**Reviewer: 1**

Recommendation: It appears that publication in any form would be premature at this time.

Comments:

Dear Editor,

I'm sorry to tell you that this article reproduces the method reported in 2016 by Xu Xie and David G. Cahill.

APPLIED PHYSICS LETTERS 109, 183104 (2016)

Thermometry of plasmonic nanostructures by anti-Stokes electronic Raman scattering

The authors are not mentioning this article.

I can't obviously recommend this article for publication for this reason.

Apart from that, this manuscript really lacks information to enable the reader to reproduce their experiments (in this respect, the article of Cahill's group is much better). I think it is now unnecessary, but I had prepared a long review of this submitted manuscript before pointing out the novelty issue. If need be I can still send you this review.

Additional Questions:

Are there elements of novelty in the research reported?: No

Is the manuscript likely to be of interest to a reasonable number of scientists working in the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology?: Yes

Are the conclusions technically sound and adequately supported by the data presented?: Yes

Is sufficient information included to allow other workers in the field to test and reproduce the results?: No

Rate the overall importance of this paper to the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology (5 - Highly Important / 1 - Unimportant): 1

Significance: High (suitable for Nano Letters)

Novelty: Lowest (not suitable for Nano Letters)

Broad interest: Moderate (not suitable for Nano Letters)

Scholarly presentation: Lowest (not suitable for Nano Letters)

**Reviewer: 2**

Recommendation: Publish as is; no revisions needed.

Comments:

Carattino et al. describe very clearly how the absolute temperature of gold nanorods can be measured with an accuracy of 6K by measuring the anti-Stokes to Stokes ratio of luminescence of single nanorods.

Measuring the accurate temperature increase of plasmonic nanoparticles upon irradiation with laser light is not an easy task. Carattino and colleagues report on a very elegant solution to this problem. Importantly, this approach does not require any prior calibration. I am convinced that this method will be of interest for many researcher working on plasmonics or temperature effects at the nanoscale.

I recommend the paper for publications with no further revisions. I have only three small suggestions, but I leave it up to the authors to apply these changes:

1. On page 3 at the end of the introduction the authors write that they "... measure their temperature with relatively high accuracy...". The question is relative to what. The authors could think about being more specific at this point.

2. Figure 4: It says in the Figure caption that "The circles in the inset plot show the local temperature ..." - to me they appear to be squares. The authors might consider to plot the data points a big larger to avoid any confusion (circles are actually used for the plot of the extracted temperatures at 20°C in the same Figure).

3. The authors explain that the dimensions of the nanorods were derived from the mean values from TEM images (page 12, last paragraph). An accurate measurement of the particle size is important to calculate the temperature but no TEM images of particles are shown. The authors might consider to show a few examples of TEM images in the supporting information to give the reader a better idea what the rods look like. This could be important for reader, who are not familiar with the field.

Additional Questions:

Are there elements of novelty in the research reported?: Yes

Is the manuscript likely to be of interest to a reasonable number of scientists working in the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology?: Yes

Are the conclusions technically sound and adequately supported by the data presented?: Yes

Is sufficient information included to allow other workers in the field to test and reproduce the results?: Yes

Rate the overall importance of this paper to the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology (5 - Highly Important / 1 - Unimportant): 5

Significance: Top 5% (suitable for Nano Letters)

Novelty: Top 5% (suitable for Nano Letters)

Broad interest: High (suitable for Nano Letters)

Scholarly presentation: Top 5% (suitable for Nano Letters)

Reviewer: 3

Recommendation: Publish after minor revisions noted.

Comments:

The authors have found a novel way to use gold nanorods not only as local heat source but at the same time as nano-thermometer. They determine the temperature of single gold nanorods by measuring their anti-stokes emission luminescence. Normalizing the anti-stokes to the normal luminescence gives them an absolute temperature scale.

The authors have conducted a very nice study to demonstrate this novel concept with beautiful experiments supported by extensive theoretical calculations. On the side, the PL of gold nanorods is explained in more detail (which should be emphazied more in the title and abstract). The figures present the data nicely and the text explains well the experimental methods. The novel way to measure local temperatures will be interesting for many readers of Nano Letters. I recommend the rapid publication after some improvements to the manuscript as outlined below.

