

ATLAS Note

EXOT-2018-XX

March 19, 2019



EXOT group text snippets for INT notes

ATLAS EXOT Group

- This note contains text snippets and tables that should be included in supporting notes from the EXOT group.
- The templates are in American English. If wanted, some adaption to British English could be made.
- This document was generated using version 07-02-00 of the ATLAS LATEX package.
- 2018-10-23: This file is a work in progress (WIP) and will probably be updated. Backwards incompatible changes may be made as the examples develop.

^{© 2019} CERN for the benefit of the ATLAS Collaboration.

Reproduction of this article or parts of it is allowed as specified in the CC-BY-4.0 license.

13 Contents

14 1 Executive Summary		3		
15		1.1	Target	3
16		1.2	Context	3
17		1.3	Milestones	3
18	2	Obje	ect selection	5
19		2.1	Electron selection	6
20		2.2	Photon selection	7
21		2.3	Muon selection	8
22		2.4	Tau selection	Ç
23		2.5	Small- <i>R</i> jet selection	10
24		2.6	Large-R jet selection	11
25		2.7	$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ selection	11
26		2.8	Jet flavor tagging selection	13
27		2.9	Track selection	14
28		2.10	Overlap removal	15
29	3	Event selection		16
30		3.1	Event cleaning	17

1 Executive Summary

- This section, ideally 2-pages (max), should be placed at the beginning of the internal note. It should give a high-level overview of the analysis including (but not limited to):
- physics target and the general characteristics of the signal;
- analysis strategy;
- general characteristics of the control, validation, and signal regions;
- background estimation strategy overview;
- highlight major or most important points of the analysis;
- team overview task list;
- list of all critical tasks, who is responsible for each, and what else they are working on outside of this analysis.
- split as in the subsections below.

43 1.1 Target

O(1 paragraph) Is this a new analysis? If not, what are the main improvements expected with respect to the previous version? What is the target publication date / conference?

46 1.2 Context

- Motivate this analysis in 1 paragraph: why is this signature interesting? Which kind of models are you probing?
- How is the analysis done is 1 paragraph: what are the main BG processes and how do you estimate them (are they MC- or data-driven, what is the general idea of the control regions, . . .), general characteristics of
- the PL fit (which distribution, binned?, ...)

52 1.3 Milestones

- Table giving a factual list of who is working on what and what else they do; the idea is to show how the team can / does progress.
- The following table summarizes the tasks to be worked on by analysis team. This is not a complete analysis outline but only an overview of the further steps to be taken as of the time of writing. Details are not provided here but in the dedicated sections throughout this note. Tasks which are based on established
- techniques and straightforward to achieve are marked green in the table. Tasks which require new work are
- marked red. Concerning the involved people, the responsible student supervisors and analysis coordinators are already mentioned in the list of contributions above, which shall not be repeated here. A fair overview of
- all single tasks including past work and of all relevant team members is only given in the list of contributions
- above! It is also worth noting that some of the tasks listed below are being worked on in parallel.

Table 1: Milestones in the analysis.

Task	Analyzer	Role	Other responsibilities	
Describe a first milestone.				
A straightforward task	Name	PhD student, PostDoc/Prof/	thesis writing / teaching / name some CP work	
A more involved task				
Describe a second milestone				
First task				

Object selection

- The supporting notes should now include the following standardized tables of properties: each analysis
- should simply fill them in by writing / replacing the value with the appropriate number or by choosing the
- appropriate option. The idea of these tables is to harmonize some sections of the supporting notes as to
- make review and analysis comparisons simpler.
- 68 If you use non-standard selections which do not fit in these tables, this should of course be noted and
- 69 discussed in more detail in the text.

2.1 Electron selection

Table 2: Electron selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Pseudorapidity range Energy calibration Energy Transverse energy Transverse momentum	$ \eta < X$ es2017_R21_PRE (ESModel) E > XX GeV $E_T > XX \text{ GeV}$ $p_T > XX \text{ GeV}$
Object quality	Not from a bad calorimeter cluster (BADCLUSELECTRON) Remove clusters from regions with EMEC bad HV (2016 data only)
Track to vertex association	$\begin{aligned} d_0^{\rm BL}(\sigma) &< X \\ \Delta z_0^{\rm BL} \sin \theta &< X \text{mm} \end{aligned}$
Identification Isolation	<pre>(Loose/Medium/Tight) LooseTrackOnly / Loose / Tight / Gradient /</pre>

71 Notes:

72

73

74

75

76

78

79

80

82

83

- Pseudorapidity: when the calorimeter crack is not excluded, the range can be indicated simply as " $|\eta| < 2.47$ ", when the crack is excluded: " $(|\eta| < 1.37)$ || $(1.52 < |\eta| < 2.47)$ ".
 - Usually only one among "Energy", "Transverse energy" and "Transverse momentum" criteria is applied the 30 GeV value is just an example. In special cases energy (i.e. calorimeter-based measurement) and momentum (i.e. tracking-based measurement) criteria can be required in order to constraint different aspects of the reconstruction.
 - Electron ID: 3 working points (Loose/Medium/Tight) are evaluated using the Likelihood-based (LH) method, by the ElectronPhotonSelectorTools.
 - Energy calibration of electrons is implemented in the ElectronPhotonFourMomentumCorrection tool.
- Scale Factors for efficiencies for electrons are implemented in the ElectronEfficiencyCorrection tool.
- Updated configurations for the EGamma CP tools can be found on this TWiki page.

