Table 5: Performance comparison (expressed in %) between A-MAMBA using (Raw Audio) and A-MAMBA using (augmented audio) for binary and multi-class classification task.

			Englis	h		
Model	Dataset	Variant	Binary	Multiclass-Top-1	Multiclass Top-5	Multiclass Top-10
A-MAMBA + SSM	Augmented dataset	Small	53.87	42.54	69.2	76.56
		Medium	55.79	44.86	74.77	78.55
		Large	47.2	36.5	66.8	73.3
A-MAMBA + SSM	Original dataset	Small	52	40.59	66.87	68.97
		Medium	51.62	37.26	65.23	66.77
		Large	44.62	33.48	60.85	61.89
A-MAMBA + CSM	Augmented dataset	Small	54.11	44.34	70.23	76.67
		Medium	58.23	45.17	77.04	79.43
		Large	50.44	37.12	71.43	76.89
A-MAMBA + CSM	Original dataset	Small	55.56	43.26	69.11	74.77
		Medium	51.98	41.42	68.44	71.21
		Large	45.86	35.94	63.8	66.48
			Benga	li		
Model	Dataset	Variant	Binary	Multiclass-Top-1	Multiclass Top-5	Multiclass Top-10
	Augmented dataset	Small	52.39	40.66	68.88	75.3
A-MAMBA + SSM		Medium	53.37	40.79	70.47	76.11
		Large	45.65	37.12	66.9	71.08
A-MAMBA + SSM	Original dataset	Small	51.21	38.42	68.75	74.32
		Medium	50.34	36.43	65.87	68.76
		Large	44.89	33.37	62.75	66.76
A-MAMBA + CSM	Augmented dataset	Small	54.04	40.23	70.43	75.96
		Medium	55.6	43.41	74.68	77.22
		Large	46.39	36.32	70.9	77.88
A-MAMBA + CSM	Original dataset	Small	52.78	39.44	70.11	75.76
		Medium	50.79	38.2	65.78	70.87
		Large	45.78	34.61	63.11	66.88

Table 6: Perfromance comparison between baseline Transformer and A-MAMBA CSM model

English										
# of layers	Model	Params	Variant	Binary	Multiclass Top-1	Multiclass Top-5	Multiclass Top-10			
1	Transformer	12.7M	Small	46.43	38.06	55.55	61.24			
2		19.4M	Medium	51.82	38.66	62.15	66.7			
4		48.2M	Large	47.86	38.79	65.77	68.87			
1		11M	Small	55.56	43.26	69.11	74.77			
2	A-AMAMBA + CSM	18.5M	Medium	51.98	41.42	68.44	71.21			
4		45.26M	Large	45.86	35.94	63.8	66.48			