

# Monotone Complete $C^*$ -algebras and Generic Dynamics

Aberdeen 2013

J D Maitland WRIGHT

University of Aberdeen

May 2013



# Monotone complete algebras and generic dynamics

- This talk is on joint work with Kazuyuki SAITÔ.
- I shall begin by talking about Monotone Complete  $C^*$ -algebras.
- Then I will give a brief introduction to Generic Dynamics and its close connection to MCAs.

# Definition: Monotone complete $C^*$ -algebras

- Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Its self-adjoint part,  $A_{sa}$ , is a partially ordered, real Banach space whose positive cone is  $\{zz^* : z \in A\}$ .

# Definition: Monotone complete $C^*$ -algebras

- Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Its self-adjoint part,  $A_{sa}$ , is a partially ordered, real Banach space whose positive cone is  $\{zz^* : z \in A\}$ .
- If each upward directed, norm-bounded subset of  $A_{sa}$ , has a least upper bound then  $A$  is said to be *monotone complete*.

# Definition: Monotone complete $C^*$ -algebras

- Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Its self-adjoint part,  $A_{sa}$ , is a partially ordered, real Banach space whose positive cone is  $\{zz^* : z \in A\}$ .
- If each upward directed, norm-bounded subset of  $A_{sa}$ , has a least upper bound then  $A$  is said to be *monotone complete*.
- Each monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra has a unit element (this follows by considering approximate units). Unless we specify otherwise, all  $C^*$ -algebras considered will possess a unit element.

# Definition: Monotone complete $C^*$ -algebras

- Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Its self-adjoint part,  $A_{sa}$ , is a partially ordered, real Banach space whose positive cone is  $\{zz^* : z \in A\}$ .
- If each upward directed, norm-bounded subset of  $A_{sa}$ , has a least upper bound then  $A$  is said to be *monotone complete*.
- Each monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra has a unit element (this follows by considering approximate units). Unless we specify otherwise, all  $C^*$ -algebras considered will possess a unit element.
- Every von Neumann algebra is monotone complete but the converse is false.

# Definition: Monotone complete $C^*$ -algebras

- Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Its self-adjoint part,  $A_{sa}$ , is a partially ordered, real Banach space whose positive cone is  $\{zz^* : z \in A\}$ .
- If each upward directed, norm-bounded subset of  $A_{sa}$ , has a least upper bound then  $A$  is said to be *monotone complete*.
- Each monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra has a unit element (this follows by considering approximate units). Unless we specify otherwise, all  $C^*$ -algebras considered will possess a unit element.
- Every von Neumann algebra is monotone complete but the converse is false.
- \_\_\_\_\_



- When a monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra is commutative, its lattice of projections is a complete Boolean algebra. Up to isomorphism, every complete Boolean algebra arises in this way.
- Let  $C(X)$  be a commutative (unital)  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $C(X)$  is monotone complete precisely when the compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is *extremally disconnected*, that is, the closure of each open subset of  $X$  is also open.

• \_\_\_\_\_

- An MCA is a *factor* if its centre is one dimensional; factors are as far removed as possible from being commutative.

- An MCA is a *factor* if its centre is one dimensional; factors are as far removed as possible from being commutative.
- Just as for von Neumann algebras, each monotone complete factor is of Type I or Type  $II_1$  or Type  $II_\infty$ , or Type III.

- An MCA is a *factor* if its centre is one dimensional; factors are as far removed as possible from being commutative.
- Just as for von Neumann algebras, each monotone complete factor is of Type I or Type  $II_1$  or Type  $II_\infty$ , or Type III.
- Each Type I factor is a von Neumann algebra (Kaplansky). Is every factor von Neumann?

- An MCA is a *factor* if its centre is one dimensional; factors are as far removed as possible from being commutative.
- Just as for von Neumann algebras, each monotone complete factor is of Type I or Type  $II_1$  or Type  $II_\infty$ , or Type III.
- Each Type I factor is a von Neumann algebra (Kaplansky). Is every factor von Neumann?
- The answer is "no", in general. We call a factor which is not a von Neumann algebra *wild*.

