## 1 Canonical Forms

#### 1.1 Review

### 1.1.1 Strategy to Find the Intersection of Two Subspaces

Suppose  $W_1 = \operatorname{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  and  $W_2 = \operatorname{span} y_1, \dots, y_l$ .

We want to find all the solutions of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \mu_j y_j.$$

These form a homogeneous system of equations, and we know how to solve it!

### 1.1.2 Strategy for Finding Jordan Canonical Basis

Fix an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and find the dot diagram for  $T|_{K_{\lambda}}$ .

- 1. First find  $(T \lambda I)^3 v_1 \in \ker(T \lambda I) \cap (\operatorname{im}(T \lambda I)^3)$ .
- 2. Solve for  $v_1$ , thus obtaining the first cycle.
- 3. Extend to a basis  $(T-\lambda I)^3 v_1$ ,  $(T-\lambda I)^2$ ,  $(T-\lambda I)^2 v_3$ ,  $\cdots \in \ker(T-\lambda I) \cap \operatorname{im}(T-\lambda I)^2$
- 4. Repeat the procedure for  $v_2, v_3, \ldots$

### 2 Canonical Forms

### Theorem 2.1

Let  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  be such that their characteristic polynomial split.

Then A, B are similar if and only if they have the same JCF (up to the reordering of blocks).

Remark 2.2. This is a useful method to test the similarity of matrices.

Proof.

First note the following observations:

- 1. A is similar to its Jordan Canonical form, because  $[L_A]_{\beta} = J_A$  for some basis  $\beta$ .
- 2. If  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are matrices in JCF, then they are similar to each other.
- 3. If  $J_1, J_2$  are matrices in JCF corresponding to the same linear transfromation, then they are similar to each other ( the basis can be reordered).

Let  $\sim: M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \times M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \to M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  denote the relation

By the first observation, A is similar to  $J_A$  and B is similar to  $J_B$ .

If A, B are similar, then  $A \sim B$ , but  $A \sim J_A$  and  $B \sim J_B$ , and hence  $J_A \sim J_B$ .

Suppose, on the other hand, that  $J_A \sim J_B$  are the same up to reordering of blocks, and thus  $J_A$  and  $J_B$  are similar to each other by the second observation.

# 3 Review of Polynomials

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field.

Let  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  denote the polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

We define a polynomial as a formal expression  $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $n \geq 0$ .

Two polynomials are equal to each other if and only if all coefficients of the terms with the same power are equal.

A polynomial f(x) can be evaluated at any element  $c \in \mathbb{F}$  so that  $f(c) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i c^i \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Polynomials are not the same as polynomial-functions, because the polynomials may be equal while the corresponding polynomial-functions are not.

For example,  $f(x) = x^3 - x$  over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$  gives the zero function.

**Definition 3.1.** If  $a_n \neq 0$ , the degree of a polynomial is defined as deg f = n.

**e.g.** deg f = 0 if and only if  $f(x) = a_0 \neq 0$ .

Convention:  $deg 0 = -\infty$ 

The following properties hold:

- $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$
- $\deg(f+g) \leq \max\{\deg(f), \deg(g)\}$

**Definition 3.2.** If the leading coefficient  $a_n$  is such that  $a_n = 1$ , f(x) is said to be *monic*.

### 3.1 Division Algorithm

If f(x),  $g(x) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  and  $g(x) \neq 0$ , then there exist q(x) and  $r(x) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  such that f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x) such that  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$ .

These q, r can be found by long division.

#### Lemma 3.3

If 
$$a \in \mathbb{F}$$
 and  $f(a) = 0$ , then  $(x - a)|f(x)$ .

Proof.

We use the Factor Theorem.

Note that f(x) = f(x)(x-a) + r(a), where  $\deg(r) \le 0$ , so r is constant.

