

# 1 Spectral Theorem

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional inner product space, and let  $T \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ . Then  $T$  is **normal** if  $TT^* = T^*T$  and **self-adjoint** if  $T = T^*$ .

## Theorem 1.2

If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V$  has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors if and only if  $T$  is normal.

If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V$  has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors if and only if  $T$  is self-adjoint.

**Example 1.3** • Let  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ . Note that if  $T$  is self-adjoint, then it is normal, and by Theorem 1.2  $T$  is diagonalisable.

However, if  $T$  is normal, it is not necessarily self-adjoint, since for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$   $A^* = -A$ .

Moreover, if  $T$  is diagonalisable, it is not necessarily normal, since for  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  is an eigenvalue with the eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\lambda = 2$  is an eigenvalue with the eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , which are not orthogonal.

- Suppose now  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is normal, but not diagonalisable, since the characteristic polynomial is  $t^2 + 1$ . Moreover,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  is diagonalisable, but not self-adjoint.

## 1.1 Infinite-Dimensional Inner Product Spaces

In general, infinite-dimensional inner product spaces do not necessarily have an orthonormal basis.

The following can be proven:

## Example 1.4

Let  $l^2 = \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \in \mathbb{F} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\sigma(i)|^2 < \infty\}$  be an inner product space with  $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma(i) \overline{\tau(i)} \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then  $l^2$  does not have any orthonormal basis. For example,  $(e_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a maximal orthonormal subset, but does not span.

Some linear transformations do not have an adjoint (see Friedberg *et al*, 6.3/ex 24).

Moreover,  $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$  and  $W^{\perp\perp} = W$  can fail, and thus the spectral theorem fails.

**Remark 1.5.** If  $\beta$  is an orthonormal basis, then  $T$  is normal if and only if  $[T]_{\beta}$  is normal, since  $[T^*]_{\beta} = [T]_{\beta}^*$ .

### Theorem 1.6

Let  $T \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  be normal.

- a)  $\|T(x)\| = \|T^*(x)\|$  for all  $x \in V$ .
- b)  $T - \lambda I$  is normal, with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ .
- c) If  $T(x) = \lambda x$ , then  $T^*(x) = \bar{\lambda}x$
- d) Eigenvectors for *distinct* eigenvalues are orthogonal to each other.

*Proof.*

$$\text{a) } \|T(x)\|^2 = \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle = \langle TT^*x, x \rangle = \langle T^*x, T^*x \rangle = \|T^*x\|^2$$

$$\text{b) } \text{Note that } (T - \lambda I)^* = T^* - \bar{\lambda}I^* = T^* - \bar{\lambda}I.$$

Therefore,

$$(T - \lambda I)(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I) = TT^* - \lambda T^* - \bar{\lambda}T + \lambda\bar{\lambda} \quad (1)$$

$$= T^*T - \lambda T^* - \bar{\lambda}T + \lambda\bar{\lambda} \quad (2)$$

$$= (T^* - \lambda I)(T - \bar{\lambda}I) \quad (3)$$

c) Since  $T(x) = \lambda x$ , then  $(T - \lambda I)(x) = 0$  and thus  $\|(T - \lambda I)(x)\| = 0$ , which means that  $\|(T - \lambda I)^*\| = 0$ , and therefore,  $\|T^* - \bar{\lambda}x\| = 0$ , and thus  $T^* = \bar{\lambda}x$ .

d) If  $T(x) = \lambda x$  and  $T(y) = \mu y$  and  $\lambda \neq \mu$ :

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda \langle x, y \rangle = \mu \langle x, y \rangle \quad (5)$$

$$\langle x, y \rangle = 0 \quad (6)$$

□

### Lemma 1.7

Suppose  $T \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ . If  $W \subseteq V$  is  $T$ -invariant, then  $W^\perp$  is  $T^*$ -invariant.

**Remark 1.8.** If the lemma is applied to  $T^*$  instead, then  $W^\perp$  is  $T$ -invariant, because  $T^{**} = T$ .

*Proof.* Take any  $x \in W^\perp$ . We need to show that  $T^*x \in W^\perp$ , i.e.  $\langle T^*x, w \rangle = 0$  for all  $w \in W$ . Note that  $\langle T^*x, w \rangle = \langle x, Tw \rangle = 0$ , since  $Tw \in W$  and  $x \in W^\perp$ . □

### Theorem 1.9

If  $V$  is finite-dimensional,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $T \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ , then  $T$  is normal if and only if  $V$  has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for  $T$ .

*Proof.* The  $\Leftarrow$  direction from the theorems proven before.

We use induction on  $\dim V$  to prove  $\Rightarrow$ .

Note that if  $n = 1$  then any unit vector is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

Assume the theorem holds for  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional spaces.

Since  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ , we can pick an eigenvector for  $T$ . By scaling, we may assume that it has length 1. Then we know that  $Tv = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore,  $T^* = \bar{\lambda}v$ .

Let  $W = \text{span}(v)$  of dimension 1.

Then  $W$  is  $T$ -invariant and also  $T^*$ -invariant, since  $v$  is an eigenvector.

By Lemma 1.7,  $W^\perp$  is  $T$ -invariant and also  $T^*$ -invariant.

Note that  $\dim W^\perp = \dim V - \dim W = n - 1$ .

**Claim.**  $(T_{W^\perp})^* = (T^*)_{W^\perp}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in W^\perp$ , this also hold if  $T$  is restricted to  $W^\perp$ .  $\square$

From the claim we obtain that  $T|_{W^\perp}$  is normal.

By induction hypothesis applied to  $W^\perp$  we obtain an orthonormal basis  $\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  of eigenvectors for  $T_{W^\perp}$ .

Therefore,  $\{v, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for  $T$ .  $\square$