

# Von Neumann equivalence and group approximation properties

Ishan Ishan\*   Jesse Peterson   Lauren Ruth

Summer School in Operator Algebras  
Fields Institute and the University of Ottawa

June 14, 2021

# Motivation

Questions (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

- *Is the class of properly proximal groups stable under measure equivalence?*
- *Is there a non-inner-amenable group which is not properly proximal?*

# Motivation

Questions (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

- *Is the class of properly proximal groups stable under measure equivalence?*
- *Is there a non-inner-amenable group which is not properly proximal?*

[Duchesne, Tucker-Drob, Wesolek '18]

Class of inner-amenable groups is not stable under measure equivalence.

# Motivation

Questions (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

- *Is the class of properly proximal groups stable under measure equivalence?*
- *Is there a non-inner-amenable group which is not properly proximal?*

[Duchesne, Tucker-Drob, Wesolek '18]

Class of inner-amenable groups is not stable under measure equivalence.



A positive answer to the first question gives example of a group which is neither inner-amenable nor properly proximal!

# Measure Equivalence

Definition (Gromov '93)

$\Gamma \stackrel{\text{ME}}{\sim} \Lambda$ , if there exists measurable, measure-preserving action  
 $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$ , and Borel subsets  $Y, X \subset \Omega$  with  $m(X), m(Y) < \infty$  so that

$$\Omega = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma Y = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda X.$$

$(\Omega, m)$  is called an ME-coupling of  $\Gamma$  with  $\Lambda$  or,  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ -coupling.

# Measure Equivalence

Definition (Gromov '93)

$\Gamma \stackrel{\text{ME}}{\sim} \Lambda$ , if there exists measurable, measure-preserving action  
 $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$ , and Borel subsets  $Y, X \subset \Omega$  with  $m(X), m(Y) < \infty$  so that

$$\Omega = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma Y = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda X.$$

$(\Omega, m)$  is called an ME-coupling of  $\Gamma$  with  $\Lambda$  or,  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ -coupling.

## Example

$\Gamma, \Lambda$ -lattices in a lcsc group  $G$ . Then  $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{ME}}{\sim} \Lambda$ .  $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright G$ :

$(\gamma, \lambda)g = \gamma g \lambda^{-1}$  preserves the Haar measure  $m_G$ .

# ME, OE, and SOE

Theorem (Singer '55)

*For free ergodic p.m.p. actions  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  and  $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ , the following are equivalent*

- ① *There exists a \*-isomorphism  $\Theta : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma \cong L^\infty(Y, \nu) \rtimes \Lambda$  such that  $\Theta(L^\infty(X, \mu)) = L^\infty(Y, \nu)$ .*

# ME, OE, and SOE

Theorem (Singer '55)

For free ergodic p.m.p. actions  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  and  $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ , the following are equivalent

- ① There exists a \*-isomorphism  $\Theta : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma \cong L^\infty(Y, \nu) \rtimes \Lambda$  such that  $\Theta(L^\infty(X, \mu)) = L^\infty(Y, \nu)$ .
- ②  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \xrightarrow{\text{OE}} \Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ , i.e., there exists a measure space isomorphism  $T : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  which takes  $\Gamma$ -orbits onto  $\Lambda$ -orbits.

# ME, OE, and SOE

Theorem (Singer '55)

For free ergodic p.m.p. actions  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  and  $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ , the following are equivalent

- ① There exists a \*-isomorphism  $\Theta : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma \cong L^\infty(Y, \nu) \rtimes \Lambda$  such that  $\Theta(L^\infty(X, \mu)) = L^\infty(Y, \nu)$ .
- ②  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \xrightarrow{\text{OE}} \Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ , i.e., there exists a measure space isomorphism  $T : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  which takes  $\Gamma$ -orbits onto  $\Lambda$ -orbits.

Theorem (Dye '59,'63)

All infinite groups with polynomial growth are measure equivalent.

# ME, OE, and SOE

Theorem (Singer '55)

For free ergodic p.m.p. actions  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  and  $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ , the following are equivalent

- ① There exists a \*-isomorphism  $\Theta : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma \cong L^\infty(Y, \nu) \rtimes \Lambda$  such that  $\Theta(L^\infty(X, \mu)) = L^\infty(Y, \nu)$ .
- ②  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \xrightarrow{\text{OE}} \Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ , i.e., there exists a measure space isomorphism  $T : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  which takes  $\Gamma$ -orbits onto  $\Lambda$ -orbits.

Theorem (Dye '59,'63)

All infinite groups with polynomial growth are measure equivalent.

Theorem (Ornstein-Weiss '80)

All countably infinite amenable discrete groups are measure equivalent.

