

Agenda: Chapter 5-7 in Friedberg et al

Marking Scheme: HW, Term Test (Thu, Feb 16), Final (13.3/26.7/60 OR 20/40/40)

Office Hours: Florian Herzig, Wednesday 3-4 pm (BA6186)

## 1 Review of Determinants

Let  $F$  be a field.

Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(F) \rightarrow \det(A) \in F$ .

Note that for  $n = 1$ ,  $\det(a) = a$ .

If  $n = 2$ ,  $\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc$

In general, compute the determinant by expanding along a row/column.

For example, expansion along row:

$$\det A = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} A_{ij} \det \widetilde{A}_{ij}$$

For example,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = -1 \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Other properties:

- $\det A$  is zero if two rows are linearly dependent  $\leftrightarrow \text{rank } A < n$
- if rows are interchanged, then  $\det$  changes sign
- if a row is multiplied by  $k$ , then  $\det$  is scaled by  $k$
- if a multiple of a row  $i$  is added to row  $j$ , then  $\det$  is unchanged
- $\det$  is linear along each row and column
- $\det AB = \det A \cdot \det B$
- $\det \begin{pmatrix} A' & * \\ 0 & A'' \end{pmatrix} = \det A' \det A''$  – a similar result holds for any number of *blocks*
- $\det A^t = \det A$
- $A$  is invertible  $\Leftrightarrow \det A \neq 0$
- If  $A$  is invertible, then  $\det A^{-1} = (\det A)^{-1}$
- If  $A, B$  are similar, then  $\det A = \det B$ .  
**Note.**  $A, B$  are similar iff there exists an invertible  $Q$  such that  $A = Q^{-1}BQ$
- if  $A$  is invertible, then

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{pmatrix} \det \widetilde{A}_{11} & -\det \widetilde{A}_{21} & \dots \\ -\det \widetilde{A}_{12} & \ddots & \\ \det \widetilde{A}_{13} & \dots & \end{pmatrix}$$

## 2 Diagonalization

### 2.1 Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors

Motivation: simplification of the matrix form, decomposition of automorphisms (eg computation of  $A^{100}$ )

Recall that  $A$  is diagonal if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & A_{22} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$

**Definition 2.1.** For  $V$  a finite dimensional vector space,  $T : V \rightarrow V$  a linear transformation,  $T$  is **diagonalisable** if there exists an ordered basis  $\beta$  such that  $[T]_\beta$  is diagonal.

If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ , then  $A$  is **diagonalisable** if  $L_A : F^n \rightarrow F^n$  is diagonalisable. Equivalently,  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix.

If  $T$  is diagonalisable and  $[T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & 0 & \dots \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & D_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\beta = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ , then

$$Tv_1 = D_{11}v_1, \dots, Tv_n = D_{nn}v_n.$$

**Definition 2.2.**  $Tv = \lambda v$  with  $v \neq 0, \lambda \in F$ , then  $v$  is an **eigenvector** of  $T$  with corresponding **eigenvalue**  $\lambda$ .

Similarly, an eigenvalue of  $A$  is an eigenvalue of  $L_A$ .

#### Example 2.3

If  $T = \lambda I_v$  (ie  $T(v) = \lambda v \forall v \in V$ ), then any nonzero  $v \in V$  is an eigenvector of  $T$ .

#### Example 2.4

If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are eigenvectors with eigenvalues 1, 2, 3.

#### Example 2.5

If  $T$  is arbitrary, then eigenvectors with the eigenvalue 0 are the nonzero elements of  $\ker(T)$ .

#### Example 2.6

If  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a rotation by angle  $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ , then there are no eigenvectors  $\Rightarrow T$  is not diagonalisable.

#### Example 2.7

If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with the eigenvalue 1.

From above, if  $T$  is diagonalisable, then  $V$  has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ .

Conversely, if  $\beta = (v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots)$  is a basis of eigenvectors  $T(v_1) = \lambda v_1, \dots, T(v_2) = \lambda v_2$ , then  $T$  is diagonalisable.

