

C^* -algebras coming from a commuting k -tuple of local homeomorphisms acting on a compact metric space

Judith Packer (U. Colorado, Boulder)
with C. Farsi (U. Colorado, Boulder), L. Huang (U. Nevada,
Reno), and A. Kumjian (U. Nevada, Reno)

CMS Summer Meeting, June 2021
Session on Operator Algebras and Applications
Monday, June 7, 2021

Outline of Talk:

1. Constructing the groupoid from k commuting local homeomorphisms
2. The Cocycle Condition on $[C(X, \mathbb{R})]^k$ and continuous 1-cocycles on the groupoid
3. Commuting Ruelle operators, their duals, and solving the positive eigenvalue condition
4. KMS states coming from the Radon-Nikodym problem and 1-cocycle-driven dynamics

Commuting local homeomorphisms on compact metric space

Set-up: Let X be a compact metric space, and let $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a k -tuple of commuting surjective local homeomorphisms on X . This gives rise to an action of the semigroup \mathbb{N}^k on X by endomorphisms:

$$\sigma^n(x) = \sigma_1^{n_1} \sigma_2^{n_2} \dots \sigma_k^{n_k}(x), \text{ for } n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k, x \in X.$$

The transformation groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, sometimes called the "semi-direct product" groupoid associated to the action of \mathbb{N}^k on X , is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma) = \{((x, m - n, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z}^k \times X : \sigma^m(x) = \sigma^n(y)\},$$

where the unit space of $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ is identified with X via $x \rightarrow (x, 0, x)$, and then $r((x, n, y)) = x$ and $s((x, n, y)) = y$ are the range and source maps, respectively.

The associated groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ and its C^* -algebra

Recall $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ has as a basis for its topology sets of the form $U \times \{m - n\} \times V$, where U and V are open in X and $\sigma^m(U) = \sigma^n(V)$. Then $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ is an étale locally compact Hausdorff amenable groupoid, generalizing “Renault-Deaconu” groupoids ([D], [ER], [KR]).

Following the method of J. Renault ([R]) and denoting by $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)^{(2)}$ the set of composable pairs, there is a convolution structure on $C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ as well as an adjoint operation. The groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ is then constructed by completing $C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ in the appropriate norm.

The associated groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ and its C^* -algebra

Recall $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ has as a basis for its topology sets of the form $U \times \{m - n\} \times V$, where U and V are open in X and $\sigma^m(U) = \sigma^n(V)$. Then $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ is an étale locally compact Hausdorff amenable groupoid, generalizing “Renault-Deaconu” groupoids ([D], [ER], [KR]).

Following the method of J. Renault ([R]) and denoting by $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)^{(2)}$ the set of composable pairs, there is a convolution structure on $C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ as well as an adjoint operation. The groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ is then constructed by completing $C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ in the appropriate norm.

The groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ is amenable, so that there is a dense embedding of $C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ into

$$C_r^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)).$$

The Cocycle Condition

Definition 1: Let (X, σ) denote the compact metric space X together with a k -tuple of commuting surjective local homeomorphisms $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ acting on X . Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset C(X, \mathbb{R})$.

The Cocycle Condition

Definition 1: Let (X, σ) denote the compact metric space X together with a k -tuple of commuting surjective local homeomorphisms $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ acting on X . Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset C(X, \mathbb{R})$.

We say that the triple (X, σ, φ) **satisfies the Cocycle Condition** if:

$$\varphi_i + \varphi_j \circ \sigma_i = \varphi_j + \varphi_i \circ \sigma_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k.$$

Example 1: If the $\{\varphi_i = r_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are all real constant functions, the cocycle condition is trivially satisfied.

Theorem on 1-cocycles on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ taking on values in \mathbb{R}

Theorem 1 ([FHKP]): Let (X, σ, φ) denote a triple consisting of the compact metric space X , a k -tuple $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of commuting local homeomorphisms on X , and a k -tuple of continuous real-valued functions $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ on X such that (X, σ, φ) satisfies the **Cocycle Condition**. Then defining $c_\varphi : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$ by

Theorem on 1-cocycles on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ taking on values in \mathbb{R}

Theorem 1 ([FHKP]): Let (X, σ, φ) denote a triple consisting of the compact metric space X , a k -tuple $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of commuting local homeomorphisms on X , and a k -tuple of continuous real-valued functions $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ on X such that (X, σ, φ) satisfies the **Cocycle Condition**. Then defining $c_\varphi : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$c_\varphi(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \varphi_1 \circ \sigma_1^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} \varphi_2 \circ \sigma_1^{n_1} \circ \sigma_2^i + \dots + \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \varphi_k \circ \sigma_1^{n_1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_{k-1}^{n_{k-1}} \circ \sigma_k^i,$$

c_φ is a 1-cocycle for the action of \mathbb{N}^k on $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ viewed as a \mathbb{N}^k -module. Moreover c_φ gives rise to a continuous groupoid 1-cocycle $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$ on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ taking on values in \mathbb{R} defined by

$$c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(x, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}, y) = c_\varphi(\mathbf{m})(x) - c_\varphi(\mathbf{n})(y).$$

This map: $Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, C(X, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{conts}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), \mathbb{R})$ is a bijection.