# ME invariants

## ① Amenability

# ME invariants

- ① Amenability
- ② Haagerup Property

# ME invariants

- ① Amenability
- ② Haagerup Property
- ③ Weak Amenability [Cowling-Zimmer '89, Jolissaint '01]

# ME invariants

- ① Amenability
- ② Haagerup Property
- ③ Weak Amenability [Cowling-Zimmer '89, Jolissaint '01]
- ④ Property (T) [Furman '99]

# ME invariants

- ① Amenability
- ② Haagerup Property
- ③ Weak Amenability [Cowling-Zimmer '89, Jolissaint '01]
- ④ Property (T) [Furman '99]
- ⑤ Ratios of  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers [Gaboriau '00]

# ME invariants

- ① Amenability
- ② Haagerup Property
- ③ Weak Amenability [Cowling-Zimmer '89, Jolissaint '01]
- ④ Property (T) [Furman '99]
- ⑤ Ratios of  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers [Gaboriau '00]
- ⑥ Vanishing of  $H_b^2(\ell^2\Gamma)$  [Monod-Shalom '07]

# $W^*$ -equivalence and $W^*E$ invariants

Definition

$$\Gamma \stackrel{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda, \text{ if } L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda.$$

# $W^*$ -equivalence and $W^*E$ invariants

## Definition

$\Gamma \stackrel{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda$ , if  $L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda$ .

- ① Amenability [Schwartz '60's]
- ② Haagerup Property [Choda '83]
- ③ Weak amenability [Cowling-Haagerup '89]
- ④ Property (T) [Connes-Jones '85]

# $W^*$ -equivalence and $W^*E$ invariants

Definition

$$\Gamma \stackrel{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda, \text{ if } L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda.$$

- ① Amenability [Schwartz '60's]
- ② Haagerup Property [Choda '83]
- ③ Weak amenability [Cowling-Haagerup '89]
- ④ Property (T) [Connes-Jones '85]

Theorem (Connes '76)

*All ICC countably infinite amenable groups are  $W^*$ -equivalent.*

# $W^*$ -equivalence and $W^*E$ invariants

Definition

$$\Gamma \stackrel{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda, \text{ if } L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda.$$

- ① Amenability [Schwartz '60's]
- ② Haagerup Property [Choda '83]
- ③ Weak amenability [Cowling-Haagerup '89]
- ④ Property (T) [Connes-Jones '85]

Theorem (Connes '76)

*All ICC countably infinite amenable groups are  $W^*$ -equivalent.*

Shlyakhtenko asked: Does ME imply  $W^*$ -equivalence for ICC groups?

# $W^*$ -equivalence and $W^*E$ invariants

Definition

$$\Gamma \stackrel{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda, \text{ if } L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda.$$

- ① Amenability [Schwartz '60's]
- ② Haagerup Property [Choda '83]
- ③ Weak amenability [Cowling-Haagerup '89]
- ④ Property (T) [Connes-Jones '85]

Theorem (Connes '76)

All ICC countably infinite amenable groups are  $W^*$ -equivalent.

Shlyakhtenko asked: Does ME imply  $W^*$ -equivalence for ICC groups?

Theorem (Chifan-Ioana '11)

There exist two countable, discrete, ICC groups  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  which are orbit equivalent but not  $W^*$ -equivalent.

# Von Neumann Equivalence

If  $X \subset \Omega$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$ , then  $\{\mathbf{1}_{\gamma X}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  forms a partition of unity in  $L^\infty(\Omega, m)$ .

# Von Neumann Equivalence

If  $X \subset \Omega$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$ , then  $\{\mathbf{1}_{\gamma X}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  forms a partition of unity in  $L^\infty(\Omega, m)$ .

Definition (Ishan-Peterson-Ruth '19)

A fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \curvearrowright^\sigma \mathcal{M}$  is a projection  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\{\sigma_\gamma(p)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  are pairwise orthogonal and  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma_\gamma(p) = 1$ .

# Von Neumann Equivalence

If  $X \subset \Omega$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$ , then  $\{\mathbf{1}_{\gamma X}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  forms a partition of unity in  $L^\infty(\Omega, m)$ .

Definition (Ishan-Peterson-Ruth '19)

A fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \curvearrowright^\sigma \mathcal{M}$  is a projection  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\{\sigma_\gamma(p)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  are pairwise orthogonal and  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma_\gamma(p) = 1$ .

Definition (IPR '19)

$\Gamma \stackrel{\text{vNE}}{\sim} \Lambda$  if there is a semifinite von Neumann algebra  $(\mathcal{M}, \text{Tr})$  with  $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright (\mathcal{M}, \text{Tr})$  such that each  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  and  $\Lambda \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  has finite trace fundamental domains.