- An MCA is a *factor* if its centre is one dimensional; factors are as far removed as possible from being commutative.
- Just as for von Neumann algebras, each monotone complete factor is of Type I or Type  $II_1$  or Type  $II_\infty$ , or Type III.
- Each Type I factor is a von Neumann algebra (Kaplansky). Is every factor von Neumann?
- The answer is "no", in general. We call a factor which is not a von Neumann algebra *wild*.
- \_\_\_\_\_

- A  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is *separably representable* when there exists  $\pi : B \rightarrow L(H)$  where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space and  $\pi$  is an isometric  $*$ -homomorphism.

- A  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is *separably representable* when there exists  $\pi : B \rightarrow L(H)$  where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space and  $\pi$  is an isometric  $*$ -homomorphism.
- If a monotone complete factor is separably representable (as a  $C^*$ -algebra) then it is a von Neumann algebra (Wright).



- A  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is *separably representable* when there exists  $\pi : B \rightarrow L(H)$  where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space and  $\pi$  is an isometric  $*$ -homomorphism.
- If a monotone complete factor is separably representable (as a  $C^*$ -algebra) then it is a von Neumann algebra (Wright).
- So, in these circumstances, Kaplansky's question has a positive answer.

- A  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is *separably representable* when there exists  $\pi : B \rightarrow L(H)$  where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space and  $\pi$  is an isometric  $*$ -homomorphism.
- If a monotone complete factor is separably representable (as a  $C^*$ -algebra) then it is a von Neumann algebra (Wright).
- So, in these circumstances, Kaplansky's question has a positive answer.
- \_\_\_\_\_

# almost separably representable

- A  $C^*$ -algebra is *almost separably representable* if its state space is separable.

# almost separably representable

- A  $C^*$ -algebra is *almost separably representable* if its state space is separable.
- If a von Neumann algebra is almost separably representable then it is separably representable (Akemann).

# almost separably representable

- A  $C^*$ -algebra is *almost separably representable* if its state space is separable.
- If a von Neumann algebra is almost separably representable then it is separably representable (Akemann).
- There exist monotone complete wild factors which have separable state spaces but which are NOT separably representable (Wright).

# almost separably representable

- A  $C^*$ -algebra is *almost separably representable* if its state space is separable.
- If a von Neumann algebra is almost separably representable then it is separably representable (Akemann).
- There exist monotone complete wild factors which have separable state spaces but which are NOT separably representable (Wright).
- If a wild factor is almost separably representable then it must be of Type III.

# almost separably representable

- A  $C^*$ -algebra is *almost separably representable* if its state space is separable.
- If a von Neumann algebra is almost separably representable then it is separably representable (Akemann).
- There exist monotone complete wild factors which have separable state spaces but which are NOT separably representable (Wright).
- If a wild factor is almost separably representable then it must be of Type III.
- \_\_\_\_\_

- A (unital)  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is *small* if there exists a unital complete isometry of  $A$  into  $L(H)$ , where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space.



- A (unital)  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is *small* if there exists a unital complete isometry of  $A$  into  $L(H)$ , where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space.
- $A$  is small if, and only if,  $A \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$  has a separable state space for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

- A (unital)  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is *small* if there exists a unital complete isometry of  $A$  into  $L(H)$ , where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space.
- $A$  is small if, and only if,  $A \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$  has a separable state space for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- So every small  $C^*$ -algebra is almost separably representable. We do not know if the converse is true, but it is true for monotone complete factors.

- A (unital)  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is *small* if there exists a unital complete isometry of  $A$  into  $L(H)$ , where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space.
- $A$  is small if, and only if,  $A \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$  has a separable state space for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- So every small  $C^*$ -algebra is almost separably representable. We do not know if the converse is true, but it is true for monotone complete factors.
- \_\_\_\_\_

- Each  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be embedded in its "regular  $\sigma$ -completion"  
 $\widehat{A}$ . (Wright)

- Each  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be embedded in its "regular  $\sigma$ -completion"  $\widehat{A}$ . (Wright)
- This is analagous to constructing  $\mathbb{R}$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$  by using Dedekind cuts.

- Each  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be embedded in its "regular  $\sigma$ -completion"  $\widehat{A}$ . (Wright)
- This is analagous to constructing  $\mathbb{R}$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$  by using Dedekind cuts.
- When  $A$  is separably representable then  $\widehat{A}$  is monotone complete and almost separably representable.

