# Lab: Queues — Fixed-Size vs Circular (2 hours)

Instructor: Azeem Aslam

Format: Task Brief → Code → ✓ Final Result

## **Learning Objectives**

- Implement a teaching Queue using a Python list (conceptual).
- Implement a fixed-size array-backed queue and observe wasted slots after dequeues.
- Implement a circular queue using modulo wrap-around:

```
rear = (rear + 1) \% N, front = (front + 1) \% N.
```

- Trace front , rear , and count to understand empty/full states.
- Apply queues to a tiny real-world simulation (printer jobs).

Tip: Run cells top-to-bottom. If something goes wrong, restart kernel and run all.

## Task: FIFO Warm-Up (List)

Time: ~10 min

Goal: Warm-up and verify FIFO behavior conceptually.

#### What you'll do

- 1. Use a plain Python list to **append** items and **pop(0)** to mimic queue behavior.
- 2. Print the order of removal to confirm First-In, First-Out (FIFO).

- Why pop(0) is O(n) and only good for teaching.
- Visual feel of FIFO before implementing classes.

```
print("After enqueue C:", q)

out1 = q.pop(0)  # dequeue -> 'A'
print("After dequeue ->", out1, "| Queue:", q)

out2 = q.pop(0)  # dequeue -> 'B'
print("After dequeue ->", out2, "| Queue:", q)

out3 = q.pop(0)  # dequeue -> 'C'
print("After dequeue ->", out3, "| Queue:", q)

print("Removed order:', out1, out2, out3)
```

You should see Removed order: A B C, which confirms FIFO behavior.

# Task: Teaching Queue (list-backed)

Time: ~20 min

**Goal:** Implement a **teaching Queue** backed by a Python list with clear methods.

### What you'll do

- 1. Implement methods: enqueue , dequeue , front , is\_empty .
- 2. Test the queue with 2–3 operations and print outputs.

- Encapsulation of queue operations in a class.
- Why this version is **not efficient** (because of pop(0)), but great for learning.

```
In [ ]: # Teaching Queue (list-backed) with step-by-step outputs
       class Oueue:
          def __init__(self):
                                         # internal list to hold elements
              self.items = []
              print("Init ->", self.items)
           def is empty(self):
              return len(self.items) == 0 # empty if length == 0
           def enqueue(self, x):
              self.items.append(x)
                                         # append at end (rear)
              print(f"enqueue({x}) ->", self.items)
           def dequeue(self):
              if self.is_empty():
                 print("dequeue() -> Underflow (None) |", self.items)
              print(f"dequeue() -> {val} | ", self.items)
              return val
```

```
def front(self):
    if self.is_empty():
        print("front() -> None |", self.items)
        return None
    print("front() ->", self.items[0], "|", self.items)
    return self.items[0]  # peek front without removing

# quick test with step-by-step tracing
q = Queue()
q.enqueue(10)
q.enqueue(20)
_ = q.dequeue()  # expect 10
_ = q.front()  # expect 20
print('is_empty ->', q.is_empty())  # expect False
```

The output should show the first removed value is 10, current front is 20, and empty? is False.

# Task: Fixed-Size Array Queue — See the Waste

Time: ~25 min

**Goal:** Implement a **fixed-size array queue** and observe **wasted slots** after dequeues.

### What you'll do

- 1. Implement ArrayQueue(size) with enqueue, dequeue, front\_val,
   is empty, is full.
- 2. Enqueue a few items, dequeue one or two, then inspect front, rear, count.
- 3. Print the internal array slice that is **logically active** to see the waste.

- How front moves forward and left-side slots become **unusable** without shifting.
- Why this motivates the **circular** version.

```
In [7]: # ---- Fixed-size Array Queue ----
       class ArrayQueue:
           def init (self, size):
              self.size = size
                                           # total capacity
              self.a = [None] * size # fixed-size storage
              self.front = 0
                                           # index of current front
              self.rear = -1
                                           # index of last filled position
              self.count = 0
                                           # number of current elements
           def is empty(self):
              return self.count == 0
           def is_full(self):
               return self.count == self.size
```

```
def enqueue(self, x):
         if self.is_full():
             print("Overflow")
              return False
         self.count += 1
         return True
     def dequeue(self):
         if self.is_empty():
              print("Underflow")
             return None
         val = self.a[self.front]  # read front
self.front += 1  # move right
         self.count -= 1
         return val
     def front_val(self):
         if self.is_empty():
              return None
         return self.a[self.front]
 # demo: show wasteb
 aq = ArrayQueue(5)
 aq.enqueue(10); aq.enqueue(20); aq.enqueue(30)
 print('dequeue ->', aq.dequeue()) # remove 10
print('dequeue ->', aq.dequeue()) # remove 20
 print('dequeue ->', aq.dequeue()) # remove 20
print('front ->', aq.front_val()) # expect 30
 print('state ->', 'count=', aq.count, 'front=', aq.front, 'rear=', aq.rear)
 print('active ->', aq.a[aq.front:aq.rear+1]) # Logical active window
dequeue -> 10
dequeue -> 20
front -> 30
state -> count= 1 front= 2 rear= 2
```

active -> [30]

You should see front advanced and active containing only the logical items (e.g., [30]) while left-side slots are now wasted.

