

Electromagnetism
energy and space
Keep It Simple Stupid
(KISS) – Ideas
REPLAY

For discussions from the nature's nature !
(<http://github.com/apotkonen/gt>)

Potkonen Ari

December 25, 2023

PREFACE

Personally I have been missing clear coupling and simplicity between electromagnetism and quantum mechanics modeling, which is now fuzzy area, overloaded with terms. Peoples have done lot of good work and existing models are usable for the practical life. Still there is need to go back in time and lift again obvious possible connection between electromagnetism and quantum physics on the table, if there is any possibility to get any clearance to our relativistic world. It's done with the lazy mathematician method: Guess the correct answer and let the others to proof that your and other historic proposals were right. Here correct answer is found with the simple "Duck test": "If it walks like a duck, looks like a duck, and quacks like a duck, it's a duck". In practice I state that there is only electromagnetism, energy and space in our relativistic world, nothing else, nothing less. Electromagnetism itself is kind of progressing phenomenon, supporting causality and offers for humans possibility to define time which is handy for modeling and tracking this causality, but time definition itself is also based to electromagnetism, energy and space, the major ingredients just proposed for our generally relativistic world. I really do not go to mathematic models, because then we overload ourselves and loose our target under mass of details. I try to explain needed change in our thinking in simplistic way, referring things we know from general relativity. Hopefully you'll get some ideas from here for your professional life!

Sincerely yours Ari Potkonen

Online: <http://github.com/apotkonen/gt>
<https://raw.githubusercontent.com/apotkonen/gt/main/general.pdf>

Editor: Not Named Yet
Author: Potkonen Ari
©2023 Potkonen Ari. All rights reserved.

All referred trademarks, logos and brand names are the property of their respective owners. This document is licensed under CC-BY-SA licensing scheme.

Any of the trademarks, service marks, collective marks, design rights, or similar rights that are mentioned, used, or cited are the property of their respective owners. Their use here does not imply that you may use them for any purpose other than for the same or a similar informational use as contemplated by the original author of document licensed under the CC-BY-SA licensing scheme. Author cannot grant any rights to use any otherwise protected materials. Your use of any such or similar incorporeal property is at your own risk.

Contents

Preface	i
Rights	ii
Contents	iii
List of Figures	iii
1 Guessing correct answer	1
1.1 Supposition	1
1.2 Hypothesis	2
1.3 So called "weak interaction"	4
1.4 So called "strong interaction"	5
1.5 Big Bang	6
2 Mathematical formulation	9
2.1 Pair production	9
2.2 Annihilation	10

3	Proof from formulation correctness	11
	Abstract	12

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Guessing correct answer

1.1 Supposition

Our generally relativistic world we see consists only from electromagnetism, energy and space. Nothing else is there !

Electromagnetism itself is kind of progressing phenomenon, supporting causality and offers for humans possibility to define time, which is handy for modeling and tracking this causality, but time definition itself is based to electromagnetism, energy and space, the major ingredients what just proposed for our generally relativistic world.

1.2 Hypothesis

Hypothesis is that subatomic particles electron, positron, proton and antiproton are actually pure electromagnetic waveforms curled up in it's own space.

Schwinger limit (Julian Schwinger [6]) gives limits for electric field $E_c = 1.23 \text{ EV/m}$ (Eksa Volt / meter) and magnetic field $B_c = 4.41 \text{ GT}$ (Giga Tesla) after we can see nonlinearity in scale of electron Compton wavelength (Arthur Compton [2]) $\lambda_e = 2426 \text{ fm}$.

Strong electromagnetic fields in Compton wavelength scale ($\lambda = \frac{h}{mc}$) can produce discrete numerable curled waveforms ($\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}$), $\lambda_e = 386 \text{ fm}$, harmonics where wave goes with light speed, but from local observers perspective outside from curled space, time seems to be stopped and we see kind of frozen representation from curled wave.

$$\hbar = \frac{6.582119569}{10^{16}} [\text{eVs}] = \frac{1.054571817}{10^{34}} [\text{Js}] \quad (1.1)$$

$$h = \frac{4.135667696}{10^{15}} \left[\frac{\text{eV}}{\text{Hz}} \right] = \frac{6.62607015}{10^{34}} \left[\frac{\text{J}}{\text{Hz}} \right] \quad (1.2)$$

$$m_e = \frac{E_e}{c^2} \quad (1.3)$$

Electron curled spacetime radius $\bar{\lambda}_e$ is

$$\bar{\lambda}_e = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c} = \frac{\hbar c}{E_e} = \frac{6.58 \text{ eVs} \cdot 299 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}}}{10^{13} \cdot 511 \text{ eV}} = 386 \text{ fm} \quad (1.4)$$

and diameter $\varnothing_e = 772 \text{ fm}$.