- Each  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be embedded in its "regular  $\sigma$ -completion"  $\widehat{A}$ . (Wright)
- This is analagous to constructing  $\mathbb{R}$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$  by using Dedekind cuts.
- When  $A$  is separably representable then  $\widehat{A}$  is monotone complete and almost separably representable.
- Furthermore, when  $A$  is infinite dimensional, unital and simple, then  $\widehat{A}$  is a wild factor.

- Each  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be embedded in its "regular  $\sigma$ -completion"  $\widehat{A}$ . (Wright)
- This is analagous to constructing  $\mathbb{R}$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$  by using Dedekind cuts.
- When  $A$  is separably representable then  $\widehat{A}$  is monotone complete and almost separably representable.
- Furthermore, when  $A$  is infinite dimensional, unital and simple, then  $\widehat{A}$  is a wild factor.
- \_\_\_\_\_



- Small wild factors were elusive and hard to differentiate

- Small wild factors were elusive and hard to differentiate
- Dyer found one example and Takenouchi another.

- Small wild factors were elusive and hard to differentiate
- Dyer found one example and Takenouchi another.
- Sullivan-Weiss-Wright proved a strong uniqueness theorem which implied the Takenouchi and the Dyer factors were isomorphic.

- Small wild factors were elusive and hard to differentiate
- Dyer found one example and Takenouchi another.
- Sullivan-Weiss-Wright proved a strong uniqueness theorem which implied the Takenouchi and the Dyer factors were isomorphic.
- In 2001 Hamana had a big breakthrough and showed there were  $2^c$  non-isomorphic small wild factors.

- Small wild factors were elusive and hard to differentiate
- Dyer found one example and Takenouchi another.
- Sullivan-Weiss-Wright proved a strong uniqueness theorem which implied the Takenouchi and the Dyer factors were isomorphic.
- In 2001 Hamana had a big breakthrough and showed there were  $2^c$  non-isomorphic small wild factors.
- \_\_\_\_\_

- A classification semi-group  $\mathcal{W}$  can be constructed for monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras of bounded cardinality. (Saitô & Wright 2007) .

- A classification semi-group  $\mathcal{W}$  can be constructed for monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras of bounded cardinality. (Saitô & Wright 2007) .
- This semi-group is abelian, partially ordered, and has the Riesz decomposition property. In particular, for each monotone complete, small  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  we assign a "normality weight",  $w(A) \in \mathcal{W}$ .

- A classification semi-group  $\mathcal{W}$  can be constructed for monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras of bounded cardinality. (Saitô & Wright 2007) .
- This semi-group is abelian, partially ordered, and has the Riesz decomposition property. In particular, for each monotone complete, small  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  we assign a "normality weight",  $w(A) \in \mathcal{W}$ .
- When  $A$  is a von Neumann algebra  $w(A)$  is the zero element of the semi-group.



- A classification semi-group  $\mathcal{W}$  can be constructed for monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras of bounded cardinality. (Saitô & Wright 2007) .
- This semi-group is abelian, partially ordered, and has the Riesz decomposition property. In particular, for each monotone complete, small  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  we assign a "normality weight",  $w(A) \in \mathcal{W}$ .
- When  $A$  is a von Neumann algebra  $w(A)$  is the zero element of the semi-group.
- Let  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . Then there are  $2^c$  small monotone complete factors whose normality weights are all distinct.

- A classification semi-group  $\mathcal{W}$  can be constructed for monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras of bounded cardinality. (Saitô & Wright 2007) .
- This semi-group is abelian, partially ordered, and has the Riesz decomposition property. In particular, for each monotone complete, small  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  we assign a "normality weight",  $w(A) \in \mathcal{W}$ .
- When  $A$  is a von Neumann algebra  $w(A)$  is the zero element of the semi-group.
- Let  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . Then there are  $2^c$  small monotone complete factors whose normality weights are all distinct.
- ---

- Let  $G_j (j = 1, 2)$  be a countable group acting freely and ergodically on a commutative monotone complete algebra  $A_j (j = 1, 2)$ . By a cross-product construction using these group actions, we can obtain monotone complete  $C^*$ -factors  $B_j (j = 1, 2)$ . Then it is easy to show that  $wA_j = wB_j$ .

