## **Abstract**

Machine Learning has become a widely used tool, yet its theoretical counterpart (and in particular computational learning theory) has been around since the XX's century. In particular Leslie Valiant proposed in 1984 the framework of *probably approximately correct* (shortened PAC) *learning*. PAC learning methodology consists in approximating (in a probabilistic sense) the solutions of a given problem. Hence, the framework of Valiant is rooted in the concept of *learner*: an algorithm that generates with high probability an answer to the considered problem, with low probability to be wrong. If a learner exists for a given problem, the problem is said to be *learnable*.

The definition of learner relies heavily on notions from probability theory, but surprising results have been obtained relating the notion of PAC–learnability, to the notions of *dimension* and *compression*. Namely for a class of concept C the following statements were shown to be equivalent:

- 1. *C* is PAC–learnable.
- 2. The *VC*–dimension of *C* is finite [Blu+89].
- 3. C is compressible in the sense of Littlestone and Warmuth [LW03], [MY15].

From such remarkable results one can wonder whether they can be generalized on to other settings, such as *Estimating the Maximum* (denoted EMX) learning. This is the work of Ben-David and al. in the article 'Learnability can be undecidable' [Ben+19]. The thesis is an analysis and decomposition of that work.

In [Ben+19] Ben-David and al. successfully show that there exists a notion of *compression scheme* that captures the notion of EMX learnability. On the other hand the authors have no luck in finding a suiting notion of dimension. This is in fact related to the fact that EMX learnability is not always a decidable problem.

To obtain the undecidability result, a method is proposed, still found in [Ben+19]. First, it is observed that the notion of compressibility and that of cardinality of a given class are related. To be precise, The existence of a compression scheme for a class C implies that the class is bounded by an infinite cardinal  $\aleph_k$ .

From this observation comes the undecidability of EMX learning; carefully choosing the class C such that the statement 'C is EMX-learnable' (and so is compressible) implies the continuum hypothesis, i.e.  $\aleph_0 = \aleph_1$ . The renowned set-theoretic result of Cohen [Coh64] ensures that the continuum hypothesis is undecidable, hence, it must be that the EMX-learnability also falls under the same predicament.

## **Bibliography**

- [Coh64] P. J. Cohen. "THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE CONTINUUM HYPOTHESIS, II". eng. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 51.1 (Jan. 1964). PMC300611[pmcid], pp. 105–110. ISSN: 0027-8424. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.51.1.105. URL: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.51.1.105.
- [Blu+89] Anselm Blumer et al. "Learnability and the Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension". In: *J. ACM* 36.4 (Oct. 1989), pp. 929–965. ISSN: 0004-5411. DOI: 10.1145/76359.76371. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/76359.76371.
- [LW03] Nick Littlestone and Manfred K. Warmuth. "Relating Data Compression and Learnability". In: 2003.
- [MY15] Shay Moran and Amir Yehudayoff. Sample compression schemes for VC classes. 2015. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.1503.06960. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.06960.
- [Ben+19] Shai Ben-David et al. "Learnability can be undecidable". In: *Nature Machine Intelligence* 1.1 (Jan. 2019), pp. 44–48. ISSN: 2522-5839. DOI: 10.1038/s42256-018-0002-3. URL: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-018-0002-3.