## 2.2 Finding Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues

If  $T(v) = \lambda v, v \neq 0$ , then  $0 = T(v) - \lambda v = T(v) - \lambda I_v(v) = (T - \lambda I_v)(v) = 0 \Leftrightarrow v \in \ker(T - \lambda I_v)$ .

Thus,  $T$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda \Leftrightarrow \ker(T - \lambda I_v) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow T - \lambda I_v$  is not injective  $\Leftrightarrow T - \lambda I_v$  is not invertible.

In that case, the eigenvectors of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  are the non-zero elements of  $\ker(T - \lambda I_v)$ .

Similarly for  $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ .

### Example 2.8

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \in F$ .

Then  $\det(A - \lambda I) = (4 - \lambda)(-1 - \lambda) + 6 = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)$ .

Thus  $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 2$ .

Find the corresponding eigenvectors to obtain  $(1, -1)$  corresponding to  $\lambda = 1$  and  $(3, -2)$  corresponding to  $\lambda = 2$ .

Since they span  $F^2$ ,  $A$  is diagonalisable.

**Definition 2.9.** The **characteristic polynomial** of  $A$  is the polynomial

$$f(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$$

### Example 2.10

If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $f(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - (a + d)\lambda + (ad - bc)$ .

**Remark 2.11.** If  $A, B$  are similar, they have the same characteristic polynomial. Hence if  $T \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ , then the characteristic polynomial can be defined for  $T$  as the characteristic polynomial of  $[T]_\beta$  for any  $\beta$ .

### Theorem 2.12

For  $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ ,

- a) The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  has degree  $n$ , with the leading coefficient  $(-1)^n$ .
- b) The number of distinct eigenvalues is less than or equal to  $n$ .

*Proof.*

**Claim.** For  $B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ , with entries that are linear functions in  $\lambda$ , then  $\det B$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda$  of degree at most  $n$ .

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , the claim follows from the fact that  $\det(a) = a$  for any  $a \in F$ . Suppose the claim is true for some  $n = k - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Note that  $\det B = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} B_{ij} \det \widetilde{B}_{ij} \Rightarrow \deg(\det B) \leq k$  by induction, since  $\deg(\det \widetilde{B}_{ij}) \leq k - 1$  by inductive hypothesis.  $\square$

a) To prove (a), we use induction on  $n$  again.

The statement is true for  $n = 1$ .

Suppose it is also true for some  $n - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &= \det(A - \lambda I) \\ &= (A_{11} - \lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} - \lambda & A_{23} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \\ A_{n2} & \dots & A_{nn} - \lambda \end{pmatrix} - A_{12} \det \begin{pmatrix} A_{21} & A_{23} & \dots \\ \vdots & A_{33} - \lambda & \dots \\ & \ddots & \end{pmatrix} \pm \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note that the determinants of the above expressions correspond to  $(n - 1) \cdot (n - 1)$  matrices, and thus by inductive hypothesis their leading coefficient is  $(-1)^{n-1}$ . Expanding the first brackets, we can see that the highest power of  $\lambda$  in the expression has the coefficient  $(-1)^n$ , as required.

Thus, the claim is true by induction.

b)

**Note.** See App E, Cor 2 Ex 5.1/21

**Claim.** Let  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  are distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  and  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  are corresponding eigenvectors. Then  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, suppose that  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  are linearly dependent. Since they are linearly dependent, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$v_k \in \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}).$$

Thus there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}$  such that

$$v_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i v_i. \tag{1}$$

Applying  $T$  to both sides, we obtain

$$T(v_k) = \lambda_k v_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i \lambda_i v_i.$$

From (1),

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i (\lambda_k - \lambda_i) v_i$$

Since all  $\lambda_i$  are distinct and all  $v_i$  are linearly independent, then  $a_i$  are all zero, and thus  $v_k$  is zero, which is a contradiction to the hypothesis that  $v_k$  is an eigenvector.

In conclusion, the assumption that  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  are linearly dependent is false.  $\square$

The claim above implies that  $m \leq \dim V = n$ , where  $m$  is the number of distinct eigenvalues.

□