

Nonsingular Bernoulli actions: a survey

Canadian Operator Algebras Symposium

Ottawa, 30 May – 3 June 2022

Stefaan Vaes – KU Leuven

Nonsingular Bernoulli shifts

Main question

Which injective factors M are of the form $M \cong L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_T \mathbb{Z}$ where

$$\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright^T (X, \mu) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_0, \mu_n) : (Tx)_n = x_{n-1}$$

is a nonsingular Bernoulli shift ?

- ▶ Fixed base space X_0 , with variable probability measures μ_n .
- ▶ All $\mu_n \sim \mu_0$ are equivalent, and we need a condition to ensure nonsingularity.
- ▶ By Connes and Haagerup classification, the question is: what are the possible types and associated flows of Bernoulli shifts ?
- ▶ Obvious: taking $\mu_n = \mu_0$ for all n , we get the hyperfinite II_1 factor.

Nonsingular Bernoulli shifts

Main question

Which injective factors M are of the form $M \cong L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_T \mathbb{Z}$ where

$$\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright^T (X, \mu) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_0, \mu_n) : (Tx)_n = x_{n-1}$$

is a nonsingular Bernoulli shift ?

- ▶ Hamachi, 1981: type III may arise.
- ▶ Kosloff, 2009: type III_1 .
- ▶ Björklund-Kosloff-V, 2019: never of type II_∞ if $X_0 = \{0, 1\}$.
- ▶ Kosloff-Soo, 2020 and Berendschot-V, 2020: type III_λ for all $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.
- ▶ Berendschot-V, 2020: type II_∞ and some type III_0 .
- ▶ Berendschot-V, 2021: **not all type III_0 can be attained! But many can.**

Krieger type and associated flow

Consider an essentially free, ergodic, nonsingular action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, with μ nonatomic.

- ▶ **Type II_1** : there exists a G -invariant probability measure $\nu \sim \mu$.
- ▶ **Type II_∞** : there exists a G -invariant infinite measure $\nu \sim \mu$.
- ▶ **Type III** : the others.

Denote $\omega(g, x) = \log \frac{d(g^{-1} \cdot \mu)}{d\mu}(x)$. Then $\omega : G \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a 1-cocycle.

Maharam extension: $G \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R} : g \cdot (x, s) = (g \cdot x, \omega(g, x) + s)$, which commutes with $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R} : t \cdot (x, s) = (x, t + s)$.

↗ Ergodic decomposition $\pi : X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$ of the Maharam extension, with $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright Y$.

Krieger's associated flow; also **Connes-Takesaki flow of weights** of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes G$.

Krieger type and associated flow

Consider an essentially free, ergodic, nonsingular action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, with μ nonatomic.

Let $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (Y, \eta)$ be the associated flow, which is ergodic.

- ▶ Type II_1 or type II_∞ iff the associated flow is $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}$ by translation.
- ▶ Type III_1 iff Y is one point.
- ▶ Type III_λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$ iff the associated flow is periodic: $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \log \lambda$.
- ▶ Type III_0 iff the associated flow is properly ergodic.

~~~ The types and the associated flow are invariants of the crossed product factors.

~~~ Krieger, Connes, Takesaki, Haagerup: complete invariants if  $G$  is amenable.

Back to Bernoulli shifts

- ▶ Let $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright^T (X, \mu) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_0, \mu_n) : (Tx)_n = x_{n-1}$ be a nonsingular Bernoulli shift.
- ▶ Assume that μ is nonatomic and that $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright^T (X, \mu)$ is ergodic (which is not automatic).

Results from Berendschot-V, 2021 :

Obstruction

The associated flow $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (Y, \eta)$ must have a property of **infinite divisibility**. Not all ergodic flows have this property.

Construction

Introduction of **Poisson flows**, which include all **almost periodic flows**, and proof that every Poisson flow arises as associated flow of a nonsingular Bernoulli shift.

Also: connection with ITPFI₂ factors.

Joint flows and infinite divisibility

Construction of Hamachi, Oka, Osikawa, 1974:

