CS738: Advanced Compiler Optimizations

Typed Arithmetic Expressions

Amey Karkare

karkare@cse.iitk.ac.in

http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/~karkare/cs738 Department of CSE, IIT Kanpur



Reference Book

Types and Programming Languages by Benjamin C. Pierce

Recap: Untyped Arithmetic Expression Language

t :=

– terms

true — constant true false — constant false

if t then t else t — conditional

0 - constant zero
succ t - successor
pred t - predecessor
iszero t - zero test

Recap: The Set of Values

V :=

0

– values

true - value true false - value false

– value zero

succ *v* - successor value

Let's add Types to the Language

The Typing Relation

- ► A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ightharpoonup $\vdash t : T$ denotes "term t has type T"

0 : Nat

 $\frac{t_1 : \mathsf{Nat}}{\mathsf{succ}\ t_1 : \mathsf{Nat}}$

 $\frac{t_1 : Nat}{pred t_1 : Nat}$

 $\frac{t_1 : \mathsf{Nat}}{\mathsf{iszero}\ t_1 : \mathsf{Bool}}$

The Typing Relation (contd...)

- ► A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ightharpoonup $\vdash t : T$ denotes "term t has type T"

true: Bool

false: Bool

 $\frac{t_1: \mathsf{Bool} \quad t_2: T \quad t_3: T}{\mathsf{if} \ t_1 \mathsf{ then} \ t_2 \mathsf{ else} \ t_3: T}$

The Typing Relation: Definition

- ► The *typing relation* for arithmetic expressions is the smallest binary relation between terms and types satisfying all instances of the rules defined earlier.
- ► A term *t* is *typable* (or *well typed*) if there is some *T* such that *t* : *T*.

Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If \vdash 0 : R, then R = Nat.
- ▶ If \vdash succ $t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat and } \vdash t_1 : \text{Nat.}$
- ▶ If \vdash pred $t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat and } \vdash t_1 : \text{Nat.}$
- ▶ If \vdash iszero $t_1 : R$, then R = Bool and $\vdash t_1 : Nat$.
- ▶ If \vdash true : R, then R = Bool.
- ▶ If \vdash false : R, then R = Bool.
- ▶ If $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$, then
 - ightharpoonup $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : Bool$
 - ightharpoonup $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : R$
 - ightharpoonup $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : R$

Uniqueness of Types

- Every term *t* has at most one type.
- ightharpoonup If t is typeable, then its type is unique.
- ► Moreover, there is just one derivation of this typing built from the inference rules.

Safety = Preservation + Progress

- ► The type system is *safe* (also called *sound*)
- ► Well-typed programs do not "go wrong."
 - Do not reach a "stuck state."
- ▶ **Progress:** A well-typed term is not stuck.
 - ▶ If $\vdash t : T$, then t is either a value or there exists some t' such that $t \to t'$.
- ▶ **Preservation:** If a well-typed term takes a step of evaluation, then the resulting term is also well-typed.
 - ▶ If $\vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\vdash t' : T$.