Paper Title : Subtitle *

Apoorva Lal Stanford University

abstract goes here

Keywords: JEL keywords

CONTENTS

| Introduction | 2 |
|--|-------------|
| Model | 2 |
| Estimation Framework | 2 |
| Data Make plot in document | 2 2 4 |
| Results Embed stargazer output | 5 5 6 |
| Conclusion | 6 |
| Appendix | 6 |

 $^{^*}$ Acknowledgements here. **Current version**: May 13, 2018; **Corresponding author**: apoorval@stanford. edu.

INTRODUCTION

Deaton (1997)

The quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog¹.

Model

$$\max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$
s.t. $c_t + k_{t+1} \le f(k_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t$

ESTIMATION FRAMEWORK

outcome_{ict} =
$$\alpha_i + \sum_{k=0}^{2} \beta_{t-k}^p PPI_{ict-k} + \gamma_{ct} + \epsilon_{ict}$$

outcome_{ict} = $\alpha_i + \sum_{k=0}^{2} \beta_{t-k}^p PPI_{ict-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{2} + \beta_{t-k}^m CPI_{ict-k} + \gamma_c \times trend_t + \epsilon_{ict}$

DATA

MAKE PLOT IN DOCUMENT

¹but the dog's laziness is heavily debated




Figure 1: A scatterplot

EMBEDDED PLOT




Figure 2: Luminosity Trends

RESULTS

EMBED STARGAZER OUTPUT

Table 1

| | Dependent variable: | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | hwy | | | |
| cyl | -1.685*** | | | |
| | (0.142) | | | |
| factor(class)compact | -2.238* | | | |
| | (1.336) | | | |
| factor(class)midsize | -2.027 | | | |
| | (1.311) | | | |
| factor(class)minivan | -6.112*** | | | |
| | (1.462) | | | |
| factor(class)pickup | -9. 555*** | | | |
| - | (1.279) | | | |
| factor(class)subcompact | -1.663 | | | |
| - | (1.335) | | | |
| factor(class)suv | -8.410*** | | | |
| | (1.240) | | | |
| Constant | 38.278*** | | | |
| | (1.639) | | | |
| Observations | 234 | | | |
| \mathbb{R}^2 | 0.808 | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.802 | | | |
| Residual Std. Error | 2.649 (df = 226) | | | |
| F Statistic | 135.916*** (df = 7; 226) | | | |
| Note: | *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0. | | | |

INPUT STANDALONE LATEX TABLE

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| | Linear | Quadratic | Spline | Interaction |
| | b/se | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Population Growth | 0.054* | 0.180* | | 0.085* |
| | (0.0017) | (0.0043) | | (0.0053) |
| Population Growth Squared | | -0.053* | | |
| | | (0.0017) | | |
| pop_growth: below median | | | 0.097* | |
| | | | (0.0023) | |
| pop_growth: above median | | | -0.071* | |
| | | | (0.0049) | |
| above_median=1 \times Population Growth | | | | -0.025* |
| | | | | (0.0042) |
| Constant | -0.045* | -0.096* | -0.072* | -0.054* |
| | (0.0016) | (0.0023) | (0.0019) | (0.0023) |
| Observations | 1182563 | 1182563 | 1182563 | 1182563 |
| R^2 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 |

CONCLUSION

Something significant

APPENDIX

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Deaton, Angus (1997). *The Analysis of Household Surveys: A Microeconometric Approach to Development Policy*. World Bank Publications. ISBN: 0-8018-5254-4.