- ▶ Let $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (Y_i, \eta_i)$ be ergodic flows, with $i = 1, \dots, n$.
 - ▶ Consider the product action of $H = \mathbb{R}^n$ on $(Y, \eta) = (Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n, \eta_1 \times \dots \times \eta_n)$.
 - ▶ Define the subgroup $H_0 < H$ as the kernel of $H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (t_1, \dots, t_n) = t_1 + \dots + t_n$.
- ~~~ The **joint flow** is the ergodic flow $\mathbb{R} = H/H_0 \curvearrowright L^\infty(Y, \eta)^{H_0}$.

Observation

The flow of weights of a tensor product $M_1 \overline{\otimes} \dots \overline{\otimes} M_n$ is the joint flow of the flows of weights of the M_i .

Definition: we say that an ergodic flow $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (Y, \eta)$ is **infinitely divisible** if it is, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, isomorphic with the joint flow of n identical ergodic flows.

Bernoulli shifts: nonsingular, nonatomic, not dissipative

Kakutani: the shift $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright^T (X, \mu) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_0, \mu_n)$ is nonsingular iff

- ▶ $\mu_n \sim \mu_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
- ▶ $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^2(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_n) < +\infty$.

Here: $H^2(\mu, \mu') = \frac{1}{2} \int_{X_0} |\sqrt{d\mu/d\zeta} - \sqrt{d\mu'/d\zeta}|^2 d\zeta$ is the Hellinger distance.

For a nonsingular Bernoulli shift, **trivialities** may occur:

- ▶ μ could be **atomic**.
- ▶ The action could be **dissipative**, i.e. admit a fundamental domain, i.e. be of type I.

Example: $\mu_n = \mu_-$ for all $n < 0$ and $\mu_n = \mu_+$ for all $n \geq 0$, and $\mu_- \neq \mu_+$.

↗ We will assume that we are not in one of these trivial situations.

Obstruction: infinite divisibility

Let $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright^T (X, \mu) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_0, \mu_n)$ be an arbitrary nonsingular Bernoulli shift.

Assume that μ is not atomic and that the action does not admit a fundamental domain.

Theorem (Berendschot-V, 2021)

There exists a unique $C \subset X_0$ with $\sum_n (1 - \mu_n(C)) < +\infty$ such that

- ▶ the action on $C^\mathbb{Z} \subset X$ is ergodic and its associated flow is infinitely divisible,
- ▶ the action on $X \setminus C^\mathbb{Z}$ admits a fundamental domain.

Conclusion: for every ergodic nonsingular Bernoulli shift, the associated flow is infinitely divisible.

Giordano-Skandalis-Woods, 1984: not every ergodic flow is infinitely divisible.

Even more: there are ITPFI factors whose flow of weights cannot be divided by two.

Main idea to prove infinite divisibility

Let $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright^T (X, \mu) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_0, \mu_n)$ be an arbitrary nonsingular Bernoulli shift.

Assume that μ is not atomic and that the action is conservative (i.e. recurrent).

- ▶ Denote by \mathcal{S} the group of finite permutations of the set \mathbb{Z} . Note that we have the nonsingular action $\mathcal{S} \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$.
- ▶ Consider the Maharam extensions $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{S} \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$
- ▶ By careful approximation, with ideas going back to Kosloff and Danilenko :
$$L^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{Z}} = L^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R})^{\mathcal{S}} = L^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R})^{2\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Write $E = 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $O = 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$, with finite permutations \mathcal{S}_E and \mathcal{S}_O .

$$\begin{aligned} \rightsquigarrow L^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{Z}} &= L^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R})^{\mathcal{S}} \subset L^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R})^{\mathcal{S}_E \times \mathcal{S}_O} = L^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R})^{2\mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z}} \\ &\subset L^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R})^{2\mathbb{Z}} = L^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

So all are equal and we have divided the flow by two.

Intermezzo: tail boundary flows

Let $(\eta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

- ▶ Consider a random walk on \mathbb{R} with independent steps having distribution η_n .
- ▶ Note: if $\eta_0 \sim \text{Lebesgue}$ is the initial distribution, then the distribution at step n is $\eta_0 * \eta_1 * \dots * \eta_n$.
- ▶ **Connes-Woods, 1988** : Poisson boundary $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (Y, \eta)$ of this random walk.

These are **precisely** the flows of weights of ITPFI factors $\overline{\otimes}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(B(H_n), \varphi_n)$.

These are **precisely** the approximately transitive flows.

↗ We call this Poisson boundary $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (Y, \eta)$ the **tail boundary flow** of $(\eta_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

Construction: Bernoulli shifts with Poisson associated flow

Let $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright^T (X, \mu) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_0, \mu_n)$ be a nonsingular Bernoulli shift, with μ not atomic, and T conservative (i.e. recurrent). We have already seen that T is then ergodic.

Lemma (Berendschot-V, 2020)

If there exists a measure $\nu \sim \mu_0$ such that for a.e. $x \in X_0$, we have that

$\theta_n(x) := -\log(d\mu_n/d\nu)(x) \rightarrow 0$, then the associated flow of T is isomorphic with the tail boundary flow of the sequence $(\theta_n)_*(\mu_n)$.

- ▶ We should not naively think that all tail boundary flows can thus be attained. Indeed: some tail boundary flows are not infinitely divisible.
- ▶ Difficult tension with the requirement that T is nonsingular and conservative.
- ▶ We can realize **Poisson flows**: tail boundary flows with all η_n being Poisson distributions.

Construction: Bernoulli shifts with Poisson associated flow

We call **Poisson flow** every ergodic flow that can be realized as the tail boundary flow of (equivalently)

- ▶ a sequence of Poisson distributions η_{a_n, λ_n} ,
- ▶ a sequence of compound Poisson distributions $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_n)$.

Here: $\eta_{a,\lambda}$ is supported on $\{0, a, 2a, \dots\}$ with $\eta_{a,\lambda}(ka) = \exp(-\lambda)\lambda^k/k!$,

and $\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \exp(-\|\gamma\|) \exp(\gamma)$ whenever γ is a finite positive measure on \mathbb{R} .

Theorem (Berendschot-V, 2021)

For every infinite amenable group G and for every Poisson flow $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (Y, \eta)$, there exists a nonsingular Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright \prod_{h \in G} (X_0, \mu_h)$ that is ergodic and has associated flow $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (Y, \eta)$.

ITPFI₂ factors and Poisson flows of positive type

- ▶ Restricting to $\eta_{a,\lambda}$ with $a > 0$, or to $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ with γ supported on \mathbb{R}_+ , we get:
Poisson flows of positive type.
- ▶ Recall: ITPFI₂ factors are factors of the form $\overline{\otimes}_{n=1}^{\infty}(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \varphi_n)$.

Theorem (Berendschot-V, 2021)

The Poisson flows of positive type are precisely the flows of weights of ITPFI₂ factors.
Every almost periodic flow $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (K, \text{Haar})$ is a Poisson flow of positive type.

Corollary. Let $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (K, \text{Haar})$ be an almost periodic ergodic flow.

- ▶ For every infinite amenable G , there exists a nonsingular Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ with associated flow $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright K$.
- ▶ There exists an ITPFI₂ factor M with flow of weights $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright K$.

Nonsingular Bernoulli actions of nonamenable groups

Consider $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) = \prod_{h \in G} (X_0, \mu_h)$ by $(g \cdot x)_h = x_{g^{-1}h}$.

Kakutani: the action is nonsingular iff for every $g \in G$, we have $\sum_{h \in G} H^2(\mu_{gh}, \mu_h) < +\infty$.

