Predicting Race and Ethnicity From the Sequence of Characters in a Name*

Gaurav Sood[†] Suriyan Laohaprapanon[‡]

May 5, 2018

Abstract

To answer questions about racial inequality, we often need a way to infer race and ethnicity from a name. Until now, a bulk of the focus has been on optimally exploiting the last names list provided by the Census Bureau. But there is more information in the first names, especially for African Americans. To estimate the relationship between full names and race, we exploit the Florida voter registration data and the Wikipedia data (Ambekar et al. 2009). In particular, we model the relationship between the sequence of characters in a name, and race and ethnicity using Long Short Term Memory Networks. Our out of sample (OOS) precision and recall for the full name model estimated on the Florida Voter Registration data is .83 and .84 respectively. This compares to OOS precision and recall of .79 and .81 for the last name only model. Commensurate numbers for Wikipedia data are .73 and .73 for the full name model and .66 and .67 for the last name model. To illustrate the use of this method, we apply our method to the campaign finance data to estimate the share of donations made by people of various racial groups.

^{*}Data and scripts behind the analysis presented here can be downloaded from http://github.com/appeler/ethnicolr.

[†]Gaurav can be reached at gsood07@gmail.com

[‡]Suriyan can be reached at: suriyant@gmail.com

How often are people of different races and ethnicities covered in the news? How often do African Americans contribute to political campaigns? To answer these questions and questions like these, we often need a way to infer race and ethnicity from names. Given the number of important questions at stake, numerous scholars have worked on inferring race from names. However, a bulk of the attention hitherto has been devoted to exploiting information in the last names list provided by the Census Bureau (see, e.g., Fiscella and Fremont 2006; Imai and Khanna 2016). These efforts suffer from one major handicap—lack of first names.

Lots of important data like the campaign donation records, voter registration records, etc., carry both the first and the last name of a person. And we could exploit the information in the first name to make better predictions about the person's race and ethnicity. The information in the first name is especially vital for African Americans, whose last names are hard to distinguish from non-Hispanic whites, and whose first names tend to distinctive (Bertrand and Mullainathan 2004).

In this paper, we exploit a novel source of data, the Florida Voter Registration Data for 2017, and Wikipedia data assembled by Ambekar et al. (2009), to build a model of the relationship between the sequence of characters in a name and race and ethnicity. We use a Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) model (Graves and Schmidhuber 2005) to learn the association between the sequence of characters and race and ethnicity of a person. Our out of sample (OOS) precision and recall for the full name model estimated on the Florida registration data is .83 and .84 respectively. This compares to OOS precision and recall of .79 and .81 for the last name only model. Commensurate numbers for Wikipedia data are .72 and .73 for the full name and .66 and .67 for the last name model. We illustrate the use of our method by applying it to the campaign finance data to estimate the share of donations made by people of various racial groups. We also plan to investigate whether people are more likely to contribute to co-ethnics conditional on ideology, and descriptive information

on the racial composition of public employees. Lastly, we provide a Python package to easily predict the race and ethnicity of names using the models developed in this paper.

Data

We exploit two large datasets for building our models. Our first dataset is the Florida Voting Registration data for the year 2017 (Sood 2017). The Florida Voting Registration for 2017 has information on nearly 13M voters along with their race. Given that we have very little data on multi-racial and Native American voters, we eliminate them from the data. Our final dataset only has information on voters who are Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, Non-Hispanic Blacks, and Non-Hispanic Whites (see Table 1).

Table 1: Registered Voters in Florida by Race.

race	n
asian	253,808
hispanic	2,179,106
nh black	1,853,690
nh white	8,757,268

The Wikipedia data were originally collected by a team lead by Steven Skiena as part of the project to build a classifier for race and ethnicity based on names. The team scraped Wikipedia to produce a novel database of over 140k name-race associations (see Table 2). For details of how the data was collected, see Ambekar et al. (2009). The dataset only contains unique names and can be seen as a sample of names of famous people. On the plus side, the Wikipedia data codes race at a much finer level—at a race, geographic region or religion level.