2.2 Photon selection

Table 3: Photon selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Pseudorapidity range Energy calibration Energy Transverse energy	$ \eta < X$ es2017_R21_PRE (ESModel) E > XX GeV $E_T > XX \text{ GeV}$
Object quality	Not from a bad calorimeter cluster (BADCLUSELECTRON) Remove clusters from regions with EMEC bad HV (2016 data only)
Photon cleaning Fudging	passOQquality Applied for Full sim / not for AtlFastII
Identification Isolation	<pre>(Loose/Tight) FixedCutTightCaloOnly / FixedCutTight / FixedCutLoose</pre>

86 Notes:

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

- Pseudorapidity: please note that the maximum value for $|\eta|$ for photon candidates (2.37) is smaller than for electron candidates (2.47). If crack excluded: " $(|\eta| < 1.37)$ || $(1.52 < |\eta| < 2.37)$ ".
- Usually only one between "Energy" and "Transverse energy" criteria is applied the 30 GeV value is just an example.
 - Photon cleaning: a new Photon helper is available to apply the photon cleaning cut (from the ElectronPhotonSelectorTools, tag \geq 00-02-92-21, release \geq 2.4.30).
 - Photon ID: 2 working points (Loose/Tight) are evaluated using a cut-based method, by the ElectronPhotonSelectorTools.
- Energy calibration of photons is implemented in the ElectronPhotonFourMomentumCorrection tool.
- Scale Factors for efficiencies for photons are implemented in the ElectronEfficiencyCorrection tool.
- Updated configurations for the EGamma CP tools can be found on this TWiki page.

2.3 Muon selection

Table 4: Muon selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Selection working point Isolation working point Momentum calibration $p_{\rm T}$ Cut $ \eta $ cut d_0 significance cut z_0 cut	Loose/Medium/Tight /High-pT LooseTrackOnly/Loose/Tight/Gradient/ Sagitta correction [used/not used] X GeV < X X X mm

The selection criteria are implemented in the MuonSelectorTools-XX-XX-XX

with MuonMomentumCorrections-XX-XX-XX, isolation in IsolationSelection-XX-XX-XX and d_0

and z_0 cuts in xAODTracking-XX-XX. The muon recommendations can be found in MCPAnalysis-

¹⁰⁴ GuidelinesMC16.

105 **2.4 Tau selection**

Table 5: Tau selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Pseudorapidity range	$ \eta < X$
Track selection	1 or 3 tracks
Charge	Q = 1
Tau energy scale	MVA TES
Transverse momentum	$p_{\rm T} > { m XXGeV}$
Jet rejection	BDT-based (Loose/Medium/Tight)
Electron rejection	BDT-based
Muon rejection	Via overlap removal in $\Delta R < 0.2$ and $p_T > 2$ GeV. Muons must not be Calo-tagged

¹⁰⁶ If the crack is excluded: $(|\eta| < 1.37)||(1.52 < |\eta| < 2.5)$

The selection criteria are all implemented in the TauSelectionTool as part of the TauAnalysisTools.

Documentation can be found in the README-TauSelectionTool.rst.

2.5 Small-R jet selection

If you want to use variables such as \fcut you need to add the option jetetmiss to atlaspackage.

Table 6: Jet reconstruction criteria.

Feature	Criterion		
Algorithm	Anti- k_t		
R-parameter	0.4		
Input constituent	ЕМТоро		
Analysis release number	21.2.10		
CalibArea tag	00-04-81		
Calibration configuration	<pre>JES_data2017_2016_2015_Recommendation_Feb2018_rel21.config</pre>		
Calibration sequence (Data)	<pre>JetArea_Residual_EtaJES_GSC_Insitu</pre>		
Calibration sequence (MC)	<pre>JetArea_Residual_EtaJES_GSC</pre>		
	Selection requirements		
Observable	Requirement		
Jet cleaning	LooseBad		
BatMan cleaning	No		
$p_{ m T}$	> XX GeV		
$ \eta $	< X		
JVT	(Update if needed) > 0.59 for $p_T < 60 \text{GeV}$, $ \eta < 0.4$		

2.6 Large-R jet selection

Table 7: Large-R jet reconstruction criteria.

