

## Derived Algebraic Geometry

↳ aim: deal with degenerate situations / non-transversal intersection

Want: "loop space  $\mathcal{L}X$ " for a variety  $X$

• need derived fibre product, "resolve" rings of functions

Let  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$

• Functions in DAG form commutative graded algebras

In affine case:

$$\dots \rightarrow A^{-2} \rightarrow A^{-1} \rightarrow A^{\leq 0} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \in \text{cdga}^{\leq 0}$$

Ex: Koszul complexes:  $\mathbb{A}^n \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{A}^m \quad F = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$   
 $\mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m] \quad dy_i = f_i, \quad |x_i| = 0, |y_i| = -1$

Non Ex:  $X$  smooth affine variety  
 $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d_{\text{dR}}} \Omega^1_X \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^d_X$

Derived affine scheme:

$$\text{Aff} = (\text{cdga}^{\leq 0})^{\text{op}}$$

formally:  $\text{Spec } A \in \text{Aff} \iff A \in (\text{cdga}^{\leq 0})$

•  $U = \text{Spec } A$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}(\text{Coh}(U)) = \text{Mod } A$  dg-modules over  $A$   
since  $A$  is connective  $\tau^{\leq k} M$  is again an  $A$ -module

eg: if  $A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $|x_i| = 0$   
this can be thought of as  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$

•  $\text{Spec } A$  is to be thought of geometrically.

• given a cdga  $A$ ,  $H^0(A)$  is a commutative algebra with a map  $A \rightarrow H^0(A)$   
dualizing  $\text{Spec } H^0(A) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } A$   
should think of this as an infinitesimal thickening

Derivations :  $A \xrightarrow{d} M$   $k$ -linear satisfying (graded) Leibniz

Kähler differentials :  $\Omega_A^1$  - universal derivation  $A \xrightarrow{d_{\text{dR}}} \Omega_A^1$

$$\text{Der}_k(A, M) \cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_A^1, M)$$

Caution:  $\Omega_A^1$  does not respect quasi-isomorphisms, but does so for quasi-free cdga's eg. Kähler.

eg: Kähler cdga  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$   $dy_i = f_i$

$$\Omega_A^1 = \langle \underset{0}{d_{\text{dR}} x_1}, \dots, \underset{0}{d_{\text{dR}} x_n}, \underset{-1}{d_{\text{dR}} y_1}, \dots, \underset{-1}{d_{\text{dR}} y_m} \rangle$$

with differentials  $d(d_{\text{dR}} y_i) := d_{\text{dR}}(dy_i) = d_{\text{dR}} f_i = \sum_j \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} d_{\text{dR}} x_j$

so  $\Omega_A^1$  for Kähler cdga knows about  $dF$ .

If  $F \neq$  submersion, there is cohomology in  $\text{deg} -1$  of  $\Omega_A^1$ .

To generalise to non-affine setting:

Functor of points has to be valued in 'spaces' or 'homotopy types' or ' $\infty$ -gpd's' :  $\text{SpC}$   
 • because we need to invert quasi-iso, need to have Yoneda lemma.

Def: A pre-stack is an  $\infty$ -functor

$$\text{Aff}^{\text{op}} = \text{cdga}^{\leq 0} \longrightarrow \text{SpC}$$

$\text{PrStk}$  :  $\infty$ -category of such

Ex:  $\text{Spec } A(B) = \text{Map}(A, B)$  so  $\text{Aff} \hookrightarrow \text{PrStk}$

•  $G$  gp in  $\text{PrStk}$ , then

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \rightrightarrows \\ G \times G \rightrightarrows G \rightarrow * \\ \rightrightarrows \end{array} \right| \xrightarrow[\text{realization}]{\text{geometric}} BG$$

$\text{PrStk}$  has an internal Hom, right adjoint to  $*$

If  $K \in \text{SpC}$ , get a constant  $\text{PrStk}$   $A \mapsto K$

so  $\text{SpC} \hookrightarrow \text{PrStk}$

$$\text{Map}(S^1, X) \underset{\text{is}}{=} LX$$

$$S^1 = \bigcirc = \begin{array}{ccc} o & \longrightarrow & o \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ o & \longrightarrow & S^1 \end{array}$$

$$\text{Map}(*, X) \times \text{Map}(o, X) \underset{\text{Map}(x \circ, X)}{\cong} X \times X$$

$$X = \text{Spec } A, A \text{ commutative ring}$$

$$LX = A \underset{A \otimes A}{\otimes} A = H_*(A)$$

Quasi-coherent sheaves on pre-stack:

Define  $\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}$  sheaves on  $X$  = compatible system on affines mapping to  $X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } B & \xrightarrow{j} & X \\ f \downarrow & & \uparrow i \\ \text{Spec } A & & \end{array}$$

$$= \lim_{(\text{Aff}/X)^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}(U) \quad \leftarrow \text{computed}$$

$$f^* i^* \mathcal{F} = j^* \mathcal{F}$$

Remark: Comparison to  $\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}$  on schemes

- traditionally defined by gluing in the Zariski topology (or faithfully flat top  $\text{fppf}$ )
- need to check this definition satisfies Zariski descent.

Ex:  $X_{\text{DR}}$ : de Rham associated to  $X$

$$X_{\text{DR}}(A) = X(H^0(A)^{\text{red}})$$

•  $\exists$  a map  $X \rightarrow X_{\text{DR}}$  that identifies infinitesimally nearby points

$\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}(X) := \mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}(X_{\text{DR}})$  if  $X$  smooth  $\simeq$  usual  $\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}$

so that  $\Gamma(X_{\text{DR}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{DR}}}) \simeq H_{\text{DR}}^*(X)$