

C*-algebras: structure and classification

Aaron Tikuisis

a.tikuisis@abdn.ac.uk

University of Aberdeen

7 April, 2016

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra.

Definition

A $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is *inner* if there exists a unitary $u \in A$ such that

$$\phi(a) = u^*au.$$

It is *approximately inner* if there exists a net (u_i) of unitaries in A such that

$$\lim_i \|\phi(a) - u_i^*au_i\| = 0.$$

E.g., There are no nontrivial inner $*$ -homomorphisms on $C(X)$.

E.g., All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_n \rightarrow M_n$ are inner (exercise).

Running theme: approximately inner flip

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra.

Definition

A $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is *inner* if there exists a unitary $u \in A$ such that

$$\phi(a) = u^*au.$$

It is *approximately inner* if there exists a net (u_i) of unitaries in A such that

$$\lim_i \|\phi(a) - u_i^*au_i\| = 0.$$

E.g., There are no nontrivial inner $*$ -homomorphisms on $C(X)$.

E.g., All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_n \rightarrow M_n$ are inner (exercise).

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra.

Definition

A $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is *inner* if there exists a unitary $u \in A$ such that

$$\phi(a) = u^*au.$$

It is *approximately inner* if there exists a net (u_i) of unitaries in A such that

$$\lim_i \|\phi(a) - u_i^*au_i\| = 0.$$

E.g., There are no nontrivial inner $*$ -homomorphisms on $C(X)$.

E.g., All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_n \rightarrow M_n$ are inner (exercise).

Running theme: approximately inner flip

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra.

Definition

A $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is *inner* if there exists a unitary $u \in A$ such that

$$\phi(a) = u^*au.$$

It is *approximately inner* if there exists a net (u_i) of unitaries in A such that

$$\lim_i \|\phi(a) - u_i^*au_i\| = 0.$$

E.g., There are no nontrivial inner $*$ -homomorphisms on $C(X)$.

E.g., All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_n \rightarrow M_n$ are inner (exercise).

Running theme: approximately inner flip

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra.

Definition

A $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is *inner* if there exists a unitary $u \in A$ such that

$$\phi(a) = u^*au.$$

It is *approximately inner* if there exists a net (u_i) of unitaries in A such that

$$\lim_i \|\phi(a) - u_i^*au_i\| = 0.$$

E.g., There are no nontrivial inner $*$ -homomorphisms on $C(X)$.

E.g., All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_n \rightarrow M_n$ are inner (exercise).

Running theme: approximately inner flip

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra.

Definition

A $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is *inner* if there exists a unitary $u \in A$ such that

$$\phi(a) = u^*au.$$

It is *approximately inner* if there exists a net (u_i) of unitaries in A such that

$$\lim_i \|\phi(a) - u_i^*au_i\| = 0.$$

E.g., There are no nontrivial inner $*$ -homomorphisms on $C(X)$.

E.g., All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_n \rightarrow M_n$ are inner (exercise).

Running theme: approximately inner flip

E.g., The uniformly hyperfinite C^* -algebra M_{2^∞} :

Running theme: approximately inner flip

E.g., The uniformly hyperfinite C^* -algebra M_{2^∞} :

$$M_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \\ \hline & \mathbb{C} \\ \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right)$$

All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_{2^\infty} \rightarrow M_{2^\infty}$ are approximately inner.

Running theme: approximately inner flip

E.g., The uniformly hyperfinite C^* -algebra M_{2^∞} :

$$M_4 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \\ \hline \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \\ \hline \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \\ \hline \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right)$$

All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_{2^\infty} \rightarrow M_{2^\infty}$ are approximately inner.

Running theme: approximately inner flip

E.g., The uniformly hyperfinite C^* -algebra M_{2^∞} :

$$M_8 = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & c \\ \hline c & c \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & c \\ \hline c & c \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & c \\ \hline c & c \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & c \\ \hline c & c \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & c \\ \hline c & c \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & c \\ \hline c & c \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & c \\ \hline c & c \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & c \\ \hline c & c \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$$

All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_{2^\infty} \rightarrow M_{2^\infty}$ are approximately inner.

Running theme: approximately inner flip

E.g., The uniformly hyperfinite C^* -algebra M_{2^∞} :

$$M_{2^\infty} = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \parallel \cdot \parallel \\ \hline \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$$

All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_{2^\infty} \rightarrow M_{2^\infty}$ are approximately inner.

Running theme: approximately inner flip

E.g., The uniformly hyperfinite C^* -algebra M_{2^∞} :

$$M_{2^\infty} = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \parallel \cdot \parallel \\ \hline \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \right) & \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \\ \hline \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \right) & \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$$

All $*$ -homomorphisms $M_{2^\infty} \rightarrow M_{2^\infty}$ are approximately inner.

Running theme: approximately inner flip

Let A, B be unital C^* -algebras.

Definition

$A \otimes B$ denotes the *minimal*, a.k.a. *spatial* tensor product of A and B (which contains $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ as a dense $*$ -subalgebra). The *flip* map is the $*$ -homomorphism $\sigma_{A,B} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$ determined by

$$\sigma_{A,B}(a \otimes b) := b \otimes a.$$

Question

When is the flip $\sigma_{B,B} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ approximately inner?

Running theme: approximately inner flip

Let A, B be unital C^* -algebras.

Definition

$A \otimes B$ denotes the *minimal*, a.k.a. *spatial* tensor product of A and B (which contains $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ as a dense $*$ -subalgebra). The *flip* map is the $*$ -homomorphism $\sigma_{A,B} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$ determined by

$$\sigma_{A,B}(a \otimes b) := b \otimes a.$$

Question

When is the flip $\sigma_{B,B} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ approximately inner?

Running theme: approximately inner flip

Let A, B be unital C^* -algebras.

Definition

$A \otimes B$ denotes the *minimal*, a.k.a. *spatial* tensor product of A and B (which contains $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ as a dense $*$ -subalgebra). The *flip* map is the $*$ -homomorphism $\sigma_{A,B} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$ determined by

$$\sigma_{A,B}(a \otimes b) := b \otimes a.$$

Question

When is the flip $\sigma_{B,B} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ approximately inner?

Running theme: approximately inner flip

Let A, B be unital C^* -algebras.

Definition

$A \otimes B$ denotes the *minimal*, a.k.a. *spatial* tensor product of A and B (which contains $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ as a dense $*$ -subalgebra). The *flip* map is the $*$ -homomorphism $\sigma_{A,B} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$ determined by

$$\sigma_{A,B}(a \otimes b) := b \otimes a.$$

Question

When is the flip $\sigma_{B,B} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ approximately inner?

Running theme: approximately inner flip

$$\sigma_{B,B}(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a.$$

Question

(When) is the flip $\sigma_{B,B} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ approximately inner?

E.g., M_n has inner flip, since $M_n \otimes M_n \cong M_{n^2}$.

E.g., M_{2^∞} has approximately inner flip, since $M_{2^\infty} \otimes M_{2^\infty} \cong M_{2^\infty}$.

E.g., $C(X)$ has approximately inner flip if and only if $X = \{*\}$.

More generally, approximately inner flip \Rightarrow simple.

For this question, we'll stick to the case that B is separable.

Running theme: approximately inner flip

$$\sigma_{B,B}(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a.$$

Question

(When) is the flip $\sigma_{B,B} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ approximately inner?

E.g., M_n has inner flip, since $M_n \otimes M_n \cong M_{n^2}$.

E.g., M_{2^∞} has approximately inner flip, since
 $M_{2^\infty} \otimes M_{2^\infty} \cong M_{2^\infty}$.

E.g., $C(X)$ has approximately inner flip if and only if $X = \{*\}$.

More generally, approximately inner flip \Rightarrow simple.

For this question, we'll stick to the case that B is separable.

Running theme: approximately inner flip

$$\sigma_{B,B}(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a.$$

Question

(When) is the flip $\sigma_{B,B} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ approximately inner?

E.g., M_n has inner flip, since $M_n \otimes M_n \cong M_{n^2}$.

E.g., M_{2^∞} has approximately inner flip, since
 $M_{2^\infty} \otimes M_{2^\infty} \cong M_{2^\infty}$.

E.g., $C(X)$ has approximately inner flip if and only if $X = \{*\}$.

More generally, approximately inner flip \Rightarrow simple.

For this question, we'll stick to the case that B is separable.

Running theme: approximately inner flip

$$\sigma_{B,B}(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a.$$

Question

(When) is the flip $\sigma_{B,B} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ approximately inner?

E.g., M_n has inner flip, since $M_n \otimes M_n \cong M_{n^2}$.

E.g., M_{2^∞} has approximately inner flip, since
 $M_{2^\infty} \otimes M_{2^\infty} \cong M_{2^\infty}$.

E.g., $C(X)$ has approximately inner flip if and only if $X = \{*\}$.

More generally, approximately inner flip \Rightarrow simple.

For this question, we'll stick to the case that B is separable.

Running theme: approximately inner flip

$$\sigma_{B,B}(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a.$$

Question

(When) is the flip $\sigma_{B,B} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ approximately inner?

E.g., M_n has inner flip, since $M_n \otimes M_n \cong M_{n^2}$.

E.g., M_{2^∞} has approximately inner flip, since
 $M_{2^\infty} \otimes M_{2^\infty} \cong M_{2^\infty}$.

E.g., $C(X)$ has approximately inner flip if and only if $X = \{*\}$.

More generally, approximately inner flip \Rightarrow simple.

For this question, we'll stick to the case that B is separable.

Some history

Connes '76: the only separable II_1 factor with (von Neumann algebraic) approximately inner flip is \mathcal{R} .

This was a key step in Connes's proof that \mathcal{R} is the only injective II_1 -factor.

Effros, Rosenberg '78: defined and studied approximately inner flip.

Some history

Connes '76: the only separable II_1 factor with (von Neumann algebraic) approximately inner flip is \mathcal{R} .

This was a key step in Connes's proof that \mathcal{R} is the only injective II_1 -factor.

Effros, Rosenberg '78: defined and studied approximately inner flip.

Some history

Connes '76: the only separable II_1 factor with (von Neumann algebraic) approximately inner flip is \mathcal{R} .

This was a key step in Connes's proof that \mathcal{R} is the only injective II_1 -factor.

Effros, Rosenberg '78: defined and studied approximately inner flip.

Definition

A C^* -algebra A is nuclear if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- (i) $A \otimes B$ is the only way of completing $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ to a C^* -algebra;
- (ii) A has the completely positive approximation property:
there exists a net $((F_i, \phi_i, \psi_i))_i$ of finite dimensional C^* -algebras F_i and c.p.c. maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & A \\ & \searrow \psi_i & \nearrow \phi_i \\ & F_i & \end{array}$$

such that for all $a \in A$, $\lim_i \|\phi_i(\psi_i(a)) - a\| = 0$.

Definition

A C^* -algebra A is nuclear if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- (i) $A \otimes B$ is the only way of completing $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ to a C^* -algebra;
- (ii) A has the completely positive approximation property:
there exists a net $((F_i, \phi_i, \psi_i))_i$ of finite dimensional C^* -algebras F_i and c.p.c. maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & A \\ & \searrow \psi_i & \nearrow \phi_i \\ & F_i & \end{array}$$

such that for all $a \in A$, $\lim_i \|\phi_i(\psi_i(a)) - a\| = 0$.

Definition

A C^* -algebra A is nuclear if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- (i) $A \otimes B$ is the only way of completing $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ to a C^* -algebra;
- (ii) A has the completely positive approximation property:

there exists a net $((F_i, \phi_i, \psi_i))_i$ of finite dimensional C^* -algebras F_i and c.p.c. maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & A \\ & \searrow \psi_i & \nearrow \phi_i \\ & F_i & \end{array}$$

such that for all $a \in A$, $\lim_i \|\phi_i(\psi_i(a)) - a\| = 0$.

