

1 Let U, V, W be vector spaces over \mathbb{F} , and let

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W), S \in \mathcal{L}(U, V).$$

2 Let $T \circ S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ be the composition.

3 **Claim.** $\ker(S) = \ker(T \circ S) \Leftrightarrow \text{Im}(S) \cap \ker(T) = \{0\}$.

4 *Proof.* 1. Suppose that $\ker(S) = \ker(T \circ S)$. Thus,

$$\forall u \in U : S(u) = 0 \Leftrightarrow T(S(u)) = 0.$$

5 Note that $S(0) = 0$ and $T(0) = 0$, since S, T are linear transformations, and hence

$$(0 \in \text{Im}(S)) \wedge (0 \in \ker(T)) \Rightarrow \{0\} \subseteq \text{Im}(S) \cap \ker(T).$$

6 Now, suppose that $x \in \text{Im}(S) \cap \ker(T)$.

7 Therefore, $\exists(u' \in U) : S(u') = x$. Since $T(x) = 0$, then $T(S(u')) = 0$, and
 8 hence $(T \circ S)(u') = 0$, which means that $u' \in \ker(T \circ S)$. But by assumption
 9 $\ker(S) = \ker(T \circ S)$ and thus $S(u') = 0$, hence $S(u') = x = 0$. Therefore,
 10 $\forall x \in (\text{Im}(S) \cap \ker(T)) : x = 0$, and hence $\text{Im}(S) \cap \ker(T) = \{0\}$.

11 2. Suppose now that $\text{Im}(S) \cap \ker(T) = \{0\}$.

12 Consider $x \in \ker(S)$. By definition, $S(x) = 0$. Since T is a linear transformation,
 13 $T(S(x)) = T \circ S(x) = T(0) = 0$. Therefore, $\ker(S) \subseteq \ker(T \circ S)$.

14 Consider now $y \in \ker(T \circ S)$. By definition, $T(S(y)) = 0$. Therefore, $S(y) \in \ker(T)$.
 15 Moreover, $S(y) \in \text{Im}(S)$, and hence $S(y) \in \text{Im}(S) \cap \ker(T)$. By the assumption,
 16 $\text{Im}(S) \cap \ker(T) = \{0\}$, and hence $S(y) = 0$, which means that $y \in \ker(S)$. Therefore,
 17 $\ker(T \circ S) \subseteq \ker(S)$, and since also $\ker(S) \subseteq \ker(T \circ S)$, then $\ker(S) = \ker(T \circ S)$.

18 From 1 and 2, it follows that $\ker(S) = \ker(T \circ S) \Leftrightarrow \text{Im}(S) \cap \ker(T) = \{0\}$. □