

Brown representability gives us

$$H^n(-, \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} [-, K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n)]$$

Yoneda lemma then tells us that every natural transformation  $H^n(-, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^m(-, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  should come from a map  $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}_2, m)$

stable operations are those that make the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(-, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H^m(-, \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ H^{n+m}(-, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma \varphi} & H^{m+n}(-, \mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

or equivalently:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & K(\mathbb{Z}_2, m) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \Omega K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n+1) & \xrightarrow{\Omega \varphi} & \Omega K(\mathbb{Z}_2, m+1) \end{array}$$

This is where the Steenrod squares live.

*Steenrod squares:*

1.  $Sq^i: \Sigma^* H^n(-, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \Sigma^* H^{n+i}(-, \mathbb{Z}_2)$
2.  $Sq^i(x) = 0$  if  $|x| < i$
3.  $Sq^0(x) = x$
4.  $Sq^1(x) = x^2$
5.  $Sq^1 = \text{Bockstein for } \mathbb{Z}_2$
6.  $Sq^i(xy) = \sum Sq^j(x) Sq^{i-j}(y)$  ( $Sq^i(xy) = Sq^i(x) Sq^0(y)$ )
7. For  $a < 2b$ ,  $Sq^a Sq^b(x) = \sum_c \binom{b-c-1}{a-2c} Sq^{a+b-c} Sq^c$

I do not know how to define this thing yet.

*Bockstein:*

$Sq^1$  is the Bockstein. For  $x \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  we should have  $\beta(x) = x^2$ . let us verify this.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/4) & \longrightarrow & C^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow d & & x' \longrightarrow x & & \\
 0 \longrightarrow C^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & C^2(X; \mathbb{Z}/4) & & \\
 & & \downarrow d & & \\
 \beta x & \longrightarrow & dx' & & 
 \end{array}$$

The blockstein satisfies  
 $2\beta x \equiv dx' \pmod{4}$   
 where  $x' \equiv x \pmod{2}$

Claim:  $\beta x = x^2 \pmod{2}$

It suffices to show  $(2x^2) \equiv dx' \pmod{4}$ . Let us act on a simplex  $[0, 1, 2]$  up to an exact form

Let me remove some abstraction & choose an  $x'$ .

$x'(01) = x(01)$  for all basis elements  $01 \in C_1(X)$   
 thought of as an element in  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ .

$$dx'(012) = x(01) - x(02) + x(12) \pmod{4}$$

$$2x^2(012) = 2 \cdot x(01)x(12)$$

If either of  $x(01)$  or  $x(12)$  is 0,  $x(02) = x(01) + x(12) \pmod{4}$  even and we are good.

If both  $x(01) = x(12) = 1$ ,  $x(02) = 0 \Rightarrow dx'(012) = 2 = 2x^2(012)$ .

On  $X \times Y$ , Künneth formula and naturality tells us

$$\begin{aligned}
 Sq^i(u \times v) &= \sum_j Sq^j(u) \times Sq^{i-j}(v) \\
 \text{i.e. } Sq(u \times v) &= Sq(u) \times Sq(v)
 \end{aligned}$$

Claim: For  $u \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$   $Sq^i(u^j) = \binom{j}{i} u^{i+j}$

Proof:  $Sq(u^j) = (Sq(u))^j = (u + u^2)^j = u^j (1 + u)^j = \sum_i \binom{j}{i} u^{i+j}$

Q. What about  $Sq_i(u)$  for  $u \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ ?

Now we have  $Sq^0(u) = u$ ,  $Sq^1(u) = \beta u$ ,  $Sq^2(u) = u^2$

$$\text{So, } Sq(u^j) = \underbrace{(u + \beta(u) + u^2)}_{\substack{2 \quad 3 \quad 4}}^j = \sum_n \sum_{2a+3b+4c=n} \frac{n!}{a!b!c!} u^{a+2c} \cdot \beta(u)^b$$

Already this is getting abstract. How tangible is  $Sq^i$  for higher  $i$ ?

The trick is to look at  $\prod_{i=1}^n K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)$  instead of  $K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n)$ .

By Kunneth,

$$H^*(\prod_{i=1}^n K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \bigotimes_n H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1), \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

So if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the generators of  $H^1(K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1), \mathbb{Z}/2)$  then let  $\sigma_n = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ .  
Let  $\sigma_i$  denote the  $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomial, so

$$\sigma_i \in H^i(K_n, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

for eg.  $\sigma_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$  by that we mean  $x_1 x_1 \dots + 1 x_2 x_1 \dots + \dots + 1 x_1 \dots x_n$

$$\sigma_2 = x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + \dots$$

$$\sigma_n = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$$

$$\star Sg^i(\sigma_n) = Sg^i(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) \\ = \sigma_n \cdot \sigma_i$$

Now we can write  $\sigma_n: K_n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n)$  with  $\sigma_n^*(i_n) = \sigma_n$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_2 i_n = H^n(K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n), \mathbb{Z}_2)$

Because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(K_n, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xleftarrow{\sigma_n^*} & H^n(K_n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ Sg^i \downarrow & & Sg^i \downarrow \\ H^{n+i}(K_n, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xleftarrow{\sigma_n^*} & H^{n+i}(K_n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \sigma_n & \xleftarrow{\quad} & i_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \sigma_n \cdot \sigma_i & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Sg^i i_n \end{array}$$

we get a few elements in  $H^{n+i}(K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n), \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

If  $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n); \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} H^*(K_n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  were injective we could use this as a definition of  $Sg^i$ . Turn out it is,

Thm Serre:  $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is generated by  $Sg^I(i_n)$  for appropriate  $I$ .

The proof of this needs some prop work.

Assuming this thm, define  $Sg^i(i_n)$  to be the unique element that maps to  $\sigma_n \cdot \sigma_i$ .

Claim:  $Sg^i$  is the Bockstein  $\beta$ .

Proof:  $\beta$  is multiplicative, and hence  $\beta(\sigma_n) = \sigma_n \cdot \sigma_n^* Sg^1(i_n)$ .