- Let  $G_j (j = 1, 2)$  be a countable group acting freely and ergodically on a commutative monotone complete algebra  $A_j (j = 1, 2)$ . By a cross-product construction using these group actions, we can obtain monotone complete  $C^*$ -factors  $B_j (j = 1, 2)$ . Then it is easy to show that  $wA_j = wB_j$ .
- So if  $wA_1 \neq wA_2$  then  $wB_1 \neq wB_2$ . In particular,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are not isomorphic.

- Let  $G_j (j = 1, 2)$  be a countable group acting freely and ergodically on a commutative monotone complete algebra  $A_j (j = 1, 2)$ . By a cross-product construction using these group actions, we can obtain monotone complete  $C^*$ -factors  $B_j (j = 1, 2)$ . Then it is easy to show that  $wA_j = wB_j$ .
- So if  $wA_1 \neq wA_2$  then  $wB_1 \neq wB_2$ . In particular,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are not isomorphic.
- \_\_\_\_\_

- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:

- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a monotone complete wild factor of Type III, and also a small  $C^*$ -algebra.

- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a monotone complete wild factor of Type III, and also a small  $C^*$ -algebra.
- For  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ,  $wB_\lambda \neq wB_\mu$  so  $B_\lambda$  is not isomorphic to  $B_\mu$ .



- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a monotone complete wild factor of Type III, and also a small  $C^*$ -algebra.
- For  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ,  $wB_\lambda \neq wB_\mu$  so  $B_\lambda$  is not isomorphic to  $B_\mu$ .
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is generated by an increasing sequence of full matrix algebras.

- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a monotone complete wild factor of Type III, and also a small  $C^*$ -algebra.
- For  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ,  $wB_\lambda \neq wB_\mu$  so  $B_\lambda$  is not isomorphic to  $B_\mu$ .
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is generated by an increasing sequence of full matrix algebras.
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a quotient of the Borel-Pedersen envelope of the Fermion algebra.

# introduction: generic dynamics

- Generic Dynamics introduced in Sullivan-Weiss-Wright (1986)  
Further developed in an elegant survey by Weiss (2000)

# introduction: generic dynamics

- Generic Dynamics introduced in Sullivan-Weiss-Wright (1986)  
Further developed in an elegant survey by Weiss (2000)
- The original framework was a countable group of homeomorphisms acting on a complete separable metric space with no isolated points (a perfect Polish space). This corresponds to dynamics on a canonical compact, Hausdorff, extremally disconnected space (the Stone space of the complete Boolean algebra of regular open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

# introduction: generic dynamics

- Generic Dynamics introduced in Sullivan-Weiss-Wright (1986)  
Further developed in an elegant survey by Weiss (2000)
- The original framework was a countable group of homeomorphisms acting on a complete separable metric space with no isolated points (a perfect Polish space). This corresponds to dynamics on a canonical compact, Hausdorff, extremally disconnected space (the Stone space of the complete Boolean algebra of regular open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ).



- A subset  $V$  of a topological space  $X$  is *nowhere dense* if its closure has empty interior.

- A subset  $V$  of a topological space  $X$  is *nowhere dense* if its closure has empty interior.
- A subset  $W$  of  $X$  is *meagre* if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets.

- A subset  $V$  of a topological space  $X$  is *nowhere dense* if its closure has empty interior.
- A subset  $W$  of  $X$  is *meagre* if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets.
- A subset  $Y$  of  $X$  is *generic*, if  $X \setminus Y$  is meagre.



- A subset  $V$  of a topological space  $X$  is *nowhere dense* if its closure has empty interior.
- A subset  $W$  of  $X$  is *meagre* if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets.
- A subset  $Y$  of  $X$  is *generic*, if  $X \setminus Y$  is meagre.
- \_\_\_\_\_

- Let  $G$  be a countable group (infinite unless we specify otherwise).

- Let  $G$  be a countable group (infinite unless we specify otherwise).
- Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space with no isolated points.

- Let  $G$  be a countable group (infinite unless we specify otherwise).
- Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space with no isolated points.
- Suppose that  $X$  is a Baire space i.e. such that the only meagre open set is the empty set.

- Let  $G$  be a countable group (infinite unless we specify otherwise).
- Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space with no isolated points.
- Suppose that  $X$  is a Baire space i.e. such that the only meagre open set is the empty set.
- (This holds if  $X$  is compact or a  $G$ -delta subset of a compact Hausdorff space or homeomorphic to a complete metric space.)