Definition

A C^* -algebra A is nuclear if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- (i) $A \otimes B$ is the only way of completing $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ to a C^* -algebra;
- (ii) A has the completely positive approximation property:
there exists a net $((F_i, \phi_i, \psi_i))_i$ of finite dimensional C^* -algebras F_i and c.p.c. maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & A \\ & \searrow \psi_i & \nearrow \phi_i \\ & F_i & \end{array}$$

such that for all $a \in A$, $\lim_i \|\phi_i(\psi_i(a)) - a\| = 0$.

Nuclearity

E.g., $C_r^*(G)$ is nuclear if and only if G is amenable.

E.g., $C(X) \rtimes G$ is nuclear whenever G is amenable.

Theorem (Effros–Rosenberg)

If B has approximately inner flip then B is nuclear.

Non-nuclear C^* -algebras are too big (intractable) to hope to classify.

Nuclearity

E.g., $C_r^*(G)$ is nuclear if and only if G is amenable.

E.g., $C(X) \rtimes G$ is nuclear whenever G is amenable.

Theorem (Effros–Rosenberg)

If B has approximately inner flip then B is nuclear.

Non-nuclear C^* -algebras are too big (intractable) to hope to classify.

E.g., $C_r^*(G)$ is nuclear if and only if G is amenable.

E.g., $C(X) \rtimes G$ is nuclear whenever G is amenable.

Theorem (Effros–Rosenberg)

If B has approximately inner flip then B is nuclear.

Non-nuclear C^* -algebras are too big (intractable) to hope to classify.

E.g., $C_r^*(G)$ is nuclear if and only if G is amenable.

E.g., $C(X) \rtimes G$ is nuclear whenever G is amenable.

Theorem (Effros–Rosenberg)

If B has approximately inner flip then B is nuclear.

Non-nuclear C^* -algebras are too big (intractable) to hope to classify.

Let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ if there exists $v \in A$ such that

$$p = v^*v \quad \text{and} \quad vv^* = q.$$

Fact

If $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is approximately inner, then $\phi(p) \sim p$ for all projections $p \in A$.

Let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ if there exists $v \in A$ such that

$$p = v^*v \quad \text{and} \quad vv^* = q.$$

Fact

If $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is approximately inner, then $\phi(p) \sim p$ for all projections $p \in A$.

Let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ if there exists $v \in A$ such that

$$p = v^*v \quad \text{and} \quad vv^* = q.$$

Fact

If $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is approximately inner, then $\phi(p) \sim p$ for all projections $p \in A$.

K-theory

We write $p \sim q$ if there exists $v \in A$ such that

$$p \sim q \text{ iff } \exists v \in A, p = v^*v \text{ and } vv^* = q.$$

The K_0 -group packages \sim -equivalence classes into a group.

$K_0(A) :=$ abelian group generated by equivalence classes of projections from $\bigcup_n M_n(A)$, with

$$[p] + [q] := \left[\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

$$K_0(A)_+ := \{[p] \mid p \in M_n(A)\}.$$

K_0 is a functor, since a *-homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $\phi_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ by

$$\phi_*([p]) = [\phi(p)].$$

We write $p \sim q$ if there exists $v \in A$ such that

$$p \sim q \text{ iff } \exists v \in A, p = v^*v \text{ and } vv^* = q.$$

The K_0 -group packages \sim -equivalence classes into a group.

$K_0(A) :=$ abelian group generated by equivalence classes of projections from $\bigcup_n M_n(A)$, with

$$[p] + [q] := \left[\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

$$K_0(A)_+ := \{[p] \mid p \in M_n(A)\}.$$

K_0 is a functor, since a *-homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $\phi_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ by

$$\phi_*([p]) = [\phi(p)].$$

K-theory

We write $p \sim q$ if there exists $v \in A$ such that

$$p \sim q \text{ iff } \exists v \in A, p = v^*v \text{ and } vv^* = q.$$

The K_0 -group packages \sim -equivalence classes into a group.

