

# Astronomy from 4 perspectives: the Dark Universe

---

prepared by: Florence participants and BMS

## exercise: Supernova-cosmology and dark energy

### 1. *light-propagation in FLRW-spacetimes*

Photons travel along null geodesics,  $ds^2 = 0$ , in any spacetime.

- (a) Please show that by introducing *conformal time*  $\tau$  in a suitable definition, one recovers Minkowskian light propagation  $c\tau = \pm\chi$  in comoving distance  $\chi$  and conformal time  $\tau$  for FLRW-spacetimes,

$$ds^2 = c^2 d\tau^2 - a^2(t) d\chi^2, \quad (\text{I})$$

which we have assumed to be spatially flat for simplicity.

- (b) What's the relationship between conformal time  $\tau$  and cosmic time  $t$ ? What would the watch of a cosmological observer display?
- (c) Please compute the conformal age of the Universe given a Hubble function  $H(a)$ ,

$$H(a) = H_0 a^{-3(1+w)/2} \quad (\text{II})$$

which is filled up to the critical density with a fluid with a fixed equation of state  $w$ .

- (d) In applying  $ds^2 = 0$  to the FLRW-metric we have assumed a radial geodesic - is this a restriction?
- (e) Please draw a diagram of a photon propagating from a distant galaxy to us in conformal coordinates for a cosmology of your choice, with markings on the light-cone corresponding to equidistant  $\Delta a$ .

### 2. *light-propagation in perturbed metrics*

The weakly perturbed ( $|\Phi| \ll c^2$ ) Minkowskian metric is given by

$$ds^2 = \left(1 + 2\frac{\Phi}{c^2}\right) c^2 dt^2 - \left(1 - 2\frac{\Phi}{c^2}\right) dx_i dx^i \quad (\text{III})$$

with the Newtonian potential  $\Phi$ . Please compute the effective speed of propagation  $c' = d|x|/dt$  for a photon following a null geodesic  $ds^2 = 0$ . Please Taylor-expand the expression in the weak-field limit  $|\Phi| \ll c^2$ : Can you assign an effective index of refraction to a region of space with a nonzero potential?

### 3. *classical potentials including a cosmological constant*

The field equation of classical gravity including a cosmological constant  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\Delta\Phi = C(n)G\rho + \lambda \quad (\text{IV})$$

- (a) Solve the field equation for  $n$  dimensions outside a spherically symmetric and static matter distribution  $\rho$ . You find an expression for the Laplace-operator in spherical coordinates for  $n$  dimensions on Wikipedia, as well as for the solid angle element  $C(n)$ , with  $C(3) = 4\pi$ . Also, please set as the total mass  $M$

$$M = C(n) \int_0^r dr' (r')^n \rho(r) \quad (\text{V})$$

- (b) Please show, that both source terms individually give rise to power-law solutions for  $\Phi(r)$ .
- (c) Is there a distance where the attractive part from the  $\rho$ -terms is equal to the repulsive  $\lambda$ -term?

#### 4. *physics close to the horizon*

Why is it necessary to observe supernovae at the Hubble distance  $c/H_0$  to see the dimming in accelerated cosmologies? Please start at considering the curvature scale of the Universe: A convenient quantisation of curvature might be the Ricci-scalar  $R = 6H^2(1 - q)$  for flat FLRW-models.

- (a) Can you define a distance scale  $d$  or a time scale from  $R$ ?
- (b) What happens on scales  $\ll d$ , what on scales  $\gg d$ ?

#### 5. *measure cosmic acceleration*

The luminosity distance  $d_{\text{lum}}(z)$  in a spatially flat FLRW-universe is given by

$$d_{\text{lum}}(z) = (1 + z) \int_0^z dz' \frac{1}{H(z')} \quad (\text{VI})$$

with the Hubble function  $H(z)$ . Let's assume that the Universe is filled with a cosmological fluid up to the critical density with a fluid with equation of state  $w$ , such that the Hubble function is

$$H(z) = H_0(1 + z)^{\frac{3(1+w)}{2}}. \quad (\text{VII})$$

- (a) For this type of cosmology you will obtain acceleration if  $w < -1/3$  and deceleration for  $w > -1/3$ : Please show this by computing the deceleration parameter  $q = -\ddot{a}a/\dot{a}^2$  from the Hubble-function  $H = \dot{a}/a$ , with the relation  $a = 1/(1 + z)$ .
- (b) Please show that in accelerated universes supernovae appear systematically dimmer, because  $d_{\text{lum}}$  is always larger than in a non-accelerating universe.
- (c) Is it true that  $d_{\text{lum}}$  is systematically smaller in a decelerating universe?
- (d) Would the expression for  $d_{\text{lum}}$  still be valid if the universe was contracting instead of expanding? What correction would you need to apply?