- Let  $G$  be a countable group (infinite unless we specify otherwise).
- Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space with no isolated points.
- Suppose that  $X$  is a Baire space i.e. such that the only meagre open set is the empty set.
- (This holds if  $X$  is compact or a  $G$ -delta subset of a compact Hausdorff space or homeomorphic to a complete metric space.)
- Let  $\varepsilon$  be an action of  $G$  on  $X$  as homeomorphisms of  $X$ .

- Let  $G$  be a countable group (infinite unless we specify otherwise).
- Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space with no isolated points.
- Suppose that  $X$  is a Baire space i.e. such that the only meagre open set is the empty set.
- (This holds if  $X$  is compact or a  $G$ -delta subset of a compact Hausdorff space or homeomorphic to a complete metric space.)
- Let  $\varepsilon$  be an action of  $G$  on  $X$  as homeomorphisms of  $X$ .
- \_\_\_\_\_

# Ergodic discrete group actions

- If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that the orbit  $G[x_0]$  is dense in  $X$  then every  $G$ –invariant open subset of  $X$  is either empty or dense.
- If every non-empty open  $G$ –invariant subset of  $X$  is dense then, for each  $x$  in  $X$ , the orbit  $G[x]$  is either dense or nowhere dense.
- \_\_\_\_\_



- We concentrate on the situation where, for some  $x_0 \in X$ , the orbit  $\{\varepsilon_g(x_0) : g \in G\}$  is dense in  $X$ .
- This cannot happen unless  $X$  is separable.
- Let  $S$  be the Stone space of the (complete) Boolean algebra of regular open sets of  $X$ . Then, it can be shown that the action  $\varepsilon$  of  $G$  on  $X$  induces an action  $\widehat{\varepsilon}$  of  $G$  as homeomorphisms of  $S$ ; which will also have a dense orbit.
- \_\_\_\_\_

# Huge number of spaces

- When  $X$  is a perfect Polish space, then  $S$  is unique; it is the Stone space of the regular open sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

# Huge number of spaces

- When  $X$  is a perfect Polish space, then  $S$  is unique; it is the Stone space of the regular open sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- Let  $X$  range over all separable compact subspaces of the separable space,  $2^{\mathbb{R}} = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

# Huge number of spaces

- When  $X$  is a perfect Polish space, then  $S$  is unique; it is the Stone space of the regular open sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- Let  $X$  range over all separable compact subspaces of the separable space,  $2^{\mathbb{R}} = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- Then we find  $2^c$  essentially different  $S$ ; where  $S$  is compact, separable and extremally disconnected.

# Huge number of spaces

- When  $X$  is a perfect Polish space, then  $S$  is unique; it is the Stone space of the regular open sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- Let  $X$  range over all separable compact subspaces of the separable space,  $2^{\mathbb{R}} = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- Then we find  $2^c$  essentially different  $S$ ; where  $S$  is compact, separable and extremally disconnected.
- For each such  $S$ ,  $C(S)$  is a subalgebra of  $\ell^\infty$

# Huge number of spaces

- When  $X$  is a perfect Polish space, then  $S$  is unique; it is the Stone space of the regular open sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- Let  $X$  range over all separable compact subspaces of the separable space,  $2^{\mathbb{R}} = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- Then we find  $2^c$  essentially different  $S$ ; where  $S$  is compact, separable and extremally disconnected.
- For each such  $S$ ,  $C(S)$  is a subalgebra of  $\ell^\infty$
- \_\_\_\_\_

- Let  $E$  be the orbit equivalence relation on  $S$ .
- That is,  $sEt$ , if, for some group element  $g$ ,  $\widehat{\varepsilon}_g(s) = t$ .
- Then we can construct a monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_E$  from the orbit equivalence relation.
- When there is a free dense orbit, the algebra will be a factor with a maximal abelian subalgebra,  $A$ , which is isomorphic to  $C(S)$ . There is always a faithful, normal, conditional expectation from  $M_E$  onto  $A$ .
- \_\_\_\_\_

- For  $f \in C(S)$ , let  $\gamma^g(f) = f \circ \widehat{\varepsilon}_{g^{-1}}$ . Then  $g \rightarrow \gamma^g$  is an action of  $G$  as automorphisms of  $C(S)$ .