To derive some baselines, we also use the Census Bureau last name data (Census Bureau 2016). The Census Bureau provides the frequency of all surnames occurring 100 or more times for the 2000 and 2010 census. Technical details of how the 2000 and 2010 data

Table 2: Number of unique names by race in the Wikipedia Dataset.

race	n
Asian, Greater East Asian, East Asian	5,497
Asian, Greater East Asian, Japanese	7,334
Asian, Indian SubContinent	7,861
GreaterAfrican, Africans	3,672
GreaterAfrican, Muslim	6,242
GreaterEuropean,British	41,445
GreaterEuropean,EastEuropean	8,329
GreaterEuropean,Jewish	10,239
Greater European, West European, French	12,293
${\it Greater European, West European, Germanic}$	3,869
${\it Greater European, West European, Hispanic}$	10,412
${\it Greater European, West European, Italian}$	11,867
${\it Greater European, West European, Nordic}$	4,813

were collected can be found on the census website.

We can use the Wikipedia data and the Florida Voting Registration data as is but the Census data needs to be transformed before being used. The dataset that the Census Bureau issues aggregates data for each last name and provides the percentage of people with the last name who are Black, White, Asian, Hispanic, etc. Given some names are more common than others (2,442,977 Americans had the last name Smith in 2010 according to the Census Bureau), and given our interest in modeling the population distribution, we take a weighted random sample from this data with weight equal to how common the last name is in the population. We assign the floor of pctwhite as proportion white, the floor of pctblacks as proportion black, etc. (Since we are using the floor, we lose a few observations but we ignore this drop off.) We use this as the final dataset.

Model and Validation

To learn the association between the sequence of characters in names and race and ethnicity, we estimate an LSTM model (Graves and Schmidhuber 2005; Gers, Schmidhuber and

Cummins 1999) on approximately 1M randomly sampled names from the Florida Voter Registration Data and all the valid rows (n = 133,872) in the Wikipedia data. We estimate the last name model on a title case transformed version of the last name. For the full name model, we concatenate the last name and first name (ignoring the middle name) and again capitalize each word. We split the strings (last name or last name and first name) into two character chunks (bi-chars). For instance, Smith becomes Sm, mi, it, th. Next, we remove infrequent bi-chars (occurring less than 3 times in the data) and very frequent bi-chars (occurring in over 30% of the sequences in the data). We use the remaining bi-chars as our vocabulary. In the Florida Voting Registration Data, this leaves us with 1,146 bi-chars in the case of last name only data, and 1,604 bi-chars in the full name data. In the Wikipedia data, the corresponding numbers are 1,946 and 2,260. Next, we pad the sequences so that they are the same size. Finally, we use 20 as the window size for the last name only model and 25 for the full name model.

On this set of sequences, we train a LSTM model using Keras (Chollet et al. 2015) and TensorFlow (Abadi et al. 2016). Before estimating the LSTM model, we embed each of the words onto a 32 length real-valued vector. We then estimate a LSTM with a .2 dropout and .2 recurrent dropout for regularization (Srivastava et al. 2014). The last layer is a dense layer with a softmax activation. Because it is a classification problem, we use log loss as the loss function. And we use ADAM for optimization (Kingma and Ba 2014). We fit the model for 15 epochs with a batch size of 32.

Table 3 presents some metrics that shed light on how well we did with the last name only model in predicting race OOS using the Florida Voter Registration Data. The OOS precision is .79, recall is .81, and f1-score, the harmonic mean of precision and recall, is .78. There is however sizable variation in recall across different racial and ethnic groups. For instance, recall is .94 for whites and just .21 for non-Hispanic blacks.¹

¹You see the same pattern when we estimate the model on the Census last name data. Recall for blacks on the model estimated on both the 2000 and 2010 Census last name data is .09 and .07 respectively (see

Table 3: OOS Performance of the Last Name LSTM Model on the Florida Voter Registration Data.

race	precision	recall	f1-score	support
asian	0.77	0.41	0.54	4,527
hispanic	0.74	0.70	0.72	18,440
nh black	0.64	0.21	0.32	$28,\!586$
nh white	0.82	0.95	0.88	146,009
avg / total	0.79	0.81	0.78	197,562

Compared to the last name only model, we do much better with a full name model. The OOS precision, recall, and f1-score for the full name model is .83, .84, and .83 respectively (see Table 4). The gains are, however, asymmetric. Recall is considerably better for Asians and Non-Hispanic blacks with the full name—.49 and .43 respectively, compared to .41 and .21 respectively. The precision with which we predict non-Hispanic Blacks is also considerably higher—it is 9 points higher for the full name model. Given Asians and Hispanics have more distinctive last names, the improvement in precision in predicting both is smaller—negligible in the case of Asians and 2 points in the case of Hispanics.

