

[New issue](#)[Jump to bottom](#)

revise Volume I "Document Version 20191214-draft" to be more meaningful #620



David-Horner opened this issue on Jan 18, 2021 · 0 comments

David-Horner commented on Jan 18, 2021

Contributor

Title from pdf:

The RISC-V Instruction Set Manual
Volume I: Unprivileged ISA
Document Version 20191214-draft

Editors: Andrew Waterman ¹ , Krste Asanović ^{1,2}
¹ SiFive Inc.,
² CS Division, EECS Department, University of California, Berkeley
andrew@sifive.com, krste@berkeley.edu
January 15, 2021

Arguably the "publish date" is more meaningful than Document Version.
And yet the revision date is not identified by name and has last billing.

Back in early 2020, 20191213 may have meant something to most.
Today I suspect few would remember it as the "official date" for the ratified draft.

2019-12-14 is even more puzzling. At best it indicates post ratification changes, but it has no actual significance on its own right.

I suspect the xxx21 document standardization will fix this, and the xxx22 nomenclature will take over.

However, we can fix this unhelpful and misleading Version identifier, now, by changing it to a "pre-xxx21" notation [preparing everyone for the "imminent" xxx21 release].

(I cannot recall the actual acronym for the next documentation overhaul so I used xxx21 [cause I remembered there was a 21 suffix])

I consider it notable that Volume II does not have this problem.

Assignees

No one assigned

Labels

None yet

Projects

None yet

Milestone

No milestone

Development

No branches or pull requests

1 participant