Feature	Criterion	
Algorithm	anti- k_t	
R-parameter	1.0	
Input constituent	LCTopo	
Grooming algorithm	Trimming	
$f_{ m cut}$	0.05	
$R_{ m trim}$	0.2	
Analysis release number	21.2.10	
CalibArea tag	00-04-81	
Calibration configuration	<pre>JES_MC16recommendation_FatJet_JMS_comb_19Jan2018.config</pre>	
Calibration sequence (Data)	EtaJES_JMS_Insitu	
Calibration sequence (MC)	EtaJES_JMS	
	Selection requirements	
Observable	Requirement	
p_{T}	> XX GeV	
$ \eta $	< X	
Mass	> XX GeV	
Boosted object tagger		
Object	Working point	
W / Z / top	50% / 80%	
$X \to bb$	single/double b-tagging with/without loose/tight mass	

112 **2.7** $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ selection

Table 8: $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ reconstruction criteria.

Parameter	Value		
Algorithm	Calo-based		
Soft term	Track-based (TST)		
MET operating point	Tight		
Analysis release	21.2.16		
Calibration tag	METUtilities-00-02-46		
Selection requirements			
Observable	Requirement		
$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	> XX GeV		
$\sum E_{ m T}/E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	< <i>X</i>		
Object-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ significance	> X		

2.8 Jet flavor tagging selection

Table 9: *b*-tagging selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
	EM Topo Jets / Track jets / VR jets
Jet collection Jet selection	AntiKt4EMTopo/AntiKt2PV0/AntiKtVR30Rmax4Rmin02 $p_{\rm T} > XX{\rm GeV}$ $ \eta < X$ JVT cut if applicable
Algorithm	MV2c10/MV2c10mu/MV2c10rnn/DL1/DL1mu/DL1rnn
Operating point	Hybrid / Fixed Eff = 60 / 70 / 77 / 85
CDI	2017-21-13TeV-MC16-CDI-2017-12-22_v1

2.9 Track selection

115 If you use tracks as particular objects on which you cut in your analysis.

 $Table\ 10: {\tt TrackParticle}\ object\ selection\ criteria.$

Tracking algorithm	Primary / Large Radius Tracking / Custom
Track quality selection (official)	Loose/Tight
p_{T}	> XX GeV
$ \eta $	< X
Track-vertex association criteria	Loose/Tight
Track-to-tet association method	Ghost Matched / ΔR

6 2.10 Overlap removal

- The reconstruction of the same energy deposits as multiple objects is resolved using the standard overlap removal tools, AssociationUtils, documented here
- The (Standard/Heavy-flavor/Boosted/Boosted+Heavy-flavor/lepton-favored) working point is used corresponding to:

Reject	Against	Criteria
Electron	Electron	shared track, $p_{T,1} < p_{T,2}$
Tau	Electron	$\Delta R < 0.2$
Tau	Muon	$\Delta R < 0.2$
Muon	Electron	is Calo-Muon and shared ID track
Electron	Muon	shared ID track
Photon	Electron	$\Delta R < 0.4$
Photon	Muon	$\Delta R < 0.4$
Jet	Electron	$[\Delta R < 0.2 / \text{Not a } b\text{-jet and } \Delta R < 0.2]$
Electron	Jet	$[\Delta R < 0.4 / \Delta R < \min(0.4, 0.04 + 10 \text{GeV}/p_{\text{T}}(e))/\text{None}]$
Jet	Muon	[NumTrack < 3 and (ghost-associated or $\Delta R < 0.2$) /
		not a <i>b</i> -jet and NumTrack < 3 and (ghost-associated or $\Delta R < 0.2$)]
Muon	Jet	$[\Delta R < 0.4 / \Delta R < \min(0.4, 0.04 + 10 \text{GeV}/p_T(\mu))/\text{None}]$
Jet	Tau	$\Delta R < 0.2$
Photon	Jet	$\Delta R < 0.4$
Fat-jet	Electron	$\Delta R < 1.0$
Jet	Fat-jet	$\Delta R < 1.0$

 $[\]Delta R$ is calculated using rapidity by default.

22 3 Event selection

The following items should also be filled in for the event selection.

3.1 Event cleaning

- Following the recommendations of the DataPrep group, the following event-level requirements are made.
- We use the official GRL:
- 127 FILL IN HERE
- The following event-level vetos are made to reject bad / corrupt events:
- LAr noise burst and data corruption (xAOD::EventInfo::LAr),
- Tile corrupted events (xAOD::EventInfo::Tile),
- events affected by the SCT recovery procedure for single event upsets (xAOD::EventInfo::SCT),
- incomplete events (xAOD::EventInfo::Core).
- Debug stream events [have/have not] been included.
- 134 Checks [have/have not] been done to remove duplicate events.
- Events are required to have a primary vertex with at least two associated tracks. The primary vertex is
- selected as the one with the largest $\Sigma p_{\rm T}^2$, where the sum is over all tracks with transverse momentum
- $p_T > 0.4 \,\text{GeV}$ that are associated with the vertex.