- For  $f \in C(S)$ , let  $\gamma^g(f) = f \circ \widehat{\varepsilon}_{g^{-1}}$ . Then  $g \rightarrow \gamma^g$  is an action of  $G$  as automorphisms of  $C(S)$ .
- Then  $M(C(S), G)$ , the *monotone cross-product* can be associated with this action.

- For  $f \in C(S)$ , let  $\gamma^g(f) = f \circ \widehat{\varepsilon}_{g^{-1}}$ . Then  $g \rightarrow \gamma^g$  is an action of  $G$  as automorphisms of  $C(S)$ .
- Then  $M(C(S), G)$ , the *monotone cross-product* can be associated with this action.
- When the action  $\widehat{\varepsilon}$  is free, then  $M(C(S), G)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $M_E$ . **In other words, the monotone cross-product does not depend on the group, only on the orbit equivalence relation.**

- For  $f \in C(S)$ , let  $\gamma^g(f) = f \circ \widehat{\varepsilon}_{g^{-1}}$ . Then  $g \rightarrow \gamma^g$  is an action of  $G$  as automorphisms of  $C(S)$ .
- Then  $M(C(S), G)$ , the *monotone cross-product* can be associated with this action.
- When the action  $\widehat{\varepsilon}$  is free, then  $M(C(S), G)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $M_E$ . **In other words, the monotone cross-product does not depend on the group, only on the orbit equivalence relation.**
- \_\_\_\_\_

- In recent work by Saitô and me, we consider  $2^c$  algebras  $C(S)$ , each taking different values in the classification semi-group  $\mathcal{W}$ . (Here  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , the cardinality of  $\mathbb{R}$ .)
- Each  $S$  is separable and a subspace of the (separable) compact space  $2^{\mathbb{R}} = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- ---

Let  $G$  be any countably infinite group. Let  $\alpha$  be an action of  $G$  (as homeomorphisms) on  $S$  and suppose this action has at least one orbit which is dense and free. Then, modulo meagre sets, the orbit equivalence relation obtained can also be obtained by an action of  $\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  as homeomorphisms of  $S$ . So  $M(C(S), G)$  can be identified with  $M(C(S), \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

---

- The projections in  $C(S)$  form a complete Boolean algebra,  $\text{Proj}C(S)$ .

- The projections in  $C(S)$  form a complete Boolean algebra,  $\text{Proj}C(S)$ .
- When  $\text{Proj}C(S)$  is countably generated then  $M(C(S), \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is generated by an increasing sequence of finite dimensional matrix algebras. Hence,  $M(C(S), G)$  is Approximately Finite Dimensional.

- The projections in  $C(S)$  form a complete Boolean algebra,  $\text{Proj}C(S)$ .
- When  $\text{Proj}C(S)$  is countably generated then  $M(C(S), \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is generated by an increasing sequence of finite dimensional matrix algebras. Hence,  $M(C(S), G)$  is Approximately Finite Dimensional.
- \_\_\_\_\_



- We construct  $2^c$ , essentially different, compact extremally disconnected spaces,  $S_\eta$ , where  $\text{Proj}C(S_\eta)$  is countably generated.
- Simultaneously, we construct a natural action of  $\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  with a free, dense orbit on each  $S_\eta$ . This gives rise to a family of monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras,  $(B_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda)$  with the properties described below.

- \_\_\_\_\_

- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:

- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a monotone complete wild factor of Type III, and also a small  $C^*$ -algebra.

- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a monotone complete wild factor of Type III, and also a small  $C^*$ -algebra.
- For  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ,  $wB_\lambda \neq wB_\mu$  so  $B_\lambda$  is not isomorphic to  $B_\mu$ .

- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a monotone complete wild factor of Type III, and also a small  $C^*$ -algebra.
- For  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ,  $wB_\lambda \neq wB_\mu$  so  $B_\lambda$  is not isomorphic to  $B_\mu$ .
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is generated by an increasing sequence of full matrix algebras.

- Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of cardinality  $2^c$ , where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . There exists a family  $\{B_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that:
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a monotone complete wild factor of Type III, and also a small  $C^*$ -algebra.
- For  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ,  $wB_\lambda \neq wB_\mu$  so  $B_\lambda$  is not isomorphic to  $B_\mu$ .
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is generated by an increasing sequence of full matrix algebras.
- Each  $B_\lambda$  is a quotient of the Borel-Pedersen envelope of the Fermion algebra.

