- <sup>1</sup> Claim. Suppose that  $M \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  has three distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  and that
- dim  $E_{\lambda} = n 2$ . Then M is diagonalizable.
- <sup>3</sup> Proof. Let  $T = \mathfrak{L}_M$ . Note that T is diagonalizable if and only if

$$\dim V = \dim E(\lambda, T) + \dim E(\mu, T) + \dim E(\nu, T).$$

- 4 We prove that this condition indeed holds.
- Since  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are distinct eigenvalues, dim  $E(\mu, T) \geq 1$  and dim  $E(\nu, T) \geq 1$ . Given that
- 6 dim  $E_{\lambda} = n 2$ , we obtain

$$\dim E(\lambda, T) + \dim E(\mu, T) + \dim E(\nu, T) \ge n = \dim V.$$

Since  $V = E(\lambda, T) \oplus E(\mu, T) \oplus E(\nu, T)$ ,

$$\dim E(\lambda, T) + \dim E(\mu, T) + \dim E(\nu, T) \le n = \dim V.$$

8 Therefore,

$$\dim V = \dim E(\lambda, T) + \dim E(\mu, T) + \dim E(\nu, T),$$

- $_{9}$  and thus T is diagonalizable.
- Problem. Give an example of a matrix with precisely three distinct eigenvalues that is not diagonalizable.
- Solution. By the Claim above, if M is not diagonalizable but has three distinct eigen-
- values, neither of them has dim  $E_{\lambda_i} = n 2$ .
- Moreover, if M is not diagonalizable, then

$$\dim V > \dim E(\lambda, T) + \dim E(\mu, T) + \dim E(\nu, T).$$

Suppose  $M \in M_{4\times 4}(\mathbb{Q})$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Take 
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Consider  $\det(M - \lambda I) = 0$ .

$$\det(M - \lambda I) = \det\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 - \lambda & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 - \lambda & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 5 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{1}$$

Expanding along the first column and using the fact that  $M - \lambda I$  has a normal Jordan form, we obtain

$$\det(M - \lambda I) = -\lambda \det\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -1 - \lambda & 0\\ 0 & 5 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda^2 (1 - \lambda)(1 + \lambda), \tag{2}$$

which gives possible eigenvalues of 0, 1, -1. Note that they are distinct. For  $\lambda = 0$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{3}$$

if 
$$x = 0$$
,  $y = 0$ ,  $z = 0$ . Thus,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  spans  $E_0$ .

For  $\lambda = 1$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 5 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w\\ x\\ y\\ z \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0},\tag{4}$$

if 
$$w=z, y=0$$
 and  $z=5y=0=w.$  Thus,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$  spans  $E_1.$ 

For  $\lambda = -1$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{5}$$

21 if 
$$w = -z$$
,  $x = 0$  and  $z = -5y$ . Thus,  $\begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix}$  spans  $E_{-1}$ .

- 22 Since there are only three eigenvectors, while the domain of  $T=\mathfrak{L}_M$  has the dimen-
- $_{23}$  sion 4, there is no basis for the domain consisting of eigenvectors, and thus M is not
- diagonalizable, while there are three distinct eigenvalues corresponding to M.