# Osborne's Lectures on Symmetries and Quantum Mechanics

May 26, 2024

## **Preface**

These are lecture notes by Apoorv Potnis of the lecture series 'Symmetries and Quantum Mechanics', given by **Prof. Tobias J. Osborne** in 2023 at the Leibniz Universität Hannover. Prof. Osborne discusses the basics of the representation theory of groups in the context of quantum mechanics in this short lecture series. The video lecture series is available at https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLDfPUNusx1ErdQhrdAzincNJKgTQahsX\_&feature=shared.

The source code, updates and corrections to this document can be found on this GitHub repository: https://github.com/apoorvpotnis/osborne\_symmetries. The source code is embedded in this PDF. Comments and corrections can be mailed at apoorvpotnis@gmail.com.

## Contents

1	Basics, Wigner's theorem and linear representation of groups		
	1.1	Prerequisites and references	7
	1.2	Postulates of quantum mechanics	7
		Symmetries	8
	1.4	Linear representations	11
2	Linear representations		
	2.1	Goals for the course	13
	2.2	Quantisation	14
$\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{i}}$	ibliog	graphy	15
Index			

6 CONTENTS

## Chapter 1

# Basics, Wigner's theorem and linear representation of groups

#### 1.1 Prerequisites and references

We assume that the reader has a working knowledge of linear algebra and is familiar with basic ideas of quantum mechanics. Mainly, one needs the knowledge of the postulates of quantum mechanics, which can be learnt from Prof. Osborne's video lecture on YouTube titled 'Quantum mechanics essentials: Everything you need for quantum computation' [1].

The main reference book this lecture course series is based on is the following famous book of Serre.

Jean-Pierre Serre. Linear Representations of Finite Groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 42. Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1977. ISBN: 978-1-4684-9460-0. Translated from the French by Leonard L. Scott.

The first part of this book is based on lectures given by the eminent mathematician Jean-Pierre Serre to a group of quantum chemists. One may look at the first quantum field theory volume of Weinberg, but it is too advanced for our present purposes and one would have great difficulties reading it.

Steven Weinberg. The Quantum Theory of Fields: Foundations. Vol. 1. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005. ISBN: 978-0-521-55001-7.

### 1.2 Postulates of quantum mechanics

We briefly state the postulates of quantum mechanics.

8 CHAPTER 1.

- 1. A Hilbert space corresponds to every quantum mechanical system.
- 2. The states of quantum mechanical systems are represented by density matrices.
- 3. The measurements or detectors are represented by positive operators.
- 4. Börn rule.
- 5. Schrödinger's equation.
- 6. Tensor product for composite systems.

We shall focus on the fifth postulate, namely the Schrödinger equation. We argue that we don't actually need it and it can be extracted from the other postulates.

### 1.3 Symmetries

A symmetry on a quantum system is a physical operation that can be performed or can occur. The most general operation in quantum mechanics that can occur on a a system is represented by a completely positive map. Symmetries thus need to be completely positive maps as well. In fact, we shall argue that symmetries form a small subset of completely positive maps. Take a system, and wait for some time  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is possible for a system to not change in that time. Thus, waiting for time t is a symmetry. For every time  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a possible symmetry operation, namely, waiting for time t. Thus, we get a set of symmetries labelled by t. What we are actually are interested in this course are sets of symmetries. We call the set of all labels as G. There are some properties for symmetries which we desire, as follows.

- 1. The symmetry of doing nothing must always be present in the set of symmetries.
- 2. If we have two symmetries, then we must be able to do them one after the other, and the resulting operation must be a symmetry as well.
- 3. If we have a symmetry operation, we must be able to 'reverse' the operation to get the system back to its initial state.

It should be noted that these symmetry operations are the in principle the 'possible' operations on a system, operations that we can think of, at the very

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>But then how are symmetries different from a general completely positive map?

