

CS345: Assignment 2

Pragati Agrawal (220779)

September 2024

Pragati Agrawal: 220779 (Question 1)

Description of the Algorithm:

We would sort the jobs in non-decreasing order of their $\frac{t_i}{w_i}$. Then we return the jobs' order as the order in which they appear after sorting.

Proof of correctness for the Algorithm:

We prove by contradiction that the sorted ordering is an optimal ordering:

In any unsorted permutation of the jobs, we can say by the correctness of the idea of **Bubble sort algorithm**, that we can always find two consecutive jobs i and j , s.t. $\frac{t_i}{w_i} > \frac{t_j}{w_j}$. Let their times to complete, weights and finish times be t_i, w_i, C_i and t_j, w_j, C_j respectively. Let us call P as the value $P = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i C_i$. Let the total time spent upto the job completed just before jobs i and j be T_l . Also, let these jobs have value P_l . Similarly, for the jobs to their right, we have values T_r as the total time spent from beginning to complete these jobs, and P_r be their P value (P_r only for jobs occurring after the jobs i and j). We must notice here that on swapping the order of jobs i and j , the completion times of all the jobs to their left and right remained unaffected.

$$i \text{ occurs before } j \rightarrow P_1 = P_l + w_i * (T + t_i) + w_j * (T + t_i + t_j) + P_r$$

We prove that if we swap the order of the jobs i and j , P must decrease:

$$j \text{ occurs before } i \rightarrow P_2 = P_l + w_j * (T + t_j) + w_i * (T + t_i + t_j) + P_r$$

$$P_1 - P_2 = P_l + w_i * (T + t_i) + w_j * (T + t_i + t_j) + P_r - P_l + w_j * (T + t_j) + w_i * (T + t_i + t_j) + P_r$$

$$= w_j * t_i - w_i * t_j > 0 \quad (\text{since } \frac{t_i}{w_i} > \frac{t_j}{w_j})$$

So we have proved that any unsorted permutation cannot be optimal. Now if there are multiple sorted permutations, all will give the same value of P and it would be the minimum. This is because all jobs having the same ratio of $\frac{t_i}{w_i}$ would be contiguous in the sorted permutation, and swapping these internally would keep the cost the same.

Time Complexity: We just need to sort, which takes $O(n \log n)$ time.

Pragati Agrawal: 220779 (Question 2)

We are given a directed acyclic graph $G = (V, E)$ in which each node $u \in V$ has an associated price, denoted by $price(u)$, which is a positive integer. The cost of a node u , denoted by $cost(u)$, is defined to be the price of the cheapest node reachable from u (including u itself). We wish to design an efficient algorithm that computes $cost(u)$, $\forall u \in V$.

Description of the Algorithm:

Since we are given a directed acyclic graph, we would use topological sorting technique to solve this question. To find the $cost(u)$ for any node, we need to process all nodes that are reachable from it. So for any node u , if we have the values $cost(v)$ for all nodes v s.t. $(u, v) \in E$ (let's call these children of u), then the $cost(u)$ would simply be the minimum among them. Topological ordering ensures that for any u , all its children and further descendants lie to its right. So we will process the nodes from **right-to-left** direction in the topological order. This guarantees that while computing $cost(u)$, we have the values of all children of u , and hence we can find the value of $cost(u)$.

Pseudo-code for the Algorithm:

```
find_min_cost(G){
    T ← toposort(G);
    reverse(T);
    for(i = 1 to n) cost[i] = ∞;
    for(u in T) {
        cost[u] = price[u];
        for(w in adj_list(u))
            cost[u] = min(cost[u], cost[w]);
    };
    return cost;
};
```

Time Complexity Analysis:

As taught in lectures, topological sorting takes $O(m + n)$ time.

The first *for* loop iterates n times.

In the second *for* loop, we would be visiting each node exactly once in the topological ordering. And for each node u , we would be visiting all its children and perform some constant time operations. Therefore, for each node u , we take $O(outdegree(u))$ time. Summing this up for all nodes would be equal to $O(m)$, where m is the total number of edges (each edge would be visited exactly once).

Therefore, the overall time complexity is $O(m + n)$.