We evaluate it at a: f(a) = 0 + r(a), and hence r(a) = 0, which means that r(x) = 0.  $\square$ 

#### Lemma 3.4

If  $a_1, \ldots, a_s \in \mathbb{F}$  are distinct zeroes of f(x), then  $\prod_{i=1}^s (x - a_i) | f(x)$ .

Thus,  $\deg f \geq s$ , so f(x) can have at most  $\deg f$  zeroes.

**Definition 3.5.** We say that  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  is irreducible if deg f > 0 and it cannot be written as a product of two polynomials of lesser positive degree.

**e.g.** x-a is irreducible for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ .  $x^2+1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

More generally, a quadratic or cubic polynomial is irreducible if and only if there is no zero in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

For the polynomial of degree greater than or equal to 4.

**e.g.**  $(x^p - p) \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbb{Q}]$  is irreducible for all p prime.

### Example 3.6

Over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , we know by plugging in 0 and 1 that  $x^3 + x + 1$  is irreducible.

However, since over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  we have  $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + b^2$ , then  $x^4 + x^2 + 1 = (x^2 + x + 1)^2$ .

**Definition 3.7.** Two nonzero polynomials are relatively prime if there is no polynomial of positive degree dividing both of them.

### Example 3.8

Over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , we know that  $x^3+x+1$  and  $x^4+x^2+1$  are relatively prime, because  $x^3+x+1$  is irreducible, and thus the only factor of positive degree is  $x^3+x+1$ . However,  $(x^3+x+1)|x^4+x^2+1$ , since the quotient would be linear (but  $x^4+x^2+1$  has no zeroes).

**Remark 3.9.** In this way we see that distinct monic irreducible polynomials are relatively prime.

#### Theorem 3.10

If f(x), g(x) are relative prime, three exists u(x) and  $v(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that f(x)u(x)+g(x)v(x)=1.

#### **Lemma 3.11**

Suppose that f and g are polynomials that are relatively prime and f|gh. Then f|h.

Proof.

We know that 1 = fu + gv, and therefore h = fuh + ghv, which means that f|h.

#### Theorem 3.12

If  $\phi(x)$  is irreducible and  $\phi(x)|f(x)g(x)$ , then  $\phi(x)|f(x)$  or  $\phi(x)|g(x)$ .

## **Theorem 3.13** (Unique Factorisation)

If  $f(x) \neq 0$ , we can write  $f(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n_s} \phi_s(x)^{n_s}$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\phi_i(x)$  are distinct monic irreducible polynomials with  $n_i \geq 1$ .

The factorisation is unique up to the ordering of the factors.

### Example 3.14

Factor into irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_3 \ x^4 + x^2 + 1 = x^4 - 2x^2 + 1 = (x^2 - 1)^2$ .

**Remark 3.15.** If  $f(x) \neq 0$  and  $f(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n_s} \phi_s(x)^{n_s}$ , then the possible factors of f(x) are  $d \prod_{i=1}^{k_s}$  for  $0 \leq k_i \leq n_i$  and  $d \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ .

## 4 Minimal Polynomials

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Suppose that  $T \in \text{End}(V)$  has a characteristic polynomial f(t) with  $\deg(f) = \dim$ .

Recall that by Cayley-Hamilton Theorem we have that f(T) = 0.

Note that, however, f might not be monic, so we can rescale it in such a way that there exists a monic polynomial g of degree dim V such that g(T) = 0.

**Definition 4.1.** A minimal polynomial of T is a monic polynomial of the least degree such that p(T) = 0.

**Remark 4.2.** Note that  $1 \leq \deg(p) \leq \dim V$ .

By Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, a minimal polynomial exists.

**e.g.** If  $\dim V = n$  and T = I, then t - 1 is a minimal polynomial of T.

#### Example 4.3

For  $V = \mathbb{F}^2$ ,  $A \in M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ , then the characteristic polynomial is a minimal polynomial, because  $A - \lambda I \neq 0$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ .