

## CSC240 Problem Set II

1 **Administrativa:** discussed problem 1 with Ming Feng Wan and Darren Chan, no  
2 extra material consulted

- 3 1. Given three variables, **P**, **Q**, and **R**, note that there are 8 possible truth value assignments:

<b>P</b>	<b>Q</b>	<b>R</b>
T	T	T
T	T	F
T	F	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	T	F
F	F	T
F	F	F

4

5 Suppose a propositional formula  $f$  contains at most 3 different variables. Let  
6  $[f]_{\min} = [f]$  be the representation of  $f$  in the DNF.

7 Note that the truth value of each minterm in  $[f]$  is completely determined by some  
8 row in the table above (if some minterm contains less than three variables, it can be  
9 considered *completable* by adding the value  $T$  in conjunction until there are three  
10 terms in each minterm). Since there are 8 rows, 8 clauses in DNF, each containing  
11 at most 3 variables, will completely determine any propositional formula.

12 DNF allows us to reduce the number of sufficient clauses by half, since, given the  
13 fact that 8 clauses represent all possible propositional formulas, in each disjunction  
14 only one of the minterms determines whether the disjunction is true or false (in the  
15 sense that removing one of the minterms would not change the equivalent value of  
16 the clause representing the disjunction of two minterms).

17 Suppose the maximum number of sufficient minterms is lower than 4. Since  
18 a propositional formula  $g$  can be constructed in DNF with 4 mutually exclusive  
19 minterms (see (2)), these terms cannot be sufficient.

20 Therefore, 4 clauses are necessary and sufficient to represent all possible proposi-  
21 tional formulas with at most three variables. Hence, the total frequency of variable  
22 occurrence is at most 12.

- 23 2. Consider the propositional formula  $P$  IFF  $Q$ .

- 24      $P \text{ IFF } Q$   
        is logically equivalent to  
         $((P \text{ IMPLIES } Q) \text{ AND } (Q \text{ IMPLIES } P))$   
        which is logically equivalent to  
         $((\text{NOT } P) \text{ OR } Q) \text{ AND } ((\text{NOT } Q) \text{ OR } P)$   
        which is logically equivalent to  
         $((\text{NOT } P) \text{ OR } Q) \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q) \text{ OR } (((\text{NOT } P) \text{ OR } Q) \text{ AND } P)$   
        which is logically equivalent to  
         $((\text{NOT } P) \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q)) \text{ OR}$   
         $(Q \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q)) \text{ OR}$   
         $((\text{NOT } P) \text{ AND } P) \text{ OR } (Q \text{ AND } P)$   
        which is logically equivalent to  
         $((\text{NOT } P) \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q)) \text{ OR } (P \text{ AND } Q)$
- 25     Let  $A = P \text{ IFF } Q$  be the propositional formula in  $P, Q$ .
- 26     From the second line of the derivation above, observe that
- 27      $P \text{ IFF } Q$   
        is logically equivalent to  
         $(\text{NOT } (P \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q)) \text{ AND } \text{NOT } (Q \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } P)))$   
        which is logically equivalent to  
         $\text{NOT } ((P \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q)) \text{ OR } (Q \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } P)))$
- 28     and thus
- 29      $\text{NOT } A = (P \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q)) \text{ OR } ((\text{NOT } P) \text{ AND } Q)$
- 30     Consider now  $A \text{ IFF } R$ . By substitution, we obtain that it is logically equivalent
- 31     to
- 32      $((\text{NOT } A) \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } R)) \text{ OR } (A \text{ AND } R)$   
        which is logically equivalent to  
         $((P \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q)) \text{ OR } ((\text{NOT } P) \text{ AND } Q)) \text{ AND } \text{NOT } R) \text{ OR}$   
         $[((\text{NOT } P) \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q)) \text{ OR } (P \text{ AND } Q)) \text{ AND } R]$   
        which is logically equivalent to  
         $(P \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q) \text{ AND } \text{NOT } R) \text{ OR}$   
         $((\text{NOT } P) \text{ AND } Q \text{ AND } \text{NOT } R) \text{ OR}$   
         $((\text{NOT } P) \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } Q) \text{ AND } R) \text{ OR}$   
         $(P \text{ AND } Q \text{ AND } R)$
- 33     Since there are 4 mutually exclusive conjunctive clauses, the formula cannot be
- 34     simplified further. There are 3 variables in each clause, and thus the number of
- 35     occurrences is 12.
- 36     3. Note that CNF can be obtained from DNF by the following procedure:
- 37         a) construct a truth table for all the variables and the corresponding values of  $f$
- 38         b) write down a column for the negation of  $f$
- 39         c) for each row where the negation of  $f$  is true, construct its minterm representation to obtain the DNF of the negation of  $f$
- 40         d) negate the negation of  $f$  to obtain CNF
- 41

By De Morgan's Laws, the number of variable frequencies in each negated minterm does not change. From (1), the number of variables for  $f$  in DNF is at most 12. Therefore, CNF also contains at most 12 variables in total.

4. Suppose a propositional formula  $f$  containing  $b$  binary connectives is given.

Consider an algorithm:

- a) parenthesise the formula so that any pair of related subformulas is either a pair of literals in parentheses, a literal related to a parenthesised multiliteral subformula, itself parenthesised, a pair of parenthesised subformulas, also parenthesised
- b) write down all the pairs of related subformulas, first listing parenthesised literals, then literals with multilinear subformulas, then pairs of multilinear subformulas, and assign index to the each element in the resultant list
- c) for each parenthesised pair of literals, assign a new variable  $X_i$  to the corresponding pair, where  $i$  is the index of the pair.
- d) for each pairs of literals with multilinear subformulas assign new variables  $X_j$  to the pair, where  $j$  is the index of the corresponding pair, with multilinear subformulas substituted by the variables given in the previous step. If there are no variables left for the pairs of literals, use variables for multilinears produced in the current step
- e) for each pair of multilinears, replace multilinears by the variables given in the previous step

Note that the procedure terminates, since there is a finite number of variables in the propositional formula given.

Note that the total number of new variables produced by the described algorithm is equal to the number of binary connectives, which itself corresponds to the number of literal-literal and literal-multiliteral pairs in  $f$ .

Note that all new variables and the corresponding pairs make satisfiable clauses. Making a conjunction out of those clause, we obtain a formula which is satisfiable if and only if  $f$  is satisfiable. Note that, as described in the procedure, there are at most three variables in each obtained clause.

5. By (4), for any propositional formula  $f$  containing  $b$  binary connectives there is a conjunction  $g$  of at most  $b$  propositional formulas with at most 3 different variables each such that  $f$  is satisfiable if and only if  $g$  is satisfiable.

By (3), each clause of such a representation can be written in CNF with the frequency of variable occurrence of at most 12. This shapes the whole representation into the CNF, giving  $[g]$ . Note that each clause of  $[g]$  may contain at most 1 or 2 variables originally present in  $f$  (by the algorithm). Observe that, since there are  $b$  binary connectives in  $f$ , it contains at most  $b + 1$  different variables. Similarly, the number of different variables in  $[g]$  which are also in  $f$  is at most  $b + 1$ .

Because each clause in  $[g]$  is in one-to-one correspondence with these connectives in  $f$ , and all the different variables in  $f$  are also contained in  $[g]$ , while in each clause the frequency of variable occurrence is at most 12, then it follows by the remark in the previous paragraph that the frequency of variable occurrence in  $[g]$  corresponding to the variables which are both in  $[g]$  and  $f$  is at most  $12(b + 1)$ .

Therefore, if any propositional formula  $G$  is satisfiable if and only if a propositional formula  $H$  in conjunctive normal form, such that each clause of  $H$  contains at most

88        3 different variables, is satisfiable, then the number of occurrences of variables in  
89        H is at most 12 times the number of occurrences of variables in G.