

SIMPLE AMENABLE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

Stuart White

University of Oxford

UNITAL CLASSIFICATION THEOREM (MANY HANDS)

Simple, separable, unital, nuclear, \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras satisfying the UCT are classified by K -theory and traces.

A TINY BIT OF HISTORY

- Unital classification theorem first proved in 2015,^a by combining work of Elliott-Gong-Lin-Niu, Gong-Lin-Niu, Winter, Tikuisis-W-Winter with the Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem.
- I'm discussing a new proof combining Chris Schafhauser's breakthrough approach to classification through the trace kernel extension with methods for handling many traces simultaneously (Carrión, Gabe, Schafhauser, Tikuisis, W.).
- All of this builds, and relies, on decades of research by large numbers of people.

^a2015 version of classification theorem had hypothesis of **finite nuclear dimension** in place of \mathcal{Z} -stability.

DICHOTOMY

THEOREM (KIRCHBERG)

Let A be a simple separable unital and exact \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra.
Then A is purely infinite or stably finite.

- Kirchberg actually proved his dichotomy for simple exact algebras with non-trivial decomposition $A \otimes B$.
- Relatively easy proof for UHF-stable C^* -algebras (due to Rørdam) using results from 80's.

UNITAL KIRCHBERG PHILLIPS THEOREM (94-00)

Classification of unital simple separable nuclear purely infinite C^* -algebras with UCT by K -theory.

- Classification theorem proceeds using this dichotomy.
- Purely infinite case of classification done.

AF ALGEBRAS / HYPERFINITE FINITE vNAS

- ① Classify maps from finite dimensional algebras

$F \rightarrow A$ has cancellation
by ordered K_0 . $[\rho]_0 = [\varphi]_0 \Rightarrow \rho \sim \varphi$.

s.t. $F \xrightarrow{\varphi, \psi} M$ II, vNA.
by bases.
 $\exists \varphi$ including a morphism
 $\varphi \sim \psi$

$\mathcal{C} \circ \varphi = \mathcal{C} \circ \psi \quad \forall C \in T(M)$

- ② Classify maps from approximately finite dimensional algebras

$\overline{UF_n} \rightarrow A$

AF C^* -algebras $\rightarrow \{C^*-alg\text{ with}\}$
cancellation
by ordered K_0 .

$(UF_n)'' \rightarrow M$ II,
by bases.

- ③ Symmetrise assumptions: classify approximately finite dimensional algebras

AF \Rightarrow cancellation.

Classification of AF- C^* -algs.

Classification of hyperfinite II, vNAs

SPLITTING HYPOTHESES

HYPOTHESES SPLIT

| | domain side A | codomain side B |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <i>Reuttey</i> | separable (nuclear) UCT class | unital (simple) \mathbb{Z} -stable quasi traces are traces |

- Can go further too, and move some hypotheses to the maps

IDEALLY, CLASSIFY MAPS $A \hookrightarrow B$ BY K -THEORY AND TRACES

But it's not true!

$$b_3 \otimes b_3$$

$$x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$$

This is trivial on K -theory

but the flip is not approximable

!ness fails.
 Sol^n : Enlarge the invariant.
• Extreme terms even harder.
 $A \hookrightarrow B_\omega$

ADDITIONAL INVARIANTS

- Total K -theory

$$K_*(A \otimes O_{n+1})$$

!!

$$\underline{K}(A) = K_*(A) \oplus K_*(A; \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}_2)$$

Thomsen: $\overline{K}^{\text{alg}}_1(A) \cong K_1(A) \oplus \frac{\text{Aff } T(A)}{\text{Im } K_0(A)}$

↑
non unital.

- (Hausdorffised, Unitary) Algebraic K_1

$K_1(A)$ abelian grp.

$$U_\infty(A) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty U_n(A)$$

$DU_\infty(A)$ derived grp

$$\langle u v v^* v^* : u, v \in U_\infty(A) \rangle.$$

$$\overline{K}^{\text{alg}}_1(A) = U_\infty(A) / \overline{DU_\infty(A)}$$

↑
in the inductive limit top on $U_n(A)$.

THE EXTENDED INVARIANT

- \underline{KT}_u : K-theory, traces, total K-theory, algebraic K_1 .
- and all the natural maps connecting these objects.

