLUSION

We provided compact, auditable Python code that realises the classical equivalence between DOMINATING-SET and the continuous Min–Max *p*-Center. Though exponential, the routines generate ground-truth solutions for graphs with up to fifteen vertices and serve as a didactic reference for courses in algorithms and complexity.

REFERENCES

[1] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman, 1979.