- Fix a large Hilbert space  $H^\#$ . only consider algebras which are isomorphic to subalgebras of  $L(H^\#)$ .
- For (unital) small  $C^*$ -algebras, their Pedersen Borel envelopes, or more generally any (unital)  $C^*$ -algebra of cardinality  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , it suffices if  $H^\#$  has an orthonormal basis of cardinality  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

- Let  $A$  and  $B$  be monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a positive linear map.



- Let  $A$  and  $B$  be monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a positive linear map.
- $\phi$  is *faithful* if  $x \geq 0$  and  $\phi(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .

- Let  $A$  and  $B$  be monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a positive linear map.
- $\phi$  is *faithful* if  $x \geq 0$  and  $\phi(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .
- $\phi$  is *normal* if, whenever  $D$  is a downward directed set of positive elements of  $A$  then  $\phi$  maps the infimum of  $D$  to the infimum of  $\{\phi(d) : d \in D\}$ .

- Let  $\Omega^\#$  be the set of all  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $L(H^\#)$  which are monotone complete (in themselves).

- Let  $\Omega^\#$  be the set of all  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $L(H^\#)$  which are monotone complete (in themselves).
- Let  $\Omega$  be the class of all monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras which are isomorphic to norm closed  $*$ -subalgebras of  $L(H^\#)$ . Then  $A \in \Omega$  precisely when  $A$  is isomorphic to an algebra in  $\Omega^\#$

- We define a relation on  $\Omega$  by  $A \precsim B$  if there exists a positive linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  which is faithful and normal.

- We define a relation on  $\Omega$  by  $A \precsim B$  if there exists a positive linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  which is faithful and normal.
- It can be proved that  $\precsim$  is a quasi-ordering of  $\Omega$ .

- We define a relation on  $\Omega$  by  $A \precsim B$  if there exists a positive linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  which is faithful and normal.
- It can be proved that  $\precsim$  is a quasi-ordering of  $\Omega$ .
- We define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\Omega$  by  $A \sim B$  if  $A \precsim B$  and  $B \precsim A$ .

- Let  $\pi$  be an isomorphism of  $A$  onto  $B$ . Then  $\pi$  and  $\pi^{-1}$  are both normal so  $A \simeq B$  and  $B \simeq A$ .



- Let  $\pi$  be an isomorphism of  $A$  onto  $B$ . Then  $\pi$  and  $\pi^{-1}$  are both normal so  $A \cong B$  and  $B \cong A$ .
- If  $\pi$  is an isomorphism of  $A$  onto a subalgebra of  $B$ . Then  $\pi$  need not be normal.

- Let  $\pi$  be an isomorphism of  $A$  onto  $B$ . Then  $\pi$  and  $\pi^{-1}$  are both normal so  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ .
- If  $\pi$  is an isomorphism of  $A$  onto a subalgebra of  $B$ . Then  $\pi$  need not be normal.
- It will only be normal if its range is a monotone closed subalgebra of  $B$ . In particular, if  $A$  is a monotone closed subalgebra of  $B$ , then by taking the natural injection as  $\pi$ , we see that  $A \lesssim B$ .

- For each  $A \in \Omega^\#$  let  $[A]$  be the corresponding equivalence class. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of equivalence classes.

- For each  $A \in \Omega^\#$  let  $[A]$  be the corresponding equivalence class. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of equivalence classes.
- We can try to define  $[A] + [B]$  to be  $[A \oplus B]$ .

- For each  $A \in \Omega^\#$  let  $[A]$  be the corresponding equivalence class. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of equivalence classes.
- We can try to define  $[A] + [B]$  to be  $[A \oplus B]$ .
- It is not obvious this makes sense but it turns out to work OK. With this definition of addition,  $\mathcal{W}$  is an abelian semi-group. It has a zero element  $[\mathbb{C}]$

- We can partially order the semi-group  $\mathcal{W}$  by:

$[A] \leq [B]$  if  $A_1 \sim A$  and  $B_1 \sim B$  with  $A_1 \preceq B_1$ .

We find, appropriately, that 0 is its smallest element.