Ruelle operator \mathcal{L} associated to a Ruelle dynamical multi-system

Definition 2: ([E], [ER], [W]) Let X be a compact metric space, let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a surjective local homeomorphism, and let $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous real-valued function. The **Ruelle operator** $\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}$ is defined on $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}(f)(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-1}(x)} e^{\psi(y)} f(y).$$

Ruelle operator \mathcal{L} associated to a Ruelle dynamical multi-system

Definition 2: ([E], [ER], [W]) Let X be a compact metric space, let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a surjective local homeomorphism, and let $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous real-valued function. The **Ruelle operator** $\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}$ is defined on $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}(f)(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-1}(x)} e^{\psi(y)} f(y).$$

Lemma 1: (Generalization of [ER, Prop 2.2]) Let X be a compact metric space, let $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a commuting family of local homeomorphism defined on X , and let $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset C(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a k -tuple of continuous functions on X that satisfy the cocycle condition for the $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$, let $c_\varphi : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the associated 1-cocycle. Then the map from \mathbb{N}^k to $\text{End}(C(X, \mathbb{R}))$ given by

$$n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(X, \sigma^n, c_\varphi(n))}$$

is a semigroup homomorphism.

The Ruelle dual operator \mathcal{L}^* acting on $M(X)$

Suppose (X, T, ψ) represents a compact metric space X , a surjective local homeomorphism $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\psi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$. Recall that the Ruelle operator $\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}$ is an endomorphism of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ to itself. Thus the dual of the Ruelle operator $\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}^*$ maps $C(X, \mathbb{R})^*$ to $C(X, \mathbb{R})^*$. Since $C(X, \mathbb{R})^*$ can be viewed as finite signed Borel measures on X , $\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}^*$ maps signed Borel measures on X to signed Borel measures on X as follows:

The Ruelle dual operator \mathcal{L}^* acting on $M(X)$

Suppose (X, T, ψ) represents a compact metric space X , a surjective local homeomorphism $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\psi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$. Recall that the Ruelle operator $\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}$ is an endomorphism of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ to itself. Thus the dual of the Ruelle operator $\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}^*$ maps $C(X, \mathbb{R})^*$ to $C(X, \mathbb{R})^*$. Since $C(X, \mathbb{R})^*$ can be viewed as finite signed Borel measures on X , $\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}^*$ maps signed Borel measures on X to signed Borel measures on X as follows:

$$\int_X f(x) d(\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}^*(\mu))(x) = \int_X \mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}(f)(x) d\mu(x), \quad f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Moreover by construction, $\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}^*$ maps positive finite Borel measures on X to positive finite Borel measures on X .

Conditions for solving the positive eigenvalue problem for \mathcal{L}^*

Definition 3: Let (X, T, ψ) be as in the previous slide. Then (X, T, ψ) is said to admit a **unique solution to the positive eigenvalue problem** if there is a unique positive number $\lambda > 0$ and a unique probability measure μ on X such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}^*(\mu) = \lambda\mu,$$

i.e.

$$\int_X \mathcal{L}_{(X, T, \psi)}(f)(x) d\mu(x) = \lambda \int_X f(x) d\mu(x), \quad \forall f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Remark: It is a result of P. Walters [W] that if X has a compatible metric such that T is positively expansive, T is exact, and ψ is Hölder continuous, then (X, T, ψ) satisfies the unique positive eigenvalue condition.

The positive eigenvalue property for commuting Ruelle families

Definition 4: Let (X, σ, φ) be a triple corresponding to a k -tuple of commuting surjective local homeomorphisms $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and functions $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and suppose that (X, σ, φ) satisfies the Cocycle Condition. Then (X, σ, φ) is said to admit a **unique solution for the positive eigenvalue problem** if there is a unique k -tuple of positive numbers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and a unique probability measure μ on X such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i)}^*(\mu) = \lambda_i \mu, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

The positive eigenvalue property for commuting Ruelle families

Definition 4: Let (X, σ, φ) be a triple corresponding to a k -tuple of commuting surjective local homeomorphisms $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and functions $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and suppose that (X, σ, φ) satisfies the Cocycle Condition. Then (X, σ, φ) is said to admit a **unique solution for the positive eigenvalue problem** if there is a unique k -tuple of positive numbers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and a unique probability measure μ on X such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i)}^*(\mu) = \lambda_i \mu, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Theorem 2 (FHKP) A triple (X, σ, φ) satisfying the Cocycle Condition admits a unique solution to the positive eigenvalues problem if there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(X, \sigma^n, c_\varphi(n))$ satisfies the unique positive eigenvalue condition of Definition 3. Here $c_\varphi : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$ is the one-cocycle associated to (X, σ, φ) by Theorem 1.