$K_0(A) :=$ abelian group generated by equivalence classes of projections from $\bigcup_n M_n(A)$, with

$$[p] + [q] := \left[\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

$$K_0(A)_+ := \{[p] \mid p \in M_n(A)\}.$$

K_0 is a functor, since a *-homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $\phi_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ by

$$\phi_*([p]) = [\phi(p)].$$

K-theory

We write $p \sim q$ if there exists $v \in A$ such that

$$p \sim q \text{ iff } \exists v \in A, p = v^*v \text{ and } vv^* = q.$$

The K_0 -group packages \sim -equivalence classes into a group.

$K_0(A) :=$ abelian group generated by equivalence classes of projections from $\bigcup_n M_n(A)$, with

$$[p] + [q] := \left[\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

$$K_0(A)_+ := \{[p] \mid p \in M_n(A)\}.$$

K_0 is a functor, since a *-homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $\phi_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ by

$$\phi_*([p]) = [\phi(p)].$$

K-theory

We write $p \sim q$ if there exists $v \in A$ such that

$$p \sim q \text{ iff } \exists v \in A, p = v^*v \text{ and } vv^* = q.$$

The K_0 -group packages \sim -equivalence classes into a group.

$K_0(A) :=$ abelian group generated by equivalence classes of projections from $\bigcup_n M_n(A)$, with

$$[p] + [q] := \left[\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

$$K_0(A)_+ := \{[p] \mid p \in M_n(A)\}.$$

K_0 is a functor, since a $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $\phi_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ by

$$\phi_*([p]) = [\phi(p)].$$

We write $p \sim q$ if there exists $v \in A$ such that

$$p \sim q \text{ iff } \exists v \in A, p = v^*v \text{ and } vv^* = q.$$

The K_0 -group packages \sim -equivalence classes into a group.

$K_0(A) :=$ abelian group generated by equivalence classes of projections from $\bigcup_n M_n(A)$, with

$$[p] + [q] := \left[\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

$$K_0(A)_+ := \{[p] \mid p \in M_n(A)\}.$$

K_0 is a functor, since a $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $\phi_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ by

$$\phi_*([p]) = [\phi(p)].$$

To show that there is a simple C^* -algebra *without* approximately inner flip, we need something like K-theory.

E.g., There exists a C^* -algebra B which is an inductive limit of finite dimensional C^* -algebras, such that

$$K_0(B) = \mathbb{Q}^2.$$

To show that there is a simple C^* -algebra *without* approximately inner flip, we need something like K-theory.

E.g., There exists a C^* -algebra B which is an inductive limit of finite dimensional C^* -algebras, such that

$$K_0(B) = \mathbb{Q}^2.$$

K -theory also includes $K_1(A)$, built out of unitaries.

Isomorphic C^* -algebras have the same K -theory.

Approximately inner maps induce the identity map on K -theory.

K -theory also includes $K_1(A)$, built out of unitaries.

Isomorphic C^* -algebras have the same K -theory.

Approximately inner maps induce the identity map on K -theory.

K -theory also includes $K_1(A)$, built out of unitaries.

Isomorphic C^* -algebras have the same K -theory.

Approximately inner maps induce the identity map on K -theory.

Traces

A *trace* on a unital C^* -algebra is a self-adjoint linear function $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ and $\tau(1) = 1$.

$T(A)$ denotes the set of traces on A .

A C^* -algebra with approximately inner flip has at most one trace.

Traces

A *trace* on a unital C^* -algebra is a self-adjoint linear function $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ and $\tau(1) = 1$.

$T(A)$ denotes the set of traces on A .

A C^* -algebra with approximately inner flip has at most one trace.

A *trace* on a unital C^* -algebra is a self-adjoint linear function $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ and $\tau(1) = 1$.

$T(A)$ denotes the set of traces on A .

A C^* -algebra with approximately inner flip has at most one trace.

Classification

Conjecture (Elliott, '90s)

If A, B are simple separable nuclear unital infinite dimensional C^* -algebras then $A \cong B$ if and only if they have the same *Elliott invariant*, consisting of K -theory paired with traces.

One hopes to also “classify” homomorphisms, and in particular determine from K -theory whether two isomorphisms are approximately unitarily equivalent.

(Isomorphisms $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are *approximately unitarily equivalent* if $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is approximately inner.)

