

First we aim to formulate the problem in mathematical terms. For this we enumerate the n vertices of the regular polyhedron in clockwise direction by the set

$$S_n := \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$$

Moreover we equip this set with the group structure of \mathbb{Z}_n , that is,

$$a + b := (a + b) \mod n$$

where the '+'-operation on the r.h.s is the one from \mathbb{Z} . In words, adding 1 to a vertex results in the next vertex in clockwise direction.

For every pair (i, j) with $i, j \in S_n$ there exists a unique $k < n$ such that $i + k = j$. This can be used to split S_n into two disjoint sets:

$$A := \{i + s : 0 \leq s \leq k\}$$

$$B := S_n \setminus A$$

In the sequel these sets will be just referred as the A -set resp. B -set of (i, j) .

We call a pair $(i, j) \in S_n \times S_n$ a **diagonal**, if for some $1 < k < n-1$ $i + k = j$. Such a pair corresponds to a diagonal in the considered regular polyhedron.

Let (i, j) be a diagonal and A, B the disjoint sets defined above. Then a second diagonal (k, l) is said to **intersect** with (i, j) if either $k \in A \wedge l \in B$ or $l \in A \wedge k \in B$. One easily verifies that this definition exactly describes two diagonals to intersect in the inner of the polyhedron.

This definitions allow us to formulate the problem in mathematical terms:

Problem. *For given $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $n > k$ find the number of all k -element sets of non-intersecting diagonals in S_n .*

The following algorithm (pseudo-code) is solving the above problem:

Algorithm.

```
res  $\leftarrow$  0
for i in [0, ..., n-1]
    for j in [i+2, ..., i-2]
        if k = 1 and j  $\geq$  i // (*)
            res  $\leftarrow$  res + 1
        else
            res  $\leftarrow$  res
                + count_sub_diags(k - 1, i, j, n, i)

def count_sub_diags(k, lower, upper, n, root)
    if upper + 1 = lower or upper + 2 = lower
        return 0

    if k = 1
        return 1

    res  $\leftarrow$  0
    for i in [upper + 2, ..., lower - 1]
        if i  $\geq$  root // (**)
            res  $\leftarrow$  res
                + count_sub_diags(k - 1, lower, i, n, root)

    return res
```

Note, the intervals $[i, \dots, j]$ used in the above algorithm are meant to present cyclic intervals. So for instance if $n = 5$, the interval $[3, \dots, 2]$ presents the tuple $(3, 4, 0, 2)$. In addition, operations on vertexes are to be interpreted as \mathbb{Z}_n -group operations. So, for instance, $i + 1$ in terms of integers means: $(i + 1) \bmod n$.

The algorithm starts by using $i = 0$ as the lower end of a diagonal and $j = 2$ as its upper end. In case of $k = 1$, we found a k -element

set of diagonals and we can increase the counter *res*. Otherwise, we increase the counter by the number of $(k - 1)$ -element sets of diagonals found in the *B*-set of (i, j) . This number is computed in the method *count_sub_diags*.

This is proceeded by first iterating j , that is, the upper end of the diagonal, until it reaches two vertexes before i , and then iterating i , that is the lower end of the diagonal.

Theorem. *The above algorithm correctly returns the number of all k -element sets of diagonals.*

Proof. The proof will be done by induction on n . It is easy to see, that the algorithm correctly works for the case $n \leq 4$. Next, we show that if it correctly works for $n - 1$ then it will work for n as well.

To show the algorithm to collect all k -element sets of diagonals we consider a given set S of k non intersecting diagonals. Let $(i, j) \in S$ be any of these diagonals and D then one within the cyclic interval $[i, i + 1, \dots, j]$ with starting point most next to i and containing no diagonals of S inside its *A*-set. It is clear such a diagonal to exist by the assumption all diagonals in S to not intersect. Now, let the vertex i in the first *for*-loop be the one corresponding to the lower end of D . Since all the remaining $k - 1$ diagonals of S are within D 's *B*-set and since the function *count_sub_diags* is counting all such $k - 1$ -element sets in exactly this *B*-set, the algorithm will correctly count the set S .

Next, we show that each k -element set of diagonals is counted at most ones. To the contrary, let us assume there is a set S of k diagonals that is counted twice by the algorithm. If $k = 1$ this would mean some i_1, i_2 from the first *for*-loop with $i_2 > i_1$ would produce the same diagonal. But this is impossible by the condition that is marked with $(*)$ in the algorithm. The latter ensures to skip those diagonals that have been counted for by a previous vertex i .

If $k > 1$, then there must be two diagonals (i_1, j_1) and (i_2, j_2) produced at the first *for*-loops with $i_1 < i_2$, that both generate S in its later course. Necessarily, (i_1, j_1) must lie in the *B*-set of (i_2, j_2) and vice versa. By construction this implies S is a loop. Moreover, (i_1, j_1) must

be reached from (i_2, j_2) within the search for sub-diagonals, that is, in $count_sub_diags(., ., ., n, i_2)$. But now the condition flagged with $(**)$ in the algorithm skips exactly this sub-diagonal, since the *root* is i_2 and the vertex i is i_1 (remember: $i_1 < i_2$ by assumption). \square