# Task: Circular Queue — Wrap-Around with %

Time: ~35 min

**Goal:** Implement a **circular queue** to reuse freed slots using modulo wrap-around.

#### What you'll do

- 1. Implement CircularQueue(size) with wrap-around in enqueue and dequeue:
  - self.rear = (self.rear + 1) % self.size

- self.front = (self.front + 1) % self.size
- 2. Maintain a count to distinguish empty vs full.
- 3. Add a helper to\_list() that returns elements in logical order for easy checking.
- 4. Show wrap-around by enqueuing, dequeuing, and enqueuing again.

- How modulo arithmetic enables index wrap-around.
- Why circular queues fix the wasted-slot problem.

```
In [9]: # ---- Circular Queue with modulo wrap-around ----
        class CircularQueue:
            def __init__(self, size):
                self.size = size
                self.a = [None] * size
                self.front = 0
                self.rear = -1
                self.count = 0
            def is_empty(self):
                return self.count == 0
            def is_full(self):
                 return self.count == self.size
            def enqueue(self, x):
                if self.is_full():
                    print("Overflow")
                    return False
                self.rear = (self.rear + 1) % self.size
                self.a[self.rear] = x
                self.count += 1
                return True
            def dequeue(self):
                if self.is_empty():
                    print("Underflow")
                    return None
                val = self.a[self.front]
                 self.front = (self.front + 1) % self.size
                self.count -= 1
                return val
            def front val(self):
                if self.is_empty():
                     return None
                return self.a[self.front]
            def to_list(self):
                # return logical order of elements from front, length = count
                res = []
                idx = self.front
                for _ in range(self.count):
                     res.append(self.a[idx])
                    idx = (idx + 1) \% self.size
                return res
        # demo: wrap-around behavior
```

```
start -> [10, 20, 30, 40]
dequeue -> 10
dequeue -> 20
mid -> [30, 40]
after -> [30, 40, 50, 60]
front -> 30
state -> front= 2 rear= 0 count= 4
```

You should see wrap-around in action. After two dequeues and two enqueues, to\_list() shows reused slots (e.g., [30, 40, 50, 60]) and indices reflect wrapping.

## Final Result

You should see a full queue near the end. If <code>enq(25)</code> fails ( False ), that's correct when the queue is already full. Assertions should pass silently.

# Task: Mini-Project: Printer Jobs (FCFS)

Time: ~10 min

Goal: Mini-project — Printer Job Simulation using a queue.

### What you'll do

- 1. Simulate incoming print jobs J1..Jn (strings) and **enqueue** them.
- 2. Process jobs in arrival order by **dequeueing**.
- 3. Print the service order.

#### You should learn

• How queues naturally model real-world **first-come**, **first-served** systems.

```
In [12]: # ---- Printer Job Simulation ----
def run_printer_sim(jobs, capacity=8):
    q = CircularQueue(capacity)
    print("Incoming jobs:", jobs)
```

```
for j in jobs:
    if not q.enqueue(j):
        print("Queue full, job dropped:", j) # simple handling
    serviced = []
    while not q.is_empty():
        serviced.append(q.dequeue())
    print("Serviced order:", serviced)
    return serviced

# demo
    jobs = ["J1","J2","J3","J4","J5"]
    serviced = run_printer_sim(jobs, capacity=4)

Incoming jobs: ['J1', 'J2', 'J3', 'J4', 'J5']
```

```
Incoming jobs: ['J1', 'J2', 'J3', 'J4', 'J5']
Overflow
Queue full, job dropped: J5
Serviced order: ['J1', 'J2', 'J3', 'J4']
```

You should see all jobs printed in the same arrival order until capacity is reached; excess jobs are dropped in this simple demo. Try changing capacity and jobs to experiment.

## Wrap-Up & Viva (Quick Oral Check)

- What is FIFO? Where do you see it in daily life?
- Why does a fixed-size array queue waste space after several dequeues?
- How does the circular queue fix that? State the key formulas.
- How do we know the queue is **full** vs **empty** in circular queues?
- What breaks if we don't maintain count?

**Next steps:** Add clear(), size(), and print\_queue() utilities; try resizing logic to double capacity while preserving order.