Conjecture (Elliott, '90s)

If A, B are simple separable nuclear unital infinite dimensional C^* -algebras then $A \cong B$ if and only if they have the same *Elliott invariant*, consisting of K -theory paired with traces.

One hopes to also “classify” homomorphisms, and in particular determine from K -theory whether two isomorphisms are approximately unitarily equivalent.

(Isomorphisms $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are *approximately unitarily equivalent* if $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is approximately inner.)

Conjecture (Elliott, '90s)

If A, B are simple separable nuclear unital infinite dimensional C^* -algebras then $A \cong B$ if and only if they have the same *Elliott invariant*, consisting of K -theory paired with traces.

One hopes to also “classify” homomorphisms, and in particular determine from K -theory whether two isomorphisms are approximately unitarily equivalent.

(Isomorphisms $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are *approximately unitarily equivalent* if $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is approximately inner.)

Conjecture (Elliott, '90s)

If A, B are simple separable nuclear unital infinite dimensional C^* -algebras then $A \cong B$ if and only if they have the same *Elliott invariant*, consisting of K -theory paired with traces.

One hopes to also “classify” homomorphisms, and in particular determine from K -theory whether two isomorphisms are approximately unitarily equivalent.

(Isomorphisms $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are *approximately unitarily equivalent* if $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is approximately inner.)

K -theory computations

If we know the K -theory of A , can we tell whether the flip map induces the identity on K -theory?

Künneth formula for K -theory

If A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, then there is an exact sequence relating the K -theory of $A \otimes B$ to the K -theory of A and B .

The *Universal Coefficient Theorem* is a mysterious property.

Open question

Does every nuclear C^* -algebra satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem?

K-theory computations

If we know the K -theory of A , can we tell whether the flip map induces the identity on K -theory?

Künneth formula for K -theory

If A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, then there is an exact sequence relating the K -theory of $A \otimes B$ to the K -theory of A and B .

The *Universal Coefficient Theorem* is a mysterious property.

Open question

Does every nuclear C^* -algebra satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem?

K-theory computations

If we know the K -theory of A , can we tell whether the flip map induces the identity on K -theory?

Künneth formula for K -theory

If A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, then there is an exact sequence relating the K -theory of $A \otimes B$ to the K -theory of A and B .

The *Universal Coefficient Theorem* is a mysterious property.

Open question

Does every nuclear C^* -algebra satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem?

K-theory computations

If we know the K -theory of A , can we tell whether the flip map induces the identity on K -theory?

Künneth formula for K -theory

If A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, then there is an exact sequence relating the K -theory of $A \otimes B$ to the K -theory of A and B .

The *Universal Coefficient Theorem* is a mysterious property.

Open question

Does every nuclear C^* -algebra satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem?

K-theory computations

With the Künneth formula, one can say precisely when the flip map induces the identity on K -theory.

Theorem (T)

If A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, then the flip induces the identity on the K -theory of $A \otimes A$ if and only if $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0; (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ; (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type, m divides n , and

$$\mathbb{Q}_n := \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \middle| q \text{ divides } n \right\}.$$

K -theory computations

With the Künneth formula, one can say precisely when the flip map induces the identity on K -theory.

Theorem (T)

If A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, then the flip induces the identity on the K -theory of $A \otimes A$ if and only if $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0 ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ;
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;
- (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type, m divides n , and

$$\mathbb{Q}_n := \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \middle| q \text{ divides } n \right\}.$$

K -theory computations

With the Künneth formula, one can say precisely when the flip map induces the identity on K -theory.

Theorem (T)

If A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, then the flip induces the identity on the K -theory of $A \otimes A$ if and only if $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0; (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ; (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type, m divides n , and

$$\mathbb{Q}_n := \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \middle| q \text{ divides } n \right\}.$$

K -theory computations

With the Künneth formula, one can say precisely when the flip map induces the identity on K -theory.

Theorem (T)

If A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, then the flip induces the identity on the K -theory of $A \otimes A$ if and only if $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0; (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ; (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type, m divides n , and

$$\mathbb{Q}_n := \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \middle| q \text{ divides } n \right\}.$$

K -theory computations

With the Künneth formula, one can say precisely when the flip map induces the identity on K -theory.

