

Overview of Optimizations

Amey Karkare

karkare@cse.iitk.ac.in

http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/~karkare/cs738
Department of CSE, IIT Kanpur

Recap

- ▶ Optimizations
 - ▶ To improve efficiency of generated executable (time, space, resources, ...)
 - ▶ Maintain semantic equivalence
- ▶ Two levels
 - ▶ Machine Independent
 - ▶ Machine Dependent

Local Optimizations

- ▶ Restricted to a basic block
- ▶ Simplifies the analysis
- ▶ Not all optimizations can be applied locally
 - ▶ E.g. Loop optimizations
- ▶ Gains are also limited
- ▶ Simplify global/interprocedural optimizations

Global Optimizations

- ▶ Typically restricted within a procedure/function
 - ▶ Could be restricted to a smaller scope, e.g. a loop
- ▶ Most compiler implement up to global optimizations
- ▶ Well founded theory
- ▶ Practical gains

Machine Independent Code Optimizations

Machine Independent Optimizations

- ▶ Scope of optimizations
 - ▶ Intraprocedural
 - ▶ Local
 - ▶ Global
 - ▶ Interprocedural

Interprocedural Optimizations

- ▶ Spans multiple procedures, files
 - ▶ In some cases multiple languages!
- ▶ Not as popular as global optimizations
 - ▶ No single theory applicable to all scenarios
 - ▶ Time consuming

A Catalog of Code Optimizations

Compile-time Evaluation

- ▶ Move run-time actions to compile-time
- ▶ Constant Folding

$$\text{Volume} = \frac{4}{3} \times \pi \times r \times r \times r$$

- ▶ Compute $\frac{4}{3} \times \pi \times r$ at compile-time
- ▶ Applied frequently for linearizing indices of multidimensional arrays
- ▶ **When should we NOT apply it?**

Compile-time Evaluation

- ▶ Constant Propagation
 - ▶ Replace a variable by its "constant" value

$\begin{array}{l} i = 5 \\ \vdots \\ j = i * 4 \end{array}$
 can be replaced by
 $\begin{array}{l} i = 5 \\ \vdots \\ j = 5 * 4 \end{array}$

- ▶ May result in the application of constant folding
- ▶ **When should we NOT apply it?**

Code Movement

- ▶ Move the code around in a program
- ▶ Benefits
 - ▶ Code size reduction
 - ▶ Reduction in the frequency of execution
- ▶ How to find out which code to move?

Code Movement

- ▶ Code size reduction
 - ▶ Suppose the operator \oplus results in the generation of a large number of machine instructions. Then,

$\begin{array}{l} \text{if } (a < b) \\ u = x \oplus y \\ \text{else} \\ v = x \oplus y \end{array}$
 can be replaced by
 $\begin{array}{l} t = x \oplus y \\ \text{if } (a < b) \\ u = t \\ \text{else} \\ v = t \end{array}$

- ▶ **When should we NOT apply it?**

Common Subexpression Elimination

- ▶ Reuse a computation if already "available"

$\begin{array}{l} x = u + v \\ \vdots \\ y = u + v \end{array}$
 can be replaced by
 $\begin{array}{l} t = u + v \\ x = t \\ \vdots \\ y = t \end{array}$

- ▶ How to check if an expression is already available?
- ▶ **When should we NOT apply it?**

Copy Propagation

- ▶ Replace (use of) a variable by another variable
 - ▶ If they are guaranteed to have the "same value"

$\begin{array}{l} i = k \\ \vdots \\ j = i * 4 \end{array}$
 can be replaced by
 $\begin{array}{l} i = k \\ \vdots \\ j = k * 4 \end{array}$

- ▶ May result in dead code, common subexpression
- ▶ **When should we NOT apply it?**

Code Movement

- ▶ Execution frequency reduction

$\begin{array}{l} \text{if } (a < b) \\ u = \dots \\ \text{else} \\ v = x * y \\ w = x * y \end{array}$
 can be replaced by
 $\begin{array}{l} \text{if } (a < b) \\ u = \dots \\ \text{else} \\ t = x * y \\ v = t \\ w = t \end{array}$

- ▶ **When should we NOT apply it?**

Loop Invariant Code Movement

- ▶ Move loop invariant code out of the loop

$\begin{array}{l} \text{for } (\dots) \{ \\ \dots \\ u = a + b \\ \dots \\ \} \end{array}$
 can be replaced by
 $\begin{array}{l} t = a + b \\ \text{for } (\dots) \{ \\ \dots \\ u = t \\ \dots \\ \} \end{array}$

- ▶ **When should we NOT apply it?**

Code Movement

Safety of code motion
Profitability of code motion

Other Optimizations

- ▶ Dead code elimination
 - ▶ Remove unreachable and/or unused code.
 - ▶ Can we always do it?
 - ▶ Is there ever a need to introduce unused code?
- ▶ Strength Reduction
 - ▶ Use of *low strength* operators in place of *high* strength ones.
 - ▶ $i * i$ instead of $i * * 2$, $pow(i, 2)$
 - ▶ $i << 1$ instead of $i * 2$
 - ▶ Typically performed for integers only – Why?

3-address Code Format

- ▶ Assignments
 - $x = y \text{ op } z$
 - $x = \text{op } y$
 - $x = y$
- ▶ Jump/control transfer
 - `goto L`
 - `if x relop y goto L`
- ▶ Statements can have label(s)
 - `L: ...`
- ▶ Arrays, Pointers and Functions to be added later when needed

Agenda

- ▶ Static analysis and compile-time optimizations
- ▶ For the next few lectures
- ▶ *Intraprocedural* Data Flow Analysis
 - ▶ Classical Examples
 - ▶ Components

Assumptions

- ▶ Intraprocedural: Restricted to a single function
- ▶ Input in 3-address format
- ▶ Unless otherwise specified