Table 4: OOS Performance of the Full Name LSTM Model on the Florida Voter Registration Data.

race	precision	recall	f1-score	support
asian	0.77	0.49	0.60	4,527
hispanic	0.76	0.73	0.75	18,440
nh black	0.73	0.43	0.55	28,586
nh white	0.86	0.95	0.90	146,009
avg / total	0.83	0.84	0.83	197,562

Moving to Wikipedia, the metrics look less pleasing than for the models based on the Florida voter registration data. This is expected. We have much less data and many more categories in the Wikipedia data. As Table 5 shows, the OOS precision, recall, and f1-score for the last name only model is .66, .67, and .66 respectively. For the full name model, the metrics are considerably better. The precision, recall, and f1-score jump to .73 for each (see

Table 8 and Table 9).

Table 6).

Table 5: OOS Performance of the Last Name LSTM Model on the Wikipedia Data.

race	precision	recall	f1-score	support
Asian, Greater East Asian, East Asian	0.82	0.75	0.78	1099
Asian, Greater East Asian, Japanese	0.83	0.86	0.85	1467
Asian, Indian SubContinent	0.70	0.67	0.69	1572
GreaterAfrican, Africans	0.49	0.37	0.43	734
GreaterAfrican, Muslim	0.56	0.55	0.55	1248
GreaterEuropean,British	0.72	0.86	0.79	8289
GreaterEuropean,EastEuropean	0.72	0.65	0.68	1666
GreaterEuropean,Jewish	0.44	0.36	0.40	2048
${\it Greater European, West European, French}$	0.55	0.49	0.52	2459
${\it Greater European, West European, Germanic}$	0.41	0.27	0.33	774
${\it Greater European, West European, Hispanic}$	0.61	0.54	0.57	2082
${\it Greater European, West European, Italian}$	0.65	0.70	0.68	2374
${\it Greater European, West European, Nordic}$	0.72	0.54	0.62	963
avg / total	0.66	0.67	0.66	26775

Table 6: OOS Performance of the Full Name LSTM Model on the Wikipedia Data.

race	precision	recall	f1-score	support
Asian, Greater East Asian, East Asian	0.86	0.79	0.82	1,099
Asian, Greater East Asian, Japanese	0.91	0.89	0.90	1,467
Asian, Indian SubContinent	0.78	0.76	0.77	1,572
GreaterAfrican, Africans	0.56	0.44	0.49	734
GreaterAfrican, Muslim	0.67	0.66	0.67	1,248
GreaterEuropean,British	0.76	0.89	0.82	8,289
Greater European, East European	0.76	0.76	0.76	1,666
GreaterEuropean, Jewish	0.51	0.43	0.47	2,048
${\it Greater European, West European, French}$	0.73	0.58	0.64	2,459
${\it Greater European, West European, Germanic}$	0.51	0.41	0.46	774
${\it Greater European, West European, Hispanic}$	0.71	0.69	0.70	2,082
${\it Greater European, West European, Italian}$	0.74	0.75	0.75	2,374
${\it Greater European, West European, Nordic}$	0.70	0.66	0.68	963
avg / total	0.73	0.73	0.73	26,775

Application

To illustrate the utility of the models that we have developed here, we impute the race and ethnicity of individual campaign contributors in the 2000 and 2010 campaign contribution databases (Bonica 2017) using just the Census last name data and the Florida full name model. We then use the inferred race and ethnicity to estimate the proportion of total contributions made by people of different races.

Based on the census last name data, in 2010, about 83.5% of the contributions were made by Whites (see Table 7). But the commensurate number based on the Florida full name model was nearly 3% more, 86.5%. Moving to blacks, we see a similar story. Based on the census last name data, about 10.2% of the contributed money came from blacks. But based on Florida full name model, the number is about 2.3% lower, or a hefty 22.2% relative change. The commensurate difference in estimated contributions by Hispanics is about 1% or about 33% relative change. Among Asians, the commensurate difference is about .5% points or about 18% relative change. A similar pattern holds for 2000. We see that the share of contributions made by Whites is smaller based on the Census last name data than the Florida full name model.

Table 7: Proportion of Total Amount Donated to Political Campaigns in 2000 and 2010 by People of Different Races/Ethnicities.

	Census		Florida	
race	2000	2010	2000	2010
asian	2.22%	2.74%	2.00%	2.28%
black	11.04%	10.22%	8.93%	7.92%
hispanic	3.24%	4.32%	3.23%	3.31%
white	83.49%	82.71%	85.84%	86.49%

Discussion

We exploit a novel source of labeled data—voter registration files—along with the Wikipedia data to learn a model between sequences of characters in a name and race or ethnicity. Given poor African Americans tend to have distinctive first names, the biggest advantage in using the full name model is in our ability to detect African American names. We then use the model to infer the race of contributors in the DIME data and find that African Americans are less than a quarter percent of the donors. As we note, we also provide a Python package that exposes the models: https://github.com/appeler/ethnicolr/.

