Astronomy from 4 perspectives: the Dark Universe

prepared by: Padova participants and BMS

exercise: Planck-spectrum and the CMB

1. properties of the Planck-spectrum

Let's derive the fundamental properties of the Planck-spectrum,

$$S(\nu) = S_0 \frac{\nu^3}{\exp(h\nu/(k_B T)) - 1} \rightarrow S(\nu) = S_0 \nu^3 \exp(-h\nu/(k_B T)),$$
 (I)

by using Wien's approximation (the second expression), which makes the integrals easier. The constant S_0 depends only on numbers, natural and mathematical constants.

- (a) Please compute the total intensity $\int_0^\infty d\nu \, S(\nu)$ and show that it is $\propto T^4$.
- (b) Show that the position v_m of the maximum scales $v_m \propto T$.
- (c) Please derive the scaling of the mean

$$\langle \nu \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty d\nu \, \nu S(\nu)}{\int_0^\infty d\nu \, S(\nu)} \tag{II}$$

and show that it is proportional to T.

- (d) Is there a fixed ratio between $\langle v \rangle$ and v_m ?
- (e) In which limit is Wien's approximation applicable?
- (f) Do the scaling behaviours with T derived above depend on the details of the distribution?

2. Wien's distribution function

Let's stick for a second with Wien's distribution function in *n* dimensions,

$$S(\nu) = S_0 \nu^n \exp(-h\nu/(k_B T)), \tag{III}$$

and derive a few general properties, which will hold for the Planck-distribution as well (although the computations are more complicated).

(a) Please begin by showing that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dx \, x^{n} \exp(-x) = n! \tag{IV}$$

using n-fold integration by parts.

(b) Alternatively, please show the recursion relation of the Γ -function,

$$\Gamma(n) = (n-1)\Gamma(n-1),$$
 together with $\Gamma(0) = 1.$ (V)

The Γ -function is defined by

$$\Gamma(n) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, x^{n-1} \exp(-x),\tag{VI}$$

and is related to the factorial by $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$

(c) What scaling of the moments

$$\langle v^m \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty d\nu \, v^m S(\nu)}{\int_0^\infty d\nu \, S(\nu)} \tag{VII}$$

with temperature T do you expect?

- (d) Please show that the skewness parameter $s = \langle v^3 \rangle / \langle v^2 \rangle^{3/2}$ and the kurtosis parameter $k = \langle v^4 \rangle / \langle v^2 \rangle^2$ are independent from the temperature T. What would be the physical interpretation of s and k?
- (e) Would an equivalent result be true for the parameter $\langle v^{2n} \rangle / \langle v^2 \rangle^n$?

3. Planck-spectra at cosmological distances

Imagine you observe an object emitting a Planck-spectrum at a cosmological distance, such that all photons arrive with a redshifted frequency $v \to av = v/(1+z)$ with scale factor a (remember a < 1) and redshift z. A couple of students discusses the fact that the temperature scales with $T \propto 1/a$ and that the photons are redshifted: What's your opinion on the different arguments?

- (a) Johannes from Heidelberg says: The temperature T of a photon gas is linked to the thermal energy E by $E = k_B T$. Then, the relativistic dispersion relation of the photons assumes E = cp with the momentum p. The momentum p is given by the de Broglie-relationship as $p = h/\lambda$. If now the photon wavelength is changed $\lambda \to a\lambda$, the temperature needs to scale $T \propto 1/a$.
- (b) Antonia from Padova says: What about a purely thermodynamical argument? A gas of photons has an adiabatic index of $\kappa = 4/3$, and the Hubble expansion is an adiabatic change of state, because there is no thermal energy created or dissipated. Then, the adiabatic invariant says that $TV^{\kappa-1}$ is conserved, which gives me $T \propto 1/a$ with $V \propto a^3$. And I understand why entropy is conserved but not energy.
- (c) Marlene from Jena says: Due to the Hubble-expansion, every point is in recession motion with respect to every other point. If a photon gets scattered into your direction, the scattering particle will necessarily move away from you, leading to a lower perceived energy and a larger wavelength. It's important to view it like that because a photon gas can not change its state without interaction due to the linearity of electrodynamics, and this argument shows that it's a kinematical effect: It's a similarity transform of the Planck-spectrum.
- (d) Lorenzo from Florence says: It's important for the Planck-spectrum that the mean particle separation and the thermal wavelength are identical. You can only arrange for that if $T \propto 1/a$ if the particle separation increases $\propto a$. I'm only assuming that the number of photons is conserved, but not their energy, and that everything stays in equilibrium.

4. CMB as a source of energy

A Carnot-engine converts thermal energy taken from two reservoirs at different temperatures into mechanical energy at the efficiency $\eta = 1 - T_2/T_1$.

- (a) Estimate if one can use the temperature anisotropies in the CMB of $\Delta T/T \simeq 10^{-5}$ to generate mechanical energy. How much power could you realistically generate? Construct a machine that converts radiation power into mechanical energy.
- (b) Could you use the time evolution of the CMB-temperature for this purpose? You know already that $T \propto 1/a$, so please construct a machine that produces energy from the CMB.
- (c) Why does a solar cell transform the radiation from the Sun into electrical energy? One might argue that the Planck-spectrum is that of thermal equilibrium in which case the mechanical work is zero: Due to the first law, mechanical work can not be performed in thermal equilibrium. (please be careful: trick question)