# Examples

- ME  $\Rightarrow$  vNE:  $(\Omega, m)$  ME-coupling  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M} = L^\infty(\Omega, m)$  vN-coupling.

# Examples

- ME  $\Rightarrow$  vNE:  $(\Omega, m)$  ME-coupling  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M} = L^\infty(\Omega, m)$  vN-coupling.
- $W^*E \Rightarrow vNE$ :  $L\Gamma \xrightarrow{\theta} L\Lambda$ .  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{B}(\ell^2\Lambda)$  and  $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright \sigma \mathcal{M}$  by

$$\sigma_{(s,t)}(T) = \theta(\lambda_s)\rho_t T \rho_t^* \theta(\lambda_s^*),$$

where  $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2\Lambda)$  is the right regular representation. Rank one projection  $P_e$  onto the subspace  $\mathbb{C}\delta_e$  is a common fundamental domain.

# Examples

- ME  $\Rightarrow$  vNE:  $(\Omega, m)$  ME-coupling  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M} = L^\infty(\Omega, m)$  vN-coupling.
- $W^*E \Rightarrow$  vNE:  $L\Gamma \xrightarrow{\theta} L\Lambda$ .  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{B}(\ell^2\Lambda)$  and  $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright \sigma \mathcal{M}$  by

$$\sigma_{(s,t)}(T) = \theta(\lambda_s)\rho_t T \rho_t^* \theta(\lambda_s^*),$$

where  $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2\Lambda)$  is the right regular representation. Rank one projection  $P_e$  onto the subspace  $\mathbb{C}\delta_e$  is a common fundamental domain.

- If  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (M_1, \tau_1)$  and  $\Lambda \curvearrowright (M_2, \tau_2)$  and  $\theta : M_1 \rtimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} M_2 \rtimes \Lambda$  with  $\theta(M_1) = M_2$ . Then  $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{vNE}}{\sim} \Lambda$ , and  $\mathcal{M} = \langle M_1 \rtimes \Gamma, M_1 \rangle$  is a vN-coupling.

# Proper Proximality

Definition (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

A group  $\Gamma$  is properly proximal if there does not exist a left-invariant state on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $(\ell^\infty\Gamma/c_0\Gamma)^{\Gamma_r}$ .

# Proper Proximality

Definition (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

A group  $\Gamma$  is properly proximal if there does not exist a left-invariant state on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $(\ell^\infty\Gamma/c_0\Gamma)^{\Gamma_r}$ .

## Example

- Non-elementary hyperbolic groups
- Convergence groups
- Non-amenable bi-exact groups
- groups admitting proper 1-cocycles into non-amenable representations
- Lattices in non-compact semi-simple Lie groups of arbitrary rank

# Proper Proximality

Definition (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

A group  $\Gamma$  is properly proximal if there does not exist a left-invariant state on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $(\ell^\infty \Gamma / c_0 \Gamma)^{\Gamma_r}$ .

## Example

- Non-elementary hyperbolic groups
- Convergence groups
- Non-amenable bi-exact groups
- groups admitting proper 1-cocycles into non-amenable representations
- Lattices in non-compact semi-simple Lie groups of arbitrary rank

Theorem (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

*Properly proximal groups are not inner-amenable.*

# A non-inner-amenable, non-properly proximal group

Theorem (IPR '19)

*Proper proximality is a vNE invariant. In particular, proper proximality is both an ME and W\*E invariant.*

## A non-inner-amenable, non-properly proximal group

### Theorem (IPR '19)

*Proper proximality is a vNE invariant. In particular, proper proximality is both an ME and W\*E invariant.*

Example (Duchesne, Tucker-Drob, Wesolek '18)

Class of inner-amenable groups is not closed under ME.

# A non-inner-amenable, non-properly proximal group

Theorem (IPR '19)

*Proper proximality is a vNE invariant. In particular, proper proximality is both an ME and W\*E invariant.*

Example (Duchesne, Tucker-Drob, Wesolek '18)

Class of inner-amenable groups is not closed under ME.

$$SL_3(\mathbb{F}_p[t^{-1}]) \ltimes \mathbb{F}_p[t, t^{-1}]^3 \underset{\text{(not inner amenable)}}{\sim} SL_3(\mathbb{F}_p[t^{-1}] \ltimes \mathbb{F}_p[t^{-1}]^3) \times \mathbb{F}_p[t]^3 \underset{\text{(inner amenable)}}{\sim}$$

Theorem (IPR '19)

*Amenability, Haagerup property and Property (T) are vNE invariant.*

# Open Problems

- What other ME-invariants are vNE-invariants?
- Find examples of groups which are vNE but not ME.
- Develop the notion of vNE for locally compact groups.

*Fin.*