Say A and B are the two databases and A(i), B(i) are  $i^{th}$  smallest elements of A, B.

First, let us compare the medians of the two databases. Let k be  $\lceil \frac{1}{2}n \rceil$ , then A(k) and B(k) are the medians of the two databases. Suppose A(k) < B(k) (the case when A(k) > B(k) would be the same with interchange of the role of A and B). Then one can see that B(k) is greater than the first k elements of A. Also B(k) is always greater than the first k-1 elements of B. Therefore B(k) is at least  $2k^{th}$  element in the combine databases. Since  $2k \ge n$ , all elements that are greater than B(k) are greater than the median and we can eliminate the second part of the B database. Let B' be the half of B (i.e., the first k elements of B).

Similarly, the first  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor$  elements of A are less than B(k), and thus, are less than the last n-k+1 elements of B. Also they are less than the last  $\lceil \frac{1}{2}n \rceil$  elements of A. So, they are less than at least  $n-k+1+\lceil \frac{1}{2}n \rceil=n+1$  elements of the combine database. It means that they are less than the median and we can eliminate them as well. Let A' be the remaining parts of A (i.e., the  $\lceil \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor + 1$ ;  $n \rceil$  segment of A).

Now we eliminate  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor$  elements that are less than the median, and the same number of elements that are greater than median. It is clear that the median of the remaining elements is the same as the median of the original set of elements. We can find a median in the remaining set using recursion for A' and B'. Note that we can't delete elements from the databases. However, we can access  $i^{th}$  smallest elements of A' and B': the  $i^{th}$  smallest elements of A' is  $i + \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor^{th}$  smallest elements of A, and the  $i^{th}$  smallest elements of B' is  $i^{th}$  smallest elements of B.

Formally, the algorithm is the following. We write recursive function median(n,a,b) that takes integers n, a and b and find the median of the union of the two segments A[a+1;a+n] and B[b+1;b+n].

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{median}(n,\ a,\ b) \\ & \operatorname{if}\ n\text{=}1\ \operatorname{then}\ \operatorname{return}\ \min(A(a+k),B(b+k))\ //\ \operatorname{base}\ \operatorname{case}\\ & k=\lceil\frac{1}{2}n\rceil \\ & \operatorname{if}\ A(a+k){<}B(b+k) \\ & \operatorname{then}\ \operatorname{return}\ \operatorname{median}\ (k,\ a+\lfloor\frac{1}{2}n\rfloor\ \operatorname{,b}) \\ & \operatorname{else}\ \operatorname{return}\ \operatorname{median}\ (k,\ a,\ b+\lfloor\frac{1}{2}n\rfloor) \end{array}
```

To find median in the whole set of elements we evaluate median(n,0,0).

Let Q(n) be the number of queries asked by our algorithm to evaluate median(n,a,b). Then it is clear that  $Q(n) = Q(\lceil \frac{1}{2}n \rceil) + 2$ . Therefore  $Q(n) = 2\lceil \log n \rceil$ .

A final note. In order to prove this algorithm correct, note that it is not enough to prove simply that, in the recursive call, the median remains in the set of numbers considered; one must prove the stronger statement that the median value in the recursive call will in fact be the same as the median value in the original call. Also, the algorithm cannot invoke the recursive call by simply saying, "Delete half of each database." The only way in which the algorithm can interact with the database is to pass queries to it; and so a conceptual

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ ex132.487.812

"deletion" must in fact be implemented by keeping track of a particular interval under consideration in each database.