Theorem (T)

If A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, then the flip induces the identity on the K -theory of $A \otimes A$ if and only if $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0; (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ; (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type, m divides n , and

$$\mathbb{Q}_n := \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \middle| q \text{ divides } n \right\}.$$

Classification – bad news

Theorem (Villadsen, Rørdam, Toms)

The Elliott conjecture is false.

That is, there exist infinite dimensional simple separable nuclear unital C^* -algebras A, B with the same K -theory and traces, but $A \not\cong B$.

Classification – bad news

Theorem (Villadsen, Rørdam, Toms)

The Elliott conjecture is false.

That is, there exist infinite dimensional simple separable nuclear unital C^* -algebras A, B with the same K -theory and traces, but $A \not\cong B$.

Regularity

There exists a C^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} (the *Jiang–Su algebra*) such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Z}$, and
- (ii) A has the same K -theory and traces as $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, for all A .

Hence if the Elliott conjecture were true, then $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ for every simple separable nuclear unital infinite dimensional C^* -algebra A .

The right class of C^* -algebras for classification are ones satisfying $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, a condition called *\mathcal{Z} -stability*.

Regularity

There exists a C^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} (the *Jiang–Su algebra*) such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Z}$, and
- (ii) A has the same K -theory and traces as $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, for all A .

Hence if the Elliott conjecture were true, then $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ for every simple separable nuclear unital infinite dimensional C^* -algebra A .

The right class of C^* -algebras for classification are ones satisfying $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, a condition called *\mathcal{Z} -stability*.

Regularity

There exists a C^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} (the *Jiang–Su algebra*) such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Z}$, and
- (ii) A has the same K -theory and traces as $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, for all A .

Hence if the Elliott conjecture were true, then $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ for every simple separable nuclear unital infinite dimensional C^* -algebra A .

The right class of C^* -algebras for classification are ones satisfying $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, a condition called *\mathcal{Z} -stability*.

Regularity

There exists a C^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} (the *Jiang–Su algebra*) such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Z}$, and
- (ii) A has the same K -theory and traces as $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, for all A .

Hence if the Elliott conjecture were true, then $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ for every simple separable nuclear unital infinite dimensional C^* -algebra A .

The right class of C^* -algebras for classification are ones satisfying $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, a condition called *\mathcal{Z} -stability*.

Regularity

There exists a C^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} (the *Jiang–Su algebra*) such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Z}$, and
- (ii) A has the same K -theory and traces as $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, for all A .

Hence if the Elliott conjecture were true, then $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ for every simple separable nuclear unital infinite dimensional C^* -algebra A .

The right class of C^* -algebras for classification are ones satisfying $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, a condition called *\mathcal{Z} -stability*.

Conjecture (Toms–Winter)

If A is a simple, separable, nuclear, infinite dimensional, unital C^* -algebra, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is \mathcal{Z} -stable ($A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$);
- (ii) A has finite nuclear dimension (a refinement of the completely positive approximation property);
- (iii) A has strict comparison.

This conjecture has been confirmed in many cases, including when A has at most one trace.

Conjecture (Toms–Winter)

If A is a simple, separable, nuclear, infinite dimensional, unital C^* -algebra, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is \mathcal{Z} -stable ($A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$);
- (ii) A has finite nuclear dimension (a refinement of the completely positive approximation property);
- (iii) A has strict comparison.

This conjecture has been confirmed in many cases, including when A has at most one trace.

Conjecture (Toms–Winter)

If A is a simple, separable, nuclear, infinite dimensional, unital C^* -algebra, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is \mathcal{Z} -stable ($A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$);
- (ii) A has finite nuclear dimension (a refinement of the completely positive approximation property);
- (iii) A has strict comparison.

This conjecture has been confirmed in many cases, including when A has at most one trace.