If you picked a random individual with last name Smith from the US in 2010 and asked us to guess this person's race (measured as crudely as by the census), the best guess would be based on what is available from the aggregated Census file. It is the Bayes optimal solution. So what good are last name only predictive models for? A few things. If you want to impute ethnicity at a more granular level, guess the race of people in different years (than when the census was conducted if some assumptions hold), guess the race of people in different countries (again if some assumptions hold), when names are slightly different (again with some assumptions), etc. The big benefit comes from when both the first name and last name is known. And there are a lot of important datasets, such as the campaign contributions dataset, the voter registration files of other states, news data, etc., where we have information on both the first and the last names. And we could make better predictions about the race and ethnicity by capitalizing on both the first and the last names, especially for African Americans, but also for other races and ethnicities.

The limitations of using the voter registration data are obvious. Not everyone is registered to vote, and blacks and Hispanics are especially likely not to be registered to vote (Ansolabehere and Hersh 2011). If the names of those who are not on the voter registration file are systematically different from those who are, we are likely somewhat optimistic in our

accuracy metrics. Another concern with using data from a single state is that the pattern of names in a single state are different from names given in other states. It is a very reasonable concern. We could overcome it by combining census last name models with state voter registration data models, but more research is needed to see how well we can do.

References

Abadi, Martín, Paul Barham, Jianmin Chen, Zhifeng Chen, Andy Davis, Jeffrey Dean, Matthieu Devin, Sanjay Ghemawat, Geoffrey Irving, Michael Isard et al. 2016. TensorFlow: A System for Large-Scale Machine Learning. In *OSDI*. Vol. 16 pp. 265–283.

Ambekar, Anurag, Charles Ward, Jahangir Mohammed, Swapna Male and Steven Skiena. 2009. Name-ethnicity classification from open sources. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. ACM pp. 49–58.

Ansolabehere, Stephen and Eitan Hersh. 2011. "Gender, race, age, and voting: A research note.".

Bertrand, Marianne and Sendhil Mullainathan. 2004. "Are Emily and Greg more employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A field experiment on labor market discrimination." *American economic review* 94(4):991–1013.

Bonica, Adam. 2017. "Database on ideology, money in politics, and elections (DIME).".

Census Bureau. 2016. "Decennial Census Surname Files (2010, 2000).". Data retrieved from The United States Census Bureau Website, https://www.census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/surnames.html.

Chollet, François et al. 2015. "Keras.".

Fiscella, Kevin and Allen M Fremont. 2006. "Use of geocoding and surname analysis to estimate race and ethnicity." *Health services research* 41(4p1):1482–1500.

Gers, Felix A, Jürgen Schmidhuber and Fred Cummins. 1999. "Learning to forget: Continual prediction with LSTM.".

Graves, Alex and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 2005. "Framewise phoneme classification with bidirectional LSTM and other neural network architectures." *Neural Networks* 18(5-6):602-610.

Imai, Kosuke and Kabir Khanna. 2016. "Improving ecological inference by predicting individual ethnicity from voter registration records." *Political Analysis* 24(2):263–272.

Kingma, Diederik P and Jimmy Ba. 2014. "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization." $arXiv\ preprint\ arXiv:1412.6980$.

Sood, Gaurav. 2017. "Florida Voter Registration Data.".

URL: https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/UBIG3F

Srivastava, Nitish, Geoffrey Hinton, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever and Ruslan Salakhut-dinov. 2014. "Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting." The Journal of Machine Learning Research 15(1):1929–1958.

Appendix: Census Models

Table 8: Performance of the Last Name LSTM Model on the Census 2000 Data.

race	precision	recall	f1-score	support
api	0.88	0.63	0.74	7,149
black	0.50	0.09	0.15	$25,\!307$
hispanic	0.86	0.84	0.85	25,620
white	0.83	0.96	0.89	141,924
avg / total	0.79	0.83	0.79	200,000

Table 9: Performance of the Full Name LSTM Model on the Census 2010 Data.

race	precision	recall	f1-score	support
api	0.84	0.57	0.68	10,071
black	0.57	0.07	0.12	$24,\!817$
hispanic	0.88	0.76	0.82	32,982
white	0.79	0.97	0.87	132,130
avg / total	0.78	0.80	0.76	200,000