Let  $T \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  be a linear transformation, where V is a finite-dimensional inner product space over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

## Lemma 0.1

Suppose that  $T = T^*$ . Show that  $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in V$ .

Proof.

Take  $x \in V$ .

Since  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, T^*x \rangle$  by definition of an adjoint, then  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, Tx \rangle$  by assumption.

Moreover,  $\langle x, Tx \rangle = \overline{\langle Tx, x \rangle}$  from properties of an inner product, and thus  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = \overline{\langle Tx, x \rangle}$ , which means that  $\langle Tx, x \rangle$  is real for all  $x \in V$ .

## Lemma 0.2

If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in V$ , then  $T = \mathbf{0}$ .

Proof.

Let  $x, y \in V$ .

Note the following:

$$T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y) \tag{1}$$

$$T(x+iy) = T(x) + iT(y) \tag{2}$$

Since  $\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle = 0$ , while  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle Ty, y \rangle = 0$  then

$$\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle = \langle Tx, x+y \rangle + \langle Ty, x+y \rangle \tag{3}$$

$$= \langle Tx, x \rangle + \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Ty, x \rangle + \langle Ty, y \rangle \tag{4}$$

$$= \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Ty, x \rangle = 0 \tag{5}$$

Therefore,  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = -\langle Ty, x \rangle$ 

Similarly,

$$\langle T(x+iy), x+iy \rangle = \langle Tx, x+iy \rangle + i \langle Ty, x+iy \rangle$$
 (6)

$$= \langle Tx, x \rangle + \langle Tx, iy \rangle + i \langle Ty, x \rangle + i(-i) \langle Ty, y \rangle \tag{7}$$

$$= \langle Tx, x \rangle - i \langle Tx, y \rangle + i \langle Ty, x \rangle + \langle Ty, y \rangle \tag{8}$$

$$= -i\langle Tx, y \rangle + i\langle Ty, x \rangle = 0 \tag{9}$$

Therefore,  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle Ty, x \rangle$ .

Hence, by combining two equations above, we obtain that  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = 0$ , which holds for all  $y \in V$ . Note that  $Tx \in V$ , and thus  $\langle Tx, Tx \rangle = 0$ , which holds if and only if Tx = 0 for all  $x \in V$ . Therefore,  $T = \mathbf{0}$ .

## Lemma 0.3

If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in V$ , then  $T = T^*$ .

Proof.

Take  $x \in V$ .

Note that, by definition of an adjoint,

$$\langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, T^*x \rangle. \tag{10}$$

Moreover, since  $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\langle Tx, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, Tx \rangle} = \langle x, Tx \rangle.$$
 (11)

Therefore, subtracting (11) from (10), we obtain that

$$\langle x, T^*x - Tx \rangle = \langle x, (T^* - T)x \rangle = \langle (T^* - T)^*x, x \rangle = 0.$$
 (12)

Therefore, since  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ , we get by 0.2,  $(T^* - T)^* = \mathbf{0}$ .

Then 
$$T - T^* = \mathbf{0}$$
, and hence  $T = T^*$ .

## Lemma 0.4

If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in V$  if and only if  $T^* = -T$ .

Proof.

Since  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, Tx \rangle} = \langle x, Tx \rangle$ , and by definition of an adjoint,  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, T^*x \rangle$ . Thus,  $\langle x, Tx \rangle = \langle x, T^*x \rangle$ .

Suppose first  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$ . Then  $\langle x, Tx \rangle = \langle x, T^*x \rangle = 0$ , and thus, summing two equations, we obtain that, for all  $x \in V$ ,

$$\langle x, (T+T^*)x \rangle = 0. (13)$$

Note that this holds for an arbitrary  $x \in V$ . In particular, (13) holds for  $x = (T + T^*x)$ , and hence  $(T + T^*)x = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , which means that  $T + T^* = \mathbf{0}$ , and thus  $T^* = -T$ .

Suppose now  $T^* = -T$ . Therefore,  $T^* + T = \mathbf{0}$ , which means that for all  $x \in V$ ,  $(T^* + T)x = 0$ . Therefore, since  $V^{\perp} = \{0\}$ , then for all  $x \in V$   $\langle (T^* + T)x, x \rangle = 0$ . Therefore,  $\langle T^*x, x \rangle = -\langle Tx, x \rangle$ .

Note that  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, T^*x \rangle$  by the derivation above, and thus  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = -\langle Tx, x \rangle$ , which means that  $2\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$  and hence  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$ .