Consequences of Theorems 1 and 2

Theorems 1 and 2 taken together show that given a Ruelle triple (X, σ, φ) , in order for it to admit a unique solution for the positive eigenvalue problem, it is enough to have $n \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$ such that σ^n is positively expanding and exact, and such that $c_\varphi(n)$ is Hölder continuous all with respect to a compatible metric d .

Consequences of Theorems 1 and 2

Theorems 1 and 2 taken together show that given a Ruelle triple (X, σ, φ) , in order for it to admit a unique solution for the positive eigenvalue problem, it is enough to have $n \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$ such that σ^n is positively expanding and exact, and such that $c_\varphi(n)$ is Hölder continuous all with respect to a compatible metric d .

Example 2: Let $X = \mathbb{T}^2$ be the 2-torus. Fix a positive integer $d \geq 2$. Define the following two commuting local homeomorphisms of \mathbb{T}^2 :

$$\sigma_1(z_1, z_2) = (z_1^d, z_2^d), \quad \sigma_2(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 z_2^{-1}, z_1 z_2), \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{T}.$$

These local homeomorphisms are both expanding and exact. It follows that choosing $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and setting $\varphi_1 = r_1$, $\varphi_2 = r_2$, the triple (X, σ, φ) has a unique solution to the positive eigenvalue problem.

KMS states on $C^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ coming from 1-cocycles

Let α be an action of \mathbb{R} on a C^* -algebra A . It extends to an analytic action of \mathbb{C} on a dense $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of A . Then we can associate **KMS states** to (A, α) . The elements of \mathcal{A} are called the **entire elements** associated to α , and a state ω is called a KMS state at inverse temperature $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\omega(a\alpha_{i\beta}(b)) = \omega(ba), \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In the case where we are given any continuous 1-cocycle ρ on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ taking values in \mathbb{R} , by [R] we can define $\alpha_\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)))$ by

$$\alpha_\rho(t)(f)(x, k, y) = e^{it\rho(x, k, y)} f(x, k, y), f \in \mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), t \in \mathbb{R},$$

a formula valid for $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ that extends to elements of $C^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$. Moreover the elements of $C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ are entire elements for α_ρ .

The Radon-Nikodym problem for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, 1-cocycles, and KMS states

Let (X, σ) be as before, and let μ be a Borel probability measure defined on the compact metric space X . Define the pull-back measures $s^*\mu$ and $r^*\mu$ on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$. Suppose that μ is *quasi-invariant* for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, so that the measures $s^*\mu$ and $r^*\mu$ are equivalent to one another. The **Radon-Nikodym derivative** for μ is the measurable real-valued function $D = \frac{\delta r^*\mu}{\delta s^*\mu}$ defined on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, which is a multiplicative 1-cocycle with values in \mathbb{R}^+ . Let ρ be a 1-cocycle for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ with values in \mathbb{R} , and let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that the measure μ on X satisfies the **(ρ, β) -KMS condition** if it is quasi-invariant for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, and if its corresponding Radon-Nikodym derivative $D = e^{-\beta\rho}$.

Question: does there exist a k -tuple $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset C(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the **Cocycle Condition** and a probability measure on X and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that μ satisfies the $(c_{(X, \sigma, \varphi)}, \beta)$ -KMS condition?

Main Theorem

Theorem 3: (FHKP) Let (X, σ, φ) be a triple admitting a unique solution for the positive eigenvalue problem for the dual of the Ruelle operators $\{\mathcal{L}_{(X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i)}^*\}_{i=1}^k$, so that there exists unique $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^k$ and a unique Borel probability measure μ with $\mathcal{L}_{(X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i)}^*(\mu) = \alpha_i \mu$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Suppose

$\alpha_i = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $\mu := \mu^{(X, \sigma, \varphi)}$ is a quasi-invariant measure for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative $e^{-c_{(X, \sigma, \varphi)}}$, so that $\mu^{(X, \sigma, \varphi)}$ gives rise to a KMS₁ state for the gauge dynamics $\alpha_t^{(X, \sigma, \varphi)}(f) = e^{itc_{(X, \sigma, \varphi)}} f$, $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$, with corresponding KMS-state ω given by

$$\omega(f) = \int_X f(x, 0, x) d\mu_{(X, \sigma, \varphi)}, \quad f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)).$$