1.3. SYMMETRIES 9

least. It may be extremely difficult, or impossible even, to actually perform these operations on a physical system.

In order to capture the three requirements that the set of symmetries must possess, we formalise the notion of an algebraic group, which the reader will have no doubt encountered before.

**Definition 1.3.1** (Group). A group is a set G, together with a law of composition, i.e. a composition map

$$\begin{aligned} & \circ \colon G \times G \to G, \\ & \circ \colon (x,y) \mapsto x \circ y, \end{aligned}$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- 1.  $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in G$ , i.e. the product is associative.
- 2. G contains a unit element 1 such that  $x \circ 1 = 1 \circ x = x$ , for all  $x \in G$ .
- 3. For all  $x \in G$ , there exists an inverse  $y \in G$  such that  $x \circ y = y \circ x = 1$ .

We would often drop the  $\circ$  and denote  $x \circ y$  as simply xy.

#### Examples 1.3.2.

(a)  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is a group consisting of two elements;  $\{0,1\}$  with addition operation  $\oplus$  defined as the usual addition modulo 2. It is the group of reflections, and physically captures the symmetry of charge conjugation, parity, etc. The group multiplication table is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \end{array}$$

- (b)  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a group with the addition operation. Similarly,  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is a group too. This can represent the symmetries of a lattice of atoms.
- (c)  $\mathbb{R}$  is a group with the addition operation. This captures the time and space translation symmetries.
- (d) The symmetries of the square, the dihedral group  $D_4$ , of order 8, forms a group as well. The order of a finite group is the number of elements it contains. The group consists of rotations and flips of a square. This group is non-Abelian. A group is said to be Abelian if for all  $x, y \in G$ , we have that xy = yx.

CHAPTER 1.

(e) Let V be a finite-dimensional complex vector space. We denote by  $\operatorname{GL}(V)$  the group of isomorphisms of V with itself, i.e. the group of all invertible linear maps  $a\colon V\to V$ . Such maps may be identified with matrices, with order  $n\times n$ , when  $\dim(V)=n<\infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}=\{|e_i\rangle\mid j=1,\ldots,n\}$  be a basis. Then we have that

$$a|e_j\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{kj}|e_k\rangle.$$

(f) Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Then the set  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  of unitary operators acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a group. It is an extremely important group in quantum mechanics as it effectively forms the set of symmetries of a quantum system.<sup>2</sup>

All the known symmetries in physics are captured by groups, thus **symmetries** of physical systems will be labelled by groups. It should be noted that there are some speculations that one might need some different structure such as a fusion category, but this is advanced stuff that we won't discuss in this course. It is not yet clear whether fusion categories have physical relevance.

We already have an intuitive idea of what it means for two groups 'to be basically the same'. We now formalise that.

**Definition 1.3.3** (Group homomorphism). Let G and H be two groups. A group homomorphism of G into H is a map

$$f \colon G \to H$$

such that

$$f(g_1g_2) = f(g_1)f(g_2)$$

for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , and  $f(1_G) = 1_H$ .

Thus, a group homomorphism preserves the group structure of G.

**Example 1.3.4.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \{\mathbb{I}, c\}$  by  $f(0) = \mathbb{I}$  and f(1) = c, where c denotes charge conjugation. Then f is a group homomorphism.

We now contrast the notion of a symmetry with something known as a symmetry transformation.

**Definition 1.3.5** (Symmetry transformation). A symmetry transformation

$$T: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>But Prof. Osborne then immediately remarks that there exist symmetries which are not unitaries. I don't understand how this is not a contradiction.

is an invertible transformation of rays in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  which preserves the transition probabilities, i.e. for all  $|\psi\rangle \in [|\psi\rangle]^3$  and  $|\phi\rangle \in [|\phi\rangle]$ , with  $|\psi'\rangle \in [|\psi\rangle]$  and  $|\phi'\rangle \in [|\phi\rangle]$ ,

$$\left| \langle \psi' | \phi' \rangle \right|^2 = \left| \langle \psi | \phi \rangle \right|^2.$$

Note that we haven't explicitly demanded that T be linear, however the famous Wigner's theorem forces the symmetry transformations to be linear, as we shall shortly discuss. It seems that what we call symmetry transformations are sometimes referred to as Wigner symmetries in the literature. There exist other notions of symmetries, such as Kadison symmetries, Kadison symmetries in dual formulation and Segal symmetries [4][5, chp. 12].