Detailed comments:

1. It is unclear how local temperature probes would help to understand intracellular processes. The authors should either remove this claim from the introduction and abstract or specify further.

2. It is not easy to understand the physical principle behind the new method. It looks to me as if the ratio of Stokes and anti-Stokes PL is used as temperature measure but this is somewhat hidden in the manuscript (in equation 2). It would be nice if the new concept is explained in more simple words (without equations) at the beginning of the manuscript, before the authors develop a model for the plasmon PL intensity. Please explain if entire spectra are measured (and why) or if the total intensity is integrated at two different excitation wavelengths.

3. Please explain the error for the temperature calculations in more detail

4. Please provide information on the laser fluence.

5. In figure 3 and 4 the error bars in the inlet are a little confusing.

6. On page 12 line 18, “The error bar in figure 3 and in the following figures is the result of the estimation of the temperature uncertainty because of variations in the plasmon resonance fit”. The authors should explain how they estimated this and deduced the error bar.

7. Please provide TEM images that support the particle dimensions listed on page 6 line 54

8. Please provide an ensemble extinction spectrum to show the polydispersity of the sample and show how the exciting lasers agree with the transversal and longitudinal plasmon resonance positions.

9. On page 7 line 28, “Several accumulations of the spectra at the same laser power were recorded”. The authors should name a concrete number.

10. Same goes for page 10, line 19, “The absorption cross section of several particles was calculated…” and page 12 line 38, “At each temperature several spectra were acquired…”.

11. On page 7 line 32, “…because of a longer exposure time…”. It would be interesting to know what exposure time was used for the experiments, since this would also show how long a cycle was until the reshaping was controlled by measuring a spectrum with the 532 nm laser.

12. Please give the definitions of all variables on first use, especially for eq. 1.

13. The general idea to use Anti-Stokes PL as temperature sensor has probably been used with dyes before. The authors should include a discussion of this work, if it exists. If not, they should indicate how the concept would work for dyes.

Additional Questions:

Are there elements of novelty in the research reported?: Yes

Is the manuscript likely to be of interest to a reasonable number of scientists working in the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology?: Yes

Are the conclusions technically sound and adequately supported by the data presented?: Yes

Is sufficient information included to allow other workers in the field to test and reproduce the results?: Yes

Rate the overall importance of this paper to the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology (5 - Highly Important / 1 - Unimportant): 5

Significance: Top 5% (suitable for Nano Letters)

Novelty: High (suitable for Nano Letters)

Broad interest: Top 5% (suitable for Nano Letters)

Scholarly presentation: High (suitable for Nano Letters)

**Reviewer: 4**

Recommendation: Publish after minor revisions noted.

Comments:

This manuscript describes how the absolute temperature of a metal nanoparticle and its surrounding medium can be measured without calibration by analyzing the relative intensities of the Stokes and anti-Stokes emission bands when excited at the longitudinal surface plasmon resonance. The authors first developed a model that simulates the experimentally measured Stokes and anti-Stokes emission of single gold nanorods and extracted through a pump power dependence the nanorod temperature. They furthermore show that if the surrounding medium was independently heated above room temperature, these nano-thermometers recorded the correct temperature within a few percent. This is excellent work. The emission mechanism itself is of interest and important considering the recent debate on the origin of the emission in plamonic nanostructures. In addition, the authors have developed a clever way of measuring the local temperature using probes that are extremely stable and can be employed over extended observation periods. I therefore recommend publication in Nano Letters. I only have a few comments that the authors should address by mostly expanding their discussion in a revised version.

1) Figure 3: Are these spectra simply the anti-Stokes part of the spectra shown in Figure 1 (for different pump power and maybe a different nanorod)? It would be worth pointing this out more clearly. The energy axis might be confusing, but presumably needed for the fit, considering that the spectra in the Figures 2 and 3 are plotted against wavelength. Adding a top wavelength x-axis might make the comparison to Figure 1 easier.