Corollary of main theorem

Corollary 1: (FHKP) Let (X, σ, φ) be triple admitting a unique solution for the positive eigenvalue problem for the Ruelle dual operators $\{\mathcal{L}_{(X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i)}^*\}_{i=1}^k$, so that there exists a unique k -tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^k$ and a unique Borel probability measure $\mu := \mu^{(X, \sigma, \varphi)}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{(X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i)}^*(\mu) = \alpha_i \mu$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Fix $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then setting

$$\varsigma_i(x) = \frac{\ln(\alpha_i) - \varphi_i(x)}{\beta}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \text{ and } \varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_k),$$

$\mu = \mu^{(X, \sigma, \varphi)}$ is a eigenmeasure for the Ruelle operators $\{\mathcal{L}_{(X, \sigma_i, \beta \varsigma_i)}^*\}_{i=1}^k$ with constant eigenvalue 1, so that μ corresponds to a KMS_β -state for the generalized gauge dynamics on $C^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ obtained from (X, σ, ς) .

Another example

Example 3: We compute Ruelle eigenvalues and eigenmeasures for the 2-Ruelle dynamical system (X, σ, φ) , with $X = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{0, 1\}$, and $\sigma = \{\sigma_j\}_{j=1,2}$ defined by, for $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

$$\sigma_1(x) := (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \sigma_2(x) := (x_n + 1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Here addition is done modulo 2 component-wise. For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ define $\varphi = \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1,2}$ by the following equation, where again addition is considered mod 2.

$$\varphi_1(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x_0 + x_1 = 0 \\ b & \text{if } x_0 + x_1 = 1 \end{cases}, \quad \varphi_2(x) = c.$$

Example 3, continued

One computes that φ_i satisfy the cocycle condition and that the eigenvalues α_1, α_2 of the associated Ruelle operators are given by $\alpha_1 = e^a + e^b$, and $\alpha_2 = e^c$, and as for the eigenmeasure, $\mu(Z[0]) = \mu(Z[1]) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Using induction, one can show that for $n \geq 1$:

$$\mu(Z[x_0 x_1 \dots x_n]) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[e^{\psi(x_j + x_{j+1})} / (e^a + e^b) \right],$$

where $\psi : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$ is defined by $\psi(0) = a$, and $\psi(1) = b$.

As in Corollary 1, we can modify the $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^2$ to obtain a pair of functions $\{\varsigma_i\}_{i=1}^2$ such that μ corresponds to a KMS_β -state for the generalized gauge dynamics on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ associated to the $\{\beta \varsigma_i\}_{i=1}^2$.

References:

- ▶ J. Christensen, “[Symmetries of the KMS simplex](#)”, Comm. Math. Phys., 364 (2018), 357–383; [C].
- ▶ V. Deaconu, “[Groupoids associated with endomorphisms](#)”, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 347 (1995), 1779–1786; [D].
- ▶ R. Exel, “[KMS states for generalized gauge actions on Cuntz-Krieger algebras](#)”, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 35 (2004), 1–12; [E]
- ▶ R. Exel and J. Renault, “[Semigroups of local homeomorphisms and interaction groups](#)”, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 21 (2007), 1737–1771; [ER].
- ▶ C. Farsi, E. Gillaspy, N. Larsen and J. Packer, “[Generalized gauge actions on \$k\$ -graph \$C^*\$ -Algebras](#)”, Indiana U. Math. J., 70 (2021), 669–709; [FGLP].
- ▶ C. Farsi, L. Huang, A. Kumjian and J. Packer, “[Cocycles on groupoids associated to \$\mathbb{N}^k\$ actions](#)”, arXiv:2010.03036v2 [FHKP].

References, continued:

- ▶ A. Kumjian and J. Renault, “KMS States on C^* -Algebras associated to expansive maps”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 2067–2078; [KR].
- ▶ S. Neshveyev, “KMS States on the C^* -algebras of non-principal groupoids”, J. Operator Theory, 70 (2013), 513–530; [N].
- ▶ J. Renault, “A Groupoid Approach to C^* -algebras”, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 793, Springer, Berlin (1980); [Re1].
- ▶ J. Renault, “The Radon-Nikodym problem for approximately proper equivalence relations”, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys., 25 (2005), 1643–1672; [Re2].
- ▶ D. Ruelle, “A measure associated with Axiom A attractors”, Amer. J. Math., (1976) 98, 619–654; [Ru].
- ▶ P. Walters, “Convergence of the Ruelle Operator for a function satisfying Bowen’s condition”, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 353 (2001), 327–347; [W].