### 1.4 Linear representations

**Definition 1.4.1** (Linear and unitary representations). Suppose G is a finite group with the identity 1, and let V be a complex vector space. A linear representation of G in V is a group homomorphism

$$\rho \colon G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$$
.

A linear representation is said to be unitary if  $\rho(G) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ .

Since  $\rho$  is a group homomorphism, we have that  $\rho(1) = \mathbb{I}_n$  and  $\rho(st) = \rho(s)\rho(t)$  for all  $s, t \in G$ . We would often denote  $\rho(s)$  by  $\rho_s$ . By abuse of notation/terminology, we would often refer to a linear or unitary representation  $\rho$  of G in V simply as 'the representation V'.

**Theorem 1.4.2** (Wigner). Any symmetry transformation T has a representation on  $\mathcal{H}$  as an operator which is either unitary or anti-unitary.

The proof of Wigner's theorem is not discussed in this course. Prof. Osborne discusses the proof in the eighteenth lecture of his 'Advanced Quantum Mechanics' course, available on YouTube [6].

We now discuss an important non-example. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^4$  and  $G = \mathrm{O}(3,1)$  be the group of Lorentz transformations. There does not exist a  $\rho \colon \mathrm{O}(3,1) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^4)$  that is non-trivial. In fact, there is no non-trivial unitary representation of the Lorentz group on any finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Thus, when one tries to combine special relativity and quantum mechanics, one is inevitably drawn to infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

 $<sup>^{3}[|\</sup>psi\rangle]$  denotes the ray space of  $|\psi\rangle$ , i.e.  $[|\psi\rangle] := \{e^{i\theta}|\psi\rangle \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>An anti-unitary transformation U is a transformation for which  $U(a|\psi\rangle) = a^*(U|\psi\rangle)$ .

12 CHAPTER 1.

## Chapter 2

## Linear representations

#### 2.1 Goals for the course

For the remaining part of the course, we shall try to construct 'minimal' quantum mechanical systems which posses the particular symmetry we are interested in. Once we have that, we can build other, more complicated systems from them. Finally, we can attempt to classify the systems according to their symmetry groups.

A long term goal in theoretical physics is to find out that given a space-time metric manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , what quantum systems 'correspond to'  $\mathcal{M}$ ? This is a very hard question which is researched still today. By 'correspond to' we mean that for every 'achievable' operation on  $\mathcal{M}$ , there is a corresponding quantum mechanical operation implementing that operation. It turns out that the correct choice for 'achievable' operations permitted on the manifold are the ones which preserve distances on the manifold. Thus, these operations form the isometry group of  $\mathcal{M}$ , denoted as  $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{M})$ .

#### Examples 2.1.1.

- 1. Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \text{E}(3)$ , the Euclidean group consisting of all the translations, rotations and reflections of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . One can identify  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with E(3): if we have a single point and apply all the operations available to us from E(3), we get the entire space.
- 2. Let us take  $\mathcal{M}$  to be the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{M}_{3,1}$ , which we identify with  $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$ , in standard co-ordinates. Recall that the metric on  $\mathbb{M}_{3,1}$  is given by the space-time interval. The isometries of  $\mathbb{M}_{3,1}$  form the Poincaré group  $\mathrm{IO}(3,1)$ .
- 3. If we take  $\mathbb{S}^2$  to be the manifold, with distances given by great circles, we have O(3) as the isometries.

CHAPTER 2.

