

Comparing QNX IPC Methods



NOTES:

QNX, Momentics, Neutrino, Photon microGUI, and "Build a more reliable world" are registered trademarks in certain jurisdictions, and PhAB, Phindows, and Qnet are trademarks of QNX Software Systems

All other trademarks and trade names belong to their respective owners.

As we've seen, QNX supports a wide variety of IPC methods:

- QNX Native (API is unique to QNX)
 - includes:
 - QNX Neutrino Messaging
 - QNX Neutrino Pulses
 - Persistent Publish and Subscribe
- POSIX/UNIX (well known, portable API's)
 - Includes:
 - signals
 - shared Memory
 - pipes (requires `pipe` process)
 - POSIX message queues (requires `mqueue` process)
 - TCP/IP sockets (requires `io-pkt` process)

How do you choose which to use?

NOTES:

API: Application Programming Interface

IPC summary:

– QNX Native Messaging

- client-server or RPC model
- includes inherent synchronization
- copies any size data
- carries priority information

– Pulses

- non-blocking notification compatible with QNX native messaging
- only 39 bits of data
- carry priority information

continued... ↓

NOTES:

The fact that they carry priority information means that priority inversion issues are addressed.

IPC summary (continued):

– Persistent Publish and Subscribe

- is a low volume, one-to-many IPC mechanism
- a publisher supplies data
- subscriber(s) are given the data, or notified that the data is available
- the API is (primarily) POSIX file access: *open()*, *read()*, *write()*, *select()*, etc
- is used by much of the QNX HMI framework
- requires the **pps** process
- does not carry priority information

continued...

NOTES:

IPC summary (continued):

- Signals
 - POSIX
 - non-blocking notification
 - interrupts target, making receiving difficult
 - do not carry priority information
- Shared Memory
 - POSIX
 - can eliminate need for a data copy
 - requires some additional way of synchronizing
 - not network distributable
 - does not carry priority information

continued...

NOTES:

IPC summary (continued):

– Pipes

- POSIX
- built on QNX native messaging
- slow
 - 2 copies of data
 - more context switches
- do not carry priority information
- requires **pipe** process
- mostly for porting existing code

– POSIX message queues

- basically pipes with extra features
- requires **mqueue** or **mq** process
 - if **mq** is used, queues are in kernel space reducing context switches

continued...

NOTES:

IPC summary (continued):

- TCP/IP
 - built on QNX messaging
 - slow for local communication
 - 2 copies of data
 - POSIX
 - best way to communicate with a non-QNX machine
 - does not carry priority information
- fd/fp to a resource manager
 - built on QNX messaging, but not double copy
 - provides POSIX interface for clients
 - server must be QNX messaging aware
 - works well as a driver interface

NOTES:

Look at what you need for your IPC, and the features each offers. Some things to think about:

- Is POSIX a requirement?
- How much data is being moved?
- Do I want/need a direct response?
 - Can I afford to block?
- Am I willing to engineer a buffering scheme?
 - Can I trust a default buffering scheme?
- Do I need to communicate across a network?
- Can I use a combination of these in different places?
 - this is the usual result – a combination of choices

NOTES: