

Consider the algorithms of MERGESORT and QUICKSORT.

QUICKSORT(A)

```
if  $|A| > 1$  then
    pivot  $\leftarrow A[i]$ 
    partition  $A$  into multisets
     $L = \{\text{elements in } A < \text{pivot}\}$ 
     $E = \{\text{elements in } A = \text{pivot}\}$ 
     $G = \{\text{elements in } A > \text{pivot}\}$ 
    QUICKSORT( $L$ )
    QUICKSORT( $G$ )
     $A \leftarrow L, E, G$ 
fi
```

Let $G(n)$ = “For all arrays A with n elements from a totally ordered domain, if QUICKSORT(A) is performed, ... unchanged.”

We proceed by induction.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary.

Let A be an arbitrary array with n elements from a totally ordered domain.

Base Case

If $n = 0$ or $n = 1$, the test on line 1 fails and thus A is unchanged and vacuously sorted.

Inductive Step

Suppose $G(n')$ is true for all $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n' < n$.

The test on line 1 succeeds.

By partitioning, all elements in L are less than all elements in E , which are less than all elements in G . A multiset of elements in A is the union of the multiset of elements in L, E, G .

$A[i] \in E$, so $|L|$ and $|G|$ are less than $|A|$.

By the IH, after QUICKSORT(L) and QUICKSORT(G) are performed L and G are sorted in nondecreasing order and the multiset of elements in L and G are unchanged.

After the assignment on line 6, A is sorted in a non-decreasing order. All elements in L are therefore less than all elements in E , which in turn are less than all elements in G , and the multiset of elements in A is unchanged. By generalisation, $P(n)$.

By induction, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $P(n)$.

1 Divide and Conquer Algorithms

- divide the problem into smaller parts, often of roughly equal size
- solve each part independently
- combine the solutions for the parts into a solution for the whole problem

1.1 Correctness of Iterative Algorithms

```
z ← 0
w ← y
while w ≠ 0 do
    z ← z + x
    w ← w - 1
od
```

What are the values of the variables immediately after iteration i of the loop? ($w = y - i$, $z = ix$)

Let $P(i)$ = “if the loop is executed at least i times, then immediately after the iteration i we have $w = y - i$ and $z = ix$ ”.

Note that, by convention, when we talk about the 0th iteration, we are talking about the state immediately before the 1st iteration.

Lemma 1.1

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $P(i)$.

Proof:

Let W_i and z_i denote the values of w and z immediately after iteration i .

Base Case

$w_0 = y = y - 0$ by line 2.
 $z_0 = 0 = 0 \cdot x$ by line 1,
so $P(0)$ is true.

Inductive Hypothesis

Let $i \geq 0$ and assume $P(i)$ is true.

Then $w_i = y - i$ and $z_i = ix$.

From lines 4 and 5, we have that $z_{i+1} = z_i + x$ and $w_{i+1} = w_i - 1$, which by inductive hypothesis means that $z_{i+1} = (i+1)x$ and $w_{i+1} = y - (i+1)$, and hence $P(i+1)$ holds.

By induction, we have that $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}. P(i)$.

Corollary 1.2

If the algorithm runs and halts, then at the end $z = xy$.

Proof:

Suppose the loop halts immediately after the iteration i .

From the termination condition of the loop on line 3 we have that $W_i = 0$. By Lemma 1.1, $w_i = y - i$ and $z_i = ix$, so $i = y$ and $z_i = xy$.

A **loop invariant** is a predicate that is true each time a particular place in the loop is reached (often the beginning or end).

Lemma 1.3

$z = x(y - w)$ is a loop invariant which is true at the beginning and end of every iterations.

Proof:

Initially, from lines 1 and 2 we can see that $z = 0$ and $w = y$, so $x(y - w) = 0 = z$.

Consider an arbitrary iteration of the loop.

Let w' and z' denote the values of w and z before the iterations. Let w'' and z'' denote their variable at the end of the iteration.

Suppose the claim holds at the beginning of the iteration so $z' = x(y - w')$.