2) The single nanorod scattering spectrum is often much better fitted by a Lorentzian function. Would the proposed method work better/yield more accurate temperatures if the scattering spectrum were used for the plasmon spectrum (neglecting small wavelengths shifts between gold nanorod absorption and scattering)? That would certainly add to the complexity of the measurement, but the authors should comment on it given that they specifically have addressed sources of error and identified the Lorentzian fit of the 532 nm excited emission spectrum as one such source.

3) On page 4, the authors mention that ‘but can also be interpreted in part as a Raman scattering process’. In light of recent reports that all emission from plasmonic nanoparticles is due to electronic Raman scattering (Nano Lett., 2017, 17 , 2568), it would be important to further elaborate especially in the discussion section. Specifically, how is the authors’ model consistent or inconsistent with electronic Raman scattering? The way this reviewer understands the suggested mechanism is that emission is due to radiative recombination of electrons and holes and that furthermore at least partial relaxation of the charge carriers is assumed (Fig. S1), therefore being mostly inconsistent with Raman scattering.

4) Regarding the power dependence shown in Figure S3, the authors argue that both Stokes and anti-Stokes emission follow a one-photon-process based on their slopes being close to 1. However, the slopes of 0.88 and 1.20 appear significantly different unless the error for these measurements is about +/- 0.2. What is the error? The authors should mention that. The explanation given is that equation 2 depends on temperature. Can the authors maybe expand that discussion? At first glance it would make sense that an anti-Stokes event requires more energy than just that supplied by a single photon. Considering that most excitations decay non-radiatively, the energy supplied by previous excitations have raised the energy content of the bath. Should that not give rise to a larger slope or is that line of thought equivalent to the authors’ model? Please expand the discussion here.

Additional Questions:

Are there elements of novelty in the research reported?: Yes

Is the manuscript likely to be of interest to a reasonable number of scientists working in the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology?: Yes

Are the conclusions technically sound and adequately supported by the data presented?: Yes

Is sufficient information included to allow other workers in the field to test and reproduce the results?: Yes

Rate the overall importance of this paper to the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology (5 - Highly Important / 1 - Unimportant): 5

Significance: Top 5% (suitable for Nano Letters)

Novelty: Top 5% (suitable for Nano Letters)

Broad interest: Top 5% (suitable for Nano Letters)

Scholarly presentation: Top 5% (suitable for Nano Letters)

**Reviewer: 5**

Recommendation: It appears that publication in any form would be premature at this time.

Comments:

In this manuscript, Carattino et al. demonstrated the possibilities of measuring the absolute temperature of the gold nanorods without any pre-calibration from their anti-stoke emission spectra collected by irradiating them at their plasmon resonance wavelength. Even though these new insights will be helpful in nano-thermometry, the reviewer is not enthusiastic about publishing the paper in the present form due to the following reasons.

First, the abstract lacks clarity and the authors have to clearly express their main findings in the abstract. Moreover, the main text is bit vague and difficult to understand, which needs to be more focused and clear. The authors claim that they measured the temperature of the single nanorod. However, this needs to be supported by suitable microscopic techniques, which is not shown in the manuscript. Furthermore, the material characterization part is not described in the text, which needs to be incorporated including the optical extinction spectra and corresponding microscopic images of the gold nanorods used in this study. This will be helpful to validate the theoretical arguments. It is also important to do the experiments on gold nanorods of different aspect ratios to further validate the technique.

It may be considered if the authors can address these issues.

Additional Questions:

Are there elements of novelty in the research reported?: Yes

Is the manuscript likely to be of interest to a reasonable number of scientists working in the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology?: Yes

Are the conclusions technically sound and adequately supported by the data presented?: No

Is sufficient information included to allow other workers in the field to test and reproduce the results?: No

Rate the overall importance of this paper to the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology (5 - Highly Important / 1 - Unimportant): 3

Significance: High (suitable for Nano Letters)

Novelty: High (suitable for Nano Letters)

Broad interest: Moderate (not suitable for Nano Letters)

Scholarly presentation: Moderate (not suitable for Nano Letters)