

DETERMINANTS

RECALL:

IF $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ IS INVERTIBLE,
 THEN $Ax=b$ HAS A UNIQUE SOLUTION.

QUESTION: HOW TO DETERMINE INVERTIBILITY OF A MATRIX?

ANSWER: $\det(A) \neq 0 \iff A^{-1}$ EXISTS

eg. $n=2$ $\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc$

THEOREM! THE MATRIX $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ IS INVERTIBLE

$\iff \det(A) \neq 0$. IN THIS CASE,

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

PROOF:

Let $B = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ad - bc & 0 \\ 0 & ad - bc \end{pmatrix} \\ = \det(A) \cdot I$$

$\Rightarrow \det(A)^{-1} B$ IS THE INVERSE OF A .

IF $\det(A) = 0$, THEN $AB = 0$.

THEREFORE, IF A IS INVERTIBLE, THEN $A^{-1}AB = A^{-1}0$

$\Rightarrow B = 0$. BUT THEN $A = 0$, AND HENCE

A IS NOT INVERTIBLE, WHICH IS A CONTRADICTION

EXAMPLE

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x = \frac{1}{-4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{-4} \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

MOTIVATION FOR $\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc$

CLAIM IF $F = \mathbb{R}$, THEN $\det(A)$ IS THE SIGNED AREA OF A PARALLELOGRAM SPANNED BY COLUMNS $V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$, $V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix}$.

LET $\text{vol}(V_1, V_2)$ BE THE SIGNED AREA OF THE PARALLELOGRAM SPANNED BY V_1, V_2

P1) $\text{vol}(aV_1, V_2) = a \text{vol}(V_1, V_2) = \text{vol}(aV_1, V_2)$

P2) $\text{vol}(V_1 + aV_2, V_2) = \text{vol}(V_1, V_2)$

P3) $\text{vol}(V_1, V_2 - aV_1) = \text{vol}(V_1, V_2)$

P4) $\text{vol}(e_i, e_i) = 0$

CONSEQUENCES:

$$\text{vol}(0, V) = 0$$

$$\text{vol}(V, V) = 0 \text{ by P2 with } V_1 = V_2 = V$$

LEMMA

vol IS BI-LINEAR (LINEAR IN BOTH V_1 AND V_2)

PROOF

NEED TO SHOW

$$\text{vol}(V_1 + V', V_2) = \text{vol}(V_1, V_2) + \text{vol}(V', V_2)$$

IF $V_2 = 0$, THIS IS CLEAR. IF - ONE

OF V_1, V_1' IS A SCALAR MULTIPLE OF V_2 , IT REMAINS FROM P2.

THEREFORE, WE MAY ASSUME THAT IS AN ORTHONORMAL BASIS

SO v_1, v_2 ARE A BASIS. WE HAVE

$$v_1' = \lambda v_1 + \mu v_2, \text{ where } \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(v_1 + \mu v_2, v_2) &= \text{vol}(v_1 + \lambda v_1 + \mu v_2, v_2) \\ &= \text{vol}((1+\lambda)v_1 + \mu v_2, v_2) \\ &= \text{vol}((1+\lambda)v_1, v_2) \text{ by linearity} \\ &= (1+\lambda)\text{vol}(v_1, v_2) \text{ by D1} \\ &= \text{vol}(v_1, v_2) + \text{vol}(\lambda v_1, v_2) \\ &= \text{vol}(v_1, v_2) + \text{vol}(v_1', v_2) \end{aligned}$$

ANOTHER
CONSEQUENCE

$$\text{vol}(v_1, v_2) = -\text{vol}(v_2, v_1)$$

NOW LET

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} = ae_1 + ce_2$$

$$v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} = be_1 + de_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{THEN } \text{vol}(v_1, v_2) &= \text{vol}(ae_1 + ce_2, be_1 + de_2) \\ &= ab \text{vol}(e_1, e_1) + ad \text{vol}(e_1, e_2) + bc \text{vol}(e_2, e_1) + \\ &\quad + cd \text{vol}(e_2, e_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \det A = ab - cd$$

IN A SENSE,

$\det(A) = \text{vol} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} \right)$ measures the extent to which the two column vectors are linearly independent.

MORE GENERALLY, FOR ANY FIELD F , THERE IS A UNIQUE BILINEAR MAP

$$\text{vol} : F^2 \times F^2 \longrightarrow F, v_1, v_2 \mapsto \text{vol}(v_1, v_2)$$

such that

$$\text{vol}(v, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in F^2$$

$$\text{vol}(e_1, e_2) = 1.$$

$$\text{Namely, } \text{vol}(v_1, v_2) = ad - bc \text{ if } v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark:

$$\text{vol}(v, v) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{vol}(v_1, v_2) = -\text{vol}(v_2, v_1)$$

$$\text{But } \text{vol}(v_1, v_2) = -\text{vol}(v_2, v_1) \Rightarrow 2\text{vol}(v, v) = 0$$

ie $2 \neq 0$ in F , the two properties are equivalent.