THEOREM (CLASSIFICATION OF EMBEDDINGS)

Let A be ^{nuclear} separable nuclear in the UCT class, and let B be simple, ^{nuclear} separable \mathcal{Z} -stable (quasitraces are traces). Then injective maps $A \rightarrow B$ are classified by \underline{KT}_u .

eg) $(\bigotimes_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ Bernoulli shift action . A has ! trace
. $k_*(A) \subset (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$

U (arbitrary unitary implementing shift) $k_1(A) = \langle [U]_1 \rangle$.

$$K_1^{\text{dyn}}(A) \cong K_1(A) \oplus \frac{A \cap T(A)}{\text{Im } k_u} = \mathbb{Z}_1 \oplus R/\mathbb{Z}_1 \quad \begin{pmatrix} \downarrow & \\ 1, & 0, 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\text{Im } k_u = \mathbb{Z}_1 \oplus T \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0, 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(s_1) gives a copy of T in A realizing T in $K_1^{\text{dyn}}(A)$.

$\phi: A \rightarrow A$
 ϕ gives $\bigotimes_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$
8 sends $U \mapsto \lambda_U$

Any endo of $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{Z} \\ \otimes \\ -\infty \end{smallmatrix} \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$

is approx unitary equiv to

$$\Phi_\lambda : \text{gives } \otimes \mathbb{Z} \\ v \mapsto \lambda v$$

or ~~\otimes~~ $\otimes \psi_\lambda$ $v \mapsto \lambda v^*$

\otimes reverses the order of the infinite tensor product.

A LITTLE LOOK AT UNIQUENESS

Let $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ agreeing on invariants. $\underline{\mathcal{K}T_0}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & A & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & \phi, \psi & & q \circ \phi, q \circ \psi & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & J_{B_\omega} & \longrightarrow & B_\omega & \xrightarrow{\quad \gamma \quad} & (\bar{B}^{T(B)})^\omega \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \text{M. Difg.} &
 \end{array}$$

$q \circ \phi, q \circ \psi$ agree on traces then $q \circ \phi \cong_{\text{tr}} q \circ \psi$
 $\Rightarrow q \circ \phi$ unitary equivalent to $q \circ \psi$.

$$\exists \bar{U} \in \mathcal{U}((\bar{B}^{T(B)})^\omega)$$

$$\bar{U} q \circ \phi \bar{U}^* = q \circ \psi.$$

- $\bar{U} = e^{i\pi h}$ for some self-adjoint $h \in (\bar{B}^{T(B)})^\omega$
 $\therefore U = q^{(u)}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(B_\omega)$.

A LITTLE LOOK AT UNIQUENESS

Let $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$.

- ① Agreement on traces: adjust by a unitary in B_ω so that
 $q \circ \phi = q \circ \psi$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & A & & \\ & & & \swarrow \phi, \psi & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J_{B_\omega} & \longrightarrow & B_\omega & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\overline{B}^{T(B)})^\omega \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & & & \\ & & \left\{ (x_n) \in \ell^\infty(B) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_{2, T(B)} = 0 \right\} & & & & \end{array}$$

- $q(a) - \psi(a) \in J_{B_\omega}$ for all $a \in A$. $[\phi, \psi]$ is a bentz pair rep an element of $KK(A, \overline{J}_{B_\omega})$.

For a $KK(A, J)$

Want J to be stable, or-unital
 J_{B_ω} is not stable \nmid Prop J_{B_ω} is separably stable. \exists stable rep $J_0 \subseteq J_{B_\omega}$
s.t. $J_0 \subseteq J_1 \subseteq J_{B_\omega}$

\mathcal{Z} -STABLE KK -UNIQUENESS THEOREM

THEOREM

Let A be separable, J be separable and stable. Suppose $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow M(J)$ are **absorbing** and define a Cuntz pair, i.e. $\phi(a) - \psi(a) \in J$ for all $a \in J$.

$$[\phi, \psi] = 0 \text{ in } KK(A, J) \implies \phi(a) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \approx \psi(a) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}$$

$$\exists (u_t)_{t \gg 0} \in (\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathcal{Z})^{\sim}$$

$$u_t(\phi(a) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}) u_t^* \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(a) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}$$

- Key idea: Puszcza duality

$$KK(A, J) \cong K_1 \left(\mathcal{U}(J)/\mathcal{U}(J) \cap \gamma_1(\phi(A))' \right)$$

$$q : \mathcal{U}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(J)/\mathcal{U}(J) \cap \gamma_1(\phi(A))' \quad [\phi, \psi] \mapsto \begin{cases} [u] & \text{if } u \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \text{ in } \mathcal{U}(J)/\mathcal{U}(J) \cap \gamma_1(\phi(A))' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A LITTLE LOOK AT UNIQUENESS

Let $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ agreeing on invariants.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & A & & \\ & & & \swarrow \phi, \psi & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J_{B_\omega} & \longrightarrow & B_\omega & \longrightarrow & (\bar{B}^{T(B)})^\omega \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$$K_0(A, \mathbb{A}_h) \longrightarrow K_1(A)$$

$$\begin{matrix} g_A^{(1)} & \searrow & \\ & R_1^{\text{alg}}(A) & \nearrow k_A \end{matrix}$$