Electron annihilation released γ -photon wavelenght λ_γ is

$$\lambda_\gamma = \frac{h}{m_e c} = \frac{hc}{E_e} = \frac{4.14eVs \cdot 299\frac{m}{s}}{10^{12} \cdot 511eV} = 2.436pm \quad (1.5)$$

There are few possibilities what has happened; Either space is bending with light speed in curl so wave seems to be static or time has been stopped because wave in curl curving proceeds with light speed or both because light speed is reached in formed curl, kind of total internal reflection forming standing wave having only one peak visible for externals and other peak is fully internal, only visible inside of curl. This bending makes curled wave behave like noticeable charged particle, still being a discrete standing wave due to unlinearity making it possible to exist.

Curling can create discrete numbered relativistic bending forms to space, and we call known stable curl forms to electron, positron, proton and antiproton.

Each curl bend space around and bending is called to gravity. Electron is lowest energy curl which outside visible electric field is negative and other handed antiparticle positron has positive electric towards outside.

Because of this outside bended electric field, local observer can accelerate and move electrons and positrons using external electromagnetic field. When electron, it's curl is accelerated with the external electric field it will face relativistic Doppler effect it's wave is coming shorter which means that curled wave gains more energy and more mass. While decelerated curl wavelength widens and energy is lost to breaking against the external field.

Proton and antiproton next energy rich left and right hand sided electromagnetic field stable curls we know. Proton has positive field out-

wards and antiproton has negative field outwards. While energy is much bigger than electron has the wavelength of curl is shorter and curl size is smaller. Tight curl forms stronger bend to space and we see it as stronger gravity.

Electron negative electric field and proton positive electric field pull curls towards each other and those can superposition over the each other still maintaining own separate curls, proton smaller and electron bigger.

When curl and it's anticurl meet happens annihilation[1] where curl opens, gravity vanish and energy is again in electromagnetic form on local space. Pair production[5] can happen again from this released energy.

1.3 So called "weak interaction"

With the additional energy electron-curl $2426 fm$ [2] can reach proton-curl size $1.321 fm$ and form new harmonic curl called neutron $1.319 fm$. Due to needed extra energy neutron curl, even it's electric fields sum outside seem to be zero, is affected by external electric disturbances and cause neutron curl decay to proton and electron curl, average time to decay is about fifteen minutes.

This decay is called to beta β -decay to and acting force is called to weak force. Forces come during curl creation from electron Compton wavelength shortening taken energy – during size shrinking for electric field strengthening done work, and proton positive, electron negative electric fields mutual pulling force done work. These forces and work amounts are opposite ones, partially cancel each other, causing sum force to look a like "weak force" or "weak interaction".

It's good to notice that most likely relative forces are strong due curl internal lightspeed operation where impulse time is shorter than it looks from outside observers perspective.

1.4 So called "strong interaction"

There is no strong interaction at all, seen strong interaction is relativistic electromagnetic interaction. For example proton - proton interaction is two different proton curls interaction, electromagnetic force pulls those away from each other starting from long distances. While curls come near by each other starting to form common harmonic curl, common relativistic space where both electromagnetic waves fly at light speed, and outside observer sees static view from curl having positive electric field towards outside observer.

When these waves are near by, and they both are on nearby by light speed in they curls, which means that relativistic electromagnetic force average affecting time, impulse time, shorter than what static observer can see, therefore observer sees "strong interaction" because momentum is force multiplied with time, and now time is it's relativistic way 137[3] times shorter electromagnetic interaction than what observer thinks. This leads to situation where force has to be 137 times stronger than static observer thinks to get same effect happen [7] than what observer has been used to in he's local reference frame.