HIGHER DIMENSIONS

IN \mathbb{R}^n , CONSIDER THE VOLUME OF A PARALLELOPIPED SPANNED BY $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\text{vol}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

WHICH HAS THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES

- MULTI-LINEAR (LINEAR IN EACH ARGUMENT, KEEPING OTHERS FIXED)
- $\text{vol}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0$ WHENEVER $v_r = v_s$ FOR ANY $r \neq s$.
- $\text{vol}(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$.

FOR $F = \mathbb{R}$, \det CAN BE DEFINED AS FOLLOWS:

$$\det(A) = \text{vol}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

WHERE v_1, \dots, v_n ARE COLUMNS OF A .

THEOREM. THERE IS A UNIQUE MULTI-LINEAR FUNCTIONAL

$$\det: \underbrace{F^n \times \dots \times F^n}_n \rightarrow F \quad \text{WITH}$$

2 ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES

- $\det(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0$ WHENEVER $v_r = v_s$, SOME $r \neq s$
- $\det(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$

From the first property,

$$\det(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

CHANGES SIGN WHENEVER ANY TWO v_i 's ARE INTERCHANGED.

Thus, $\det(v_2, v_1, v_3, \dots, v_n) = -1$.

A PERMUTATION OF $1, \dots, n$ IS AN INVERTIBLE MAP.

$$\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

$$n=4: \sigma(1)=4, \sigma(2)=2, \sigma(3)=1, \sigma(4)=3$$

DEFINITION: A PERMUTATION IS EVEN (ODD) IF THE NUMBER OF PAIRS i, j WITH $i < j$ BUT $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ IS EVEN (ODD).

IN THIS CASE $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = +1$ (-1).

eg. $(4 \ 3 \ 1 \ 2)$
 $(4 \ 3), (4 \ 1), (4 \ 2), (3 \ 1), (3 \ 2)$

IF σ' IS OBTAINED BY INTERCHANGING TWO ADJACENT ELEMENTS, THEN σ, σ' HAVE OPPOSITE PARITY.

EXAMPLE: $(4 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2)$
 $\Rightarrow (4 \ 1), (4 \ 3), (4 \ 2), (3 \ 2)$

THE COLUMN VECTORS CAN BE PUT INTO THE CORRECT ORDER BY A FINITE NUMBER OF INTERCHANGES OF DISTINCT ELEMENTS, EACH OF WHICH GIVES A MINUS SIGN.

$$\det(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) = \text{sign}(\sigma) \det(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\ = \text{sign}(\sigma)$$

FOR GIVEN $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$, WRITE

$$v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} e_i$$

$$\det(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \det\left(\sum_{i_1=1}^n A_{i_1,1} e_{i_1}, \dots, \sum_{i_n=1}^n A_{i_n,n} e_{i_n}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_n=1}^n A_{i_1,1} \dots A_{i_n,n} \det(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} A_{\sigma(1),1} \dots A_{\sigma(n),n} \det(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}),$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) A_{\sigma(1),1} \dots A_{\sigma(n),n}$$

THEREFORE, FOR ANY PERMUTATION σ , $\text{SIGN}(\sigma) = (-1)^N$,

WHERE $N \equiv \#$ OF INTERCHANGES OF ADJACENT
ELEMENTS, PUTTING $\{1, n\}$ IN ORDER

eg: $(4 3 1 2) \rightarrow (4 1 3 2) \rightarrow (1 4 3 2) \rightarrow (1 3 4 2)$
 \downarrow
 $(1 2 3 4) \leftarrow (1 3 2 4)$

EXERCISE IF σ' IS OBTAINED FROM σ BY
INTERCHANGING ANY TWO ELEMENTS,
THEN σ, σ' HAVE OPPOSITE PARITY.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM

ASSUMING EXISTENCE, WE PROVE UNIQUENESS FIRST.

ANY MULT-LINEAR FUNCTIONAL IS DETERMINED
BY ITS VALUES ON BASIS ELEMENTS. (SINCE ONE
CAN USE LINEARITY TO "EXPAND" ITS INPUTS).

So $\det(\dots)$ IS DETERMINED BY

$$(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_n}) \text{ FOR ALL } i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

IF ANY TWO INDICES COINCIDE, THEN

$$\det(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) = 0 \text{ BY ASSUMPTION.}$$

\Rightarrow NEED ONLY TO CONSIDER THE CASE THEY'RE
ALL DIFFERENT, I.E. A PERMUTATION OF $1, \dots, n$.

$$i_1 = \sigma(1), \dots, i_n = \sigma(n)$$