4. If we take an equilateral triangle as our manifold (seen as embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), we get the dihedral group  $D_3$  as the isometry group.

### 2.2 Quantisation

The correction notion of quantisation is as follows. Given a classical system on a manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , we find a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  corresponding to the system, and then find a unitary representation<sup>1,2,3</sup>

$$\rho \colon \operatorname{Isom}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Note that this quantisation procedure is co-ordinate free. Recall that the symmetry group of  $\mathbb{M}_{3,1}$  as space symmetries as well and time symmetries. The translation in time symmetry also has a representation in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ , which is the Schrödinger equation. The Lorentz transformations mix up space and time symmetries, and this turns out to be really hard to analyse. The quantisation procedure shown above is in general hard to do in practice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>But this gives only space-time symmetries, right? What about symmetries such as swapping identical particles?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We need to assign a unique(?) Hilbert space to the system as well? How do we do that? Using C\*-algebras and the GNS theorem?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The usual canonical commutation relations among the position and momentum operators arise via the representations of the *Heisenberg group*. The *Stone-von Neumann theorem* ensures that these relations are the only unitary representations of this group. The Heisenberg group is the group of *projective phase-space translations*.

## **Bibliography**

- [1] Tobias Osborne. Quantum mechanics essentials: Everything you need for quantum computation. Video lecture on YouTube. 2023. URL: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=28ABEInFxBQ.
- [2] Jean-Pierre Serre. Linear Representations of Finite Groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 42. Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1977. ISBN: 978-1-4684-9460-0. Translated from the French by Leonard L. Scott.
- [3] Steven Weinberg. The Quantum Theory of Fields: Foundations. Vol. 1. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005. ISBN: 978-0-521-55001-7.
- [4] Valter Moretti (https://physics.stackexchange.com/users/3535 4/valter-moretti). Answer to the question 'Angular momentum Lie algebra for infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces'. Physics Stack Exchange (https://physics.stackexchange.com). Version: 2017-10-01 08:00:54Z. URL: https://physics.stackexchange.com/a/360131/81224.
- [5] Valter Moretti. Spectral Theory and Quantum Mechanics: Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Theories, Symmetries and Introduction to the Algebraic Formulation. 2nd ed. La Matematica per il 3+2. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland, 2017. ISBN: 978-3-319-70705-1. Translated from Italian by Simon G. Choissi.
- [6] Tobias Osborne. Advanced quantum theory, Lecture 18. Video lecture on YouTube. 2016. URL: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-Tb8B4\_9a7g.
- [7] Philip Bowers. Lectures on Quantum Mechanics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020. ISBN: 978-1-108-42976-4.
- [8] Frederic Schuller, Simon Rea, and Richie Dadhley. Lectures on the Geometric Anatomy of Theoretical Physics. Lecture notes in .pdf format. Lecturer: Prof. Frederic Paul Schuller. 2017. URL: https://drive.google.com/file/d/lnchF1fRGSY3R3rP1QmjUg7fe28tAS428/view.
- [9] Frederic Schuller. Lectures on the Geometric Anatomy of Theoretical Physics. Video lectures on YouTube. 2016. URL: https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLPH7f 7ZlzxTi6kS4vCmv4ZKm9u8g5yic.

16 BIBLIOGRAPHY

[10] Valter Moretti (https://physics.stackexchange.com/users/3535 4/valter-moretti). Answer to the question 'Angular momentum Lie algebra for infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces'. Physics Stack Exchange (https://physics.stackexchange.com). Version: 2024-05-17 21:03:48Z. URL: https://physics.stackexchange.com/a/814882/81224.

# Index

Group, 9 homomorphism, 10  Quantisation, 14  Representation linear, 11 unitary, 11	Kadison, 11 Kadison symmetry in dual transformation, 11 of a quantum system, 8 Segal, 11 transformation, 10 Wigner, 11
Symmetry	Wigner's theorem, 11