And if change work get done, then later on uncurlig also give that same bang backwards. Yep it's strong, but it's still electromagnetic force now forced to happen to item going with light speed at start state in it's own curl.

This same process affects to all known more or less stable nuclides[4]. External conditions may vary, causing smaller or bigger probability for certain combinations forming.

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} ; \quad \gamma = 137.035999084 \quad (1.6)$$

$$\frac{v}{c} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}} = 0.99997 \quad (1.7)$$

By counting from speed difference how many internal reflections Nr_{min} are needed to cover full circle $2\pi \text{ rad} = 360 \text{ deg}$ we notice that about 406 reflections do the thing; Wave full internal reflection from minimum matter amount, one electron itself.

$$Nr_{min} \geq \frac{\pi}{\arccos(\frac{v}{c})} = 405.58 \quad (1.8)$$

1.5 Big Bang

Even material production is shown mostly be pair production creating 50% matter and another 50% of antimatter. Due to matter and antimatter annihilation process repeats several times before local cumulation occur. Withing existing local cumulations, probability that matter can stay longer intact grows. There will be places having more antimatter and other places having more antimatter. This way material probability survive longer grows. Any asymmetry in creation will boost cumulation significantly because there is no need to have huge distances between before massive cumulations can occur

without risk to sudden annihilation due gravity merged local material and antimaterial cumulation collision.

Due to expected amount of energy and mass of big bang ingredients must had, we could say that black holes are in some amount reversible. Because you can't have that amount mass, localized energy, without black hole formation before bang.

Most obvious explanation for the big bang is supermassive antimaterial and material black holes collision and expansion due the material annihilation in big way, same time opening each particle curl which remove gravity and electromagnetic radiation pressure overcome reduced gravity even pair production try to maintain it, in these circumstances immediate annihilation occur and radiation energy is free to escape in form big bang.

Chapter 2

Mathematical formulation

You are welcomed to continue this work and fill the missing.

There is need to understand electric field in it's own space and how this looks from remote static observer viewpoint. All in detail.

2.1 Pair production

Incoming wavelets. Curl creation in collision. Curl own space curvature development and from observers point static situation after that.

Formulation of discrete curl curvature form, and it's relation to gravity.

2.2 Annihilation

Original curls in detail, how waves summing at cross section of curls and how this leads to curl opening.

Gravity behaviour during curl curvature opening.

Chapter 3

Proof from formulation correctness

You are welcomed to write either theoretical proof, or model something we could measure nature and compare to calculations made based to formulation.

Bibliography

- [1] *Annihilation*. URL: <http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Annihilation>.
- [2] *Compton wavelength*. URL: http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Compton_wavelength.
- [3] *Fine-structure constant*. URL: http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Fine-structure_constant.
- [4] *Live Chart of Nuclides*. URL: <https://www-nds.iaea.org/relnsd/vcharthtml/VChartHTML.html>.
- [5] *Pair production*. URL: http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Pair_production.
- [6] *Schwinger limit*. URL: http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Schwinger_limit.
- [7] *Time dilation*. URL: http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Time_dilation.

General Theory Back Cover Abstract

Root question here is that do we understand spacetime curvature around energy concentration when energy is small and dimensions are small on subatomic and atomic scale.

This booklet breaks traditional method based description and from the physics around as. Aim is have discussion, drop statistical methods for while for now and go back to the philosophy basics. Idea is well known from mathematics: Guess the correct answer and try to proof it to be true. In this booklet we really only lift the idea up, because others have done years and years work around these issues and we do not have solution yet.

Mostly what's done here is some proposal from reclassification of terms/items. Existing statistical method based theory verified by experiments, is not anyway wrong, but it's wide use prohibits, to ask meaningful questions. Or yes those have been asked, but not really seriously researched. Now I again lift these obvious questions and try to propose guessed, but obvious solution for accurate mathematical formulation. We need mathematical model capable to explain time dependent behaviour on local coordinate system and how it transforms to lightspeed frame in subatomic, atomic scale. not just the statistical representation of it as now with existing models.

If exact mathematical solution is not found, we should have at least enough to model and find computational solution for the simplest examples we could think.

this means that I state or assume that all what we have is spacetime, electromagnetism and energy. Nothing else is there, even we have told to ourselves something else.