Conjecture (Toms–Winter)

If A is a simple, separable, nuclear, infinite dimensional, unital C^* -algebra, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is \mathcal{Z} -stable ($A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$);
- (ii) A has finite nuclear dimension (a refinement of the completely positive approximation property);
- (iii) A has strict comparison.

This conjecture has been confirmed in many cases, including when A has at most one trace.

Conjecture (Toms–Winter)

If A is a simple, separable, nuclear, infinite dimensional, unital C^* -algebra, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is \mathcal{Z} -stable ($A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$);
- (ii) A has finite nuclear dimension (a refinement of the completely positive approximation property);
- (iii) A has strict comparison.

This conjecture has been confirmed in many cases, including when A has at most one trace.

Theorem

The Elliott conjecture is true for:

\mathbb{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with no traces (Kirchberg, Phillips '00);

\mathbb{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with one trace (Winter '06 & '07, Lin–Niu '08, Matui–Sato '12 & '14, T–White–Winter '15);

C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension (Gong–Lin–Niu '15, Elliott–Gong–Lin–Niu '15, T–White–Winter '15);

(plus the Universal Coefficient Theorem in all cases).

Theorem

The Elliott conjecture is true for:

\mathbb{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with no traces (Kirchberg, Phillips '00);

\mathbb{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with one trace (Winter '06 & '07, Lin–Niu '08, Matui–Sato '12 & '14, T–White–Winter '15);

C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension (Gong–Lin–Niu '15, Elliott–Gong–Lin–Niu '15, T–White–Winter '15);

(plus the Universal Coefficient Theorem in all cases).

Theorem

The Elliott conjecture is true for:

\mathbb{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with no traces (Kirchberg, Phillips '00);

\mathbb{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with one trace (Winter '06 & '07, Lin–Niu '08, Matui–Sato '12 & '14, T–White–Winter '15);

C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension (Gong–Lin–Niu '15, Elliott–Gong–Lin–Niu '15, T–White–Winter '15);

(plus the Universal Coefficient Theorem in all cases).

Classification – good news

Theorem

The Elliott conjecture is true for:

\mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with no traces (Kirchberg, Phillips '00);

\mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with one trace (Winter '06 & '07, Lin–Niu '08, Matui–Sato '12 & '14, T–White–Winter '15);

C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension (Gong–Lin–Niu '15, Elliott–Gong–Lin–Niu '15, T–White–Winter '15);

(plus the Universal Coefficient Theorem in all cases).

Theorem

The Elliott conjecture is true for:

\mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with no traces (Kirchberg, Phillips '00);

\mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras with one trace (Winter '06 & '07, Lin–Niu '08, Matui–Sato '12 & '14, T–White–Winter '15);

C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension (Gong–Lin–Niu '15, Elliott–Gong–Lin–Niu '15, T–White–Winter '15);

(plus the Universal Coefficient Theorem in all cases).

Approximately inner flip

Corollary (T)

Let A be a \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem. Then A has approximately inner flip iff A has at most one trace and $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0 ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ;
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;
- (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type and m divides n .

Question

Does there exist a non- \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra with approximately inner flip?

Approximately inner flip

Corollary (T)

Let A be a \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem. Then A has approximately inner flip iff A has at most one trace and $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0 ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ;
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;
- (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type and m divides n .

Question

Does there exist a non- \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra with approximately inner flip?

Approximately inner flip

Corollary (T)

Let A be a \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem. Then A has approximately inner flip iff A has at most one trace and $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0 ; (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ; (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type and m divides n .

Question

Does there exist a non- \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra with approximately inner flip?

Approximately inner flip

Corollary (T)

Let A be a \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem. Then A has approximately inner flip iff A has at most one trace and $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0 ; (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ; (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type and m divides n .

Question

Does there exist a non- \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra with approximately inner flip?

Approximately inner flip

Corollary (T)

Let A be a \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem. Then A has approximately inner flip iff A has at most one trace and $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) 0 ; (iv) \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} ;
- (ii) \mathbb{Z} ; (v) $\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) \mathbb{Q}_n ;

where m, n are supernatural numbers (infinite products of primes), m has infinite type and m divides n .

Question

Does there exist a non- \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra with approximately inner flip?