- The partially ordered semi-group has the Riesz Decomposition Property:

- The partially ordered semi-group has the Riesz Decomposition Property:
- If  $0 \leq x \leq a + b$  then  $x = a_1 + b_1$  where  $0 \leq a_1 \leq a$  and  $0 \leq b_1 \leq b$ .



- For each  $A \in \Omega$ ,  $A$  is isomorphic to  $A^\# \in \Omega^\#$ .
- We define  $w(A) = [A^\#]$ .

- *Let  $A$  be an MCA in  $\Omega$ .*

- *Let  $A$  be an MCA in  $\Omega$ .*
- Then  $w(A) = 0$  if, and only if,  $A$  is a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal state.

- The map  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda 1$  shows that  $\mathbb{C} \precsim A$ . So  $A \sim \mathbb{C}$  if, and only if,  $A \precsim \mathbb{C}$ .

- The map  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda 1$  shows that  $\mathbb{C} \precsim A$ . So  $A \sim \mathbb{C}$  if, and only if,  $A \precsim \mathbb{C}$ .
- But this is equivalent to the existence of a faithful normal functional  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

- The map  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda 1$  shows that  $\mathbb{C} \precsim A$ . So  $A \sim \mathbb{C}$  if, and only if,  $A \precsim \mathbb{C}$ .
- But this is equivalent to the existence of a faithful normal functional  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .
- By Kadison, a faithful normal state on  $A$  implies  $A$  is von Neumann.

- The map  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda 1$  shows that  $\mathbb{C} \precsim A$ . So  $A \sim \mathbb{C}$  if, and only if,  $A \precsim \mathbb{C}$ .
- But this is equivalent to the existence of a faithful normal functional  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .
- By Kadison, a faithful normal state on  $A$  implies  $A$  is von Neumann.
- When  $A$  is a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal state, then  $A \precsim \mathbb{C}$ .

## APPENDIX: Monotone complete C\*-algebras and generic dynamics (JDM Wright)

The survey article [1] gives a bird's eye view of classifying monotone complete C\*-algebras. In particular it describes the spectroid invariant for monotone complete algebras (there was insufficient time to do this in my talk). Full details of the classification are given in [2].

Just as the spectrum is a set which encodes information about an operator, the spectroid encodes information about a monotone complete C\*-algebra. Since equivalent algebras have the same spectroid, it is an invariant for members of the classification semi-group. All this can be generalised to much more general partially ordered sets.

Generic dynamics for actions of countable groups on complete separable metric spaces were introduced in [3] and a strong uniqueness theorem was proved. The survey by Weiss [4] contains much more.

The recent paper [6] gives details of all generic dynamics results mentioned in this talk.

Imagine a city in which each building contains a small monotone complete C\*-algebra, where algebras in different buildings are never isomorphic. Then by Hamana's marvellous breakthrough [5] we can suppose there are  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  buildings. In [2] we find the city can be organised into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  avenues; each avenue is named by an element of the classification semigroup. The zero avenue is the one where the von Neumann algebras live. There is much to do before we can give a complete map of the city. But [2] brings some order out of chaos.

The work of [6] shows that generic dynamics can be applied to the construction of huge numbers of wild factors. In particular if we require that each algebra in our city is a small hyperfinite factor we still get  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  elements in the classification semi-group.

1. J.D.M. Wright, "On classifying monotone complete C\*-algebras", *Contemporary Mathematics (Amer. Math. Soc.)* **503**, 307-317 (2009).
2. K. Saito and J.D.M. Wright, "On classifying monotone complete algebras of operators", *Ricerche Mat.***56**, 321-355 (2007).
3. D.Sullivan, B. Weiss and J.D.M. Wright, "Generic dynamics and monotone complete C\*-algebras", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **295**, 795-809 (1986).
4. B.Weiss, "A survey of generic dynamics", *Descriptive set theory and dynamical systems* (eds M.Formann et al.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **277**, 273-291 (C.U.P.), 2000.
5. M. Hamana, "Infinite,  $\sigma$ -finite non- $W^*$ ,  $AW^*$ -factors", *Int. J. Math.***12**, 81-95 (2001).
6. K. Saito and J.D.M. Wright, " Monotone complete C\*-algebras and generic dynamics", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (Advanced Access 14 February 2013, 41 pages) doi:10.1112/plms/pds084