

## PROBLEM SET 05

## PART 1 - DIFFERENTIATION

**Q.1.** Do **Q.1** (parts (i) - (viii)) and **Q.3** from Chapter 10 of the book.

**Q.2.** If  $f$  is three times differentiable and  $f'(x) \neq 0$  the **Schwarzian derivative** of  $f$  at  $x$  is defined to be

$$\mathfrak{D}f(x) = \frac{f'''(x)}{f'(x)} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f''(x)}{f'(x)} \right)^2$$

Show that  $\mathfrak{D}g = 0$  for the function  $g(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$  with  $ad-bc \neq 0$ .

**Q.3.** (1) A number  $a$  is called a **double root** of a polynomial  $f$  if  $f(x) = (x-a)^2 g(x)$  for some polynomial  $g$ . Prove that  $a$  is a double root of  $f$  if and only if both  $f$  and  $f'$  vanish at  $a$ .

(2) When does  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$  have a double root? What does the condition say geometrically?

**Q.4.** (1) Try to prove the following formulae using the definition of derivative<sup>1</sup>

$$(\sin x)' = \cos x$$

$$(\cos x)' = -\sin x$$

What identities *should be true* (in terms of limits  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0}$ ) for the above formulae to hold?

(2) Look at the graphs of  $\sin x$  and  $\cos x$  near  $x = 0$ , and come up with a heuristic argument as to why these identities might true.

---

<sup>1</sup>You can use the following trigonometric identities

$$\sin(a+b) = \sin a \cos b + \sin b \cos a$$

$$\cos(a+b) = \cos a \cos b - \sin a \sin b$$

## PART 2 - INVERSE FUNCTIONS

- Q.5.** For this problem assume that  $x \neq 0$ .
- (1) Using induction and product rule show that  $(x^n)' = nx^{n-1}$  when  $n$  is a non-negative integer.
  - (2) Using the quotient rule show that  $(x^n)' = nx^{n-1}$  when  $n$  is a negative integer.
  - (3) Using the fact that  $x^{1/n}$  is the inverse of  $x^n$  show that  $(x^n)' = nx^{n-1}$  when  $n = 1/m$  for some non-zero integer  $m$ .
  - (4) Using chain rule show that  $(x^n)' = nx^{n-1}$  when  $n$  is a rational number.
  - (5) Can you think of some way of extending this to the case when  $n$  is an irrational number?
- Q.6.** Determine the derivatives of  $\sin^{-1} x$  and  $\tan^{-1} x$ . (Later on we'll use these inverse functions to rigorously define  $\sin x$ .)
- Q.7.** Suppose the functions  $f$  and  $g$  are increasing everywhere i.e.  $f(x) > f(y)$  and  $g(x) > g(y)$  for all  $x > y$ .
- (1) Which of the functions  $f + g$ ,  $f \cdot g$  and  $f \circ g$  are necessarily increasing.
  - (2) Show that  $f^{-1}$  is also an increasing function.
  - (3) Determine  $(f \circ g)^{-1}$  in terms of  $f^{-1}$  and  $g^{-1}$ .
  - (4) Find  $g^{-1}$  in terms of  $f^{-1}$  if  $g(x) = 1 + f(x)$ .

# Mathematical Induction

Let's begin with an example.

## Example: A Sum Formula

**Theorem.** For any positive integer  $n$ ,  $1 + 2 + \dots + n = n(n+1)/2$ .

**Proof.** (Proof by Mathematical Induction) Let's let  $P(n)$  be the statement " $1 + 2 + \dots + n = n(n+1)/2$ ." (The idea is that  $P(n)$  should be an assertion that for any  $n$  is verifiably either true or false.) The proof will now proceed in two steps: the **initial step** and the **inductive step**.

**Initial Step.** We must verify that  $P(1)$  is True.  $P(1)$  asserts " $1 = 1(2)/2$ ", which is clearly true. So we are done with the initial step.

**Inductive Step.** Here we must prove the following assertion: "If there is a  $k$  such that  $P(k)$  is true, then (for this same  $k$ )  $P(k+1)$  is true." Thus, we assume there is a  $k$  such that  $1 + 2 + \dots + k = k(k+1)/2$ . (We call this the **inductive assumption**.) We must prove, for this same  $k$ , the formula  $1 + 2 + \dots + k + (k+1) = (k+1)(k+2)/2$ .

This is not too hard:  $1 + 2 + \dots + k + (k+1) = k(k+1)/2 + (k+1) = (k(k+1) + 2(k+1))/2 = (k+1)(k+2)/2$ . The first equality is a consequence of the inductive assumption.

q

## The Math Induction Strategy

Mathematical Induction works like this: Suppose you want to prove a theorem in the form "For all integers  $n$  greater than equal to  $a$ ,  $P(n)$  is true".  $P(n)$  must be an assertion that we wish to be true for all  $n = a, a+1, \dots$ ; like a formula. You first verify the **initial step**. That is, you must verify that  $P(a)$  is true. Next comes the **inductive step**. Here you must prove "If there is a  $k$ , greater than or equal to  $a$ , for which  $P(k)$  is true, then for this same  $k$ ,  $P(k+1)$  is true."

Since you have verified  $P(a)$ , it follows from the inductive step that  $P(a+1)$  is true, and hence,  $P(a+2)$  is true, and hence  $P(a+3)$  is true, and so on. In this way the theorem has been proved.

## Example: A Recurrence Formula

Math induction is of no use for deriving formulas. But it is a good way to prove the validity of a formula that you might think is true. Recurrence formulas are notoriously difficult to derive, but easy to prove valid once you have them. For example, consider the sequence  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  defined by  $a_0 = 1/4$  and  $a_{n+1} = 2 a_n(1-a_n)$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

**Theorem.** A formula for the sequence  $a_n$  defined above, is  $a_n = (1 - 1/2^{2^n})/2$  for all  $n$  greater than or equal to 0.

**Proof.** (By Mathematical Induction.)

**Initial Step.** When  $n = 0$ , the formula gives us  $(1 - 1/2^{2^0})/2 = (1 - 1/2)/2 = 1/4 = a_0$ . So the closed form formula gives us the correct answer when  $n = 0$ .

**Inductive Step.** Our inductive assumption is: Assume there is a  $k$ , greater than or equal to zero, such that  $a_k = (1 - 1/2^{2^k})/2$ . We must prove the formula is true for  $n = k+1$ .

First we appeal to the recursive definition of  $a_{k+1} = 2 a_k(1-a_k)$ . Next, we invoke the inductive assumption, for this  $k$ , to get

$a_{k+1} = 2 (1 - 1/2^{2^k})/2 (1 - (1 - 1/2^{2^k})/2) = (1 - 1/2^{2^k})(1 + 1/2^{2^k})/2 = (1 - 1/2^{2^{k+1}})/2$ . This completes the inductive step.

q

## Exercises

Prove each of the following by Mathematical Induction.

1. For all positive integers  $n$ ,  $1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = (n)(n+1)(2n+1)/6$ .
2. Define a sequence  $a_0, a_1, a_2$  by the recursive formula  $a_{n+1} = 2 a_n - a_n^2$ . Then, a closed form formula for  $a_n$  is  $a_n = 1 - (1 - a_0)^{2^n}$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$