~~~ This gives a 1-cocycle  $g \mapsto c_g \in \ell^2(G) \otimes L^2(X_0, \mu_e)$ .

## Proposition (V-Wahl, 2017)

If  $G$  is nonamenable and  $b_1^{(2)}(G) = 0$ , then  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  always is the disjoint union of a probability measure preserving Bernoulli action and a dissipative action.

## Theorem (Björklund-Kosloff-V, 2019)

If  $b_1^{(2)}(G) > 0$ , then  $G$  admits a nonsingular Bernoulli action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  that is ergodic and of type  $\text{III}_1$ .

# Nonsingular Bernoulli actions of nonamenable groups

~ We only have results under the hypothesis that for a.e.  $x \in X_0$ ,

$$\sup_{g \in G} |\log(d\mu_g/d\mu_e)(x)| < \infty.$$

V-Wahl, 2017 : if  $\sum_{g \in G} \exp(-\|c_g\|_2^2) < +\infty$ , then  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is dissipative.

**Observation :**  $\|c_g\|^2 \leq C(g) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h \in G} \log \int_{X_0} \frac{d\mu_h}{d\mu_{gh}} d\mu_h$ .

~ Assume that  $\sum_{g \in G} \exp(-7(C(g) + C(g^{-1}))) = +\infty$ .

## Theorem (Berendschot-V, 2020)

Under these assumptions,  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is ergodic, and there is a formula for the type.

It is never of type  $\text{II}_\infty$  or type  $\text{III}_0$ .

If  $G$  has only one end,  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is also not of type  $\text{III}_\lambda$  with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ .

# Open problems

- ~ An infinite group  $G$  has only one end if a subset  $W \subset G$  with the property that  $|gW \Delta W| < \infty$  for all  $g \in G$ , is either finite or cofinite.
- ▶ Is there a nonamenable group  $G$  that admits a Bernoulli action of type  $\text{II}_\infty$  or type  $\text{III}_0$  ? My guess: no.
- ▶ Is there a nonamenable group  $G$  with only one end that admits a Bernoulli action of type  $\text{III}_\lambda$  with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  ? My guess: no.
- ▶ Does every nonamenable group  $G$  with more than one end admit Bernoulli actions of type  $\text{III}_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  ? My guess: I have no idea.

Note: we proved that these groups admit Bernoulli actions of  $\text{III}_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 1]$  for some  $\lambda_0 < 1$ .