From lines 4 and 5,

$w'' = w' - 1$ and $z'' = z' + x$, so $x(y - w'') = x(y - (w' - 1)) = x(y - w') + x = z' + x = z''$, so the claim is true at the end of the iterations.

By induction, $z = x(y - 0)$ is true after every iteration.

To prove that an algorithm terminates, we need to show that some nonnegative integral quantity decreases each time through the loop.

Lemma 1.4

If $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y \in \mathbb{N}$, and the algorithm runs, it eventually halts.

Proof:

Before the loop is executed, $w \leftarrow y \in \mathbb{N}$.

In each iteration of the loop, w is decreased by 1, so it is a smaller natural number.

Hence w must eventually reach 0, which is the exiting condition of the loop. Thus the loop terminates and the algorithm halts.

Proof: *[More Formal]*

Suppose the loop does not terminate.

Let w_i be the value of w immediately before the i th iteration of the loop.

In each iteration of the loop w is decreased by 1, so $w_{i+1} < w_i$.

Since the loop does not terminate, we have $w_i \neq 0$.

Thus, if $w_i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $w_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Also, we know that $w_1 = y \in \mathbb{N}$.

By induction, w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots is a decreasing sequence of natural numbers.

By the Well-Ordering Principle, the set of elements in this sequence has a smallest element.

Suppose that w_j is the smallest element.

But $w_{j+1} < w_j$, which contradicts the assumption that w_j is the smallest element. Thus, the loop eventually terminates.

Proof:

Prove by induction that $w_i = y - i$.

Then $w_y = 0$, and so the algorithm terminates.

Now we consider the algorithm of multiplication by shifting and addition.

MULT(m, n)

```

 $x \leftarrow m$ 
 $y \leftarrow n$ 
 $z \leftarrow 0$ 
while  $x \neq 0$  do
    if  $x \bmod 2 = 1$ 
        then  $z \leftarrow z + y$ 
         $x \leftarrow x \div 2$ 
         $y \leftarrow y \cdot 2$ 
    fi
od
return  $z$ 

```

Preconditions: $m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{R}$

Postconditions: $z = mn$

To derive loop invariants, it is worthwhile to look at examples.

Suppose $m = 11 = 1011_2$, $n = 20 = 10100_2$.

Let $x, y \neq z$ denote the values of $x, y \neq z$ immediately after the iteration i .

Therefore,

i	x_i	$(x_i)_2$	$x_i \bmod 2$	y_i	z_i
0	11	1011	1	20	0
1	5	101	1	40	20
2	2	10	0	80	60
3	1	1	1	160	60
4	0	0	0	320	220

Let $m[k-1], \dots, m[0]$ denote the binary representation of m :

$$m = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m[j]2^j.$$

Thus, $m[j] \in \{0, 1\}$ for $j = 0, \dots, k-1$.

Hence, $x_i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m[j]2^{k-i}$ and $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor = \left\lfloor \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m[j]2^{j-1} \right\rfloor = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} m[j]2^{j-1}$.

Note that $z_0 = 0$.

Therefore, $z_{i+1} = z_i + m[i] \cdot n2^i$, and thus

$$z_{i+1} = z_i + m[i] \cdot n2^i \tag{1}$$

$$= z_{i-1} + m[i-1] \cdot n2^{i-1} + m[i]n2^i \tag{2}$$

$$= n \sum_{i=0}^i m[i] \cdot 2^i \tag{3}$$

$$= n \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m[j]2^j - \sum_{j=i+1}^{k-1} m[j]2^j \right) \tag{4}$$

$$= n(m - x_{i+1}2^{i+1}) \tag{5}$$

$$= nm - x_{i+1}y_{i+1} \tag{6}$$

Corollary 1.5 (Partial Correctness)

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or $n \in \mathbb{R}$.

If the algorithm $\text{MULT}(m, n)$ is run and it halts, then, when it halts, $Z = nm$.

Proof:

From the termination condition of the loop $x = 0$, so $z = nm - xy$, and by the result already obtained, $z = nm - xy = nm$.