

DD2448 Foundations of cryptography

Homework 1

Manuel Osvaldo Olguin Muñoz
921223-4679
molguin@dcc.uchile.cl

krypto15

,
,

1

1a (5T) NOT SOLVED

1b (1T) NOT SOLVED

2 (2T) NOT SOLVED

3

Handed in through Kattis. “Borrowed” ideas:

- Implementation of the S-Box and R-Con as static arrays, since they are input independent and only take 256 different values.
- Multiplication in $GF(2^8)$ done through bit arithmetic:
 - Multiplication by two: if the high bit of the input X is 1, shift one bit to the left and XOR with 00011011. Otherwise, only shift one bit left.
 - Multiplication by three: multiply by two and XOR with the original input.

4

4a The information is sufficient to calculate the entropy of Y . Since its clear that X_i, \dots, X_n are mutually independent, one can conclude that Y distributes uniformly over $(0, 1)^n$, and thus its entropy is easily calculated.

4b (1T) NOT SOLVED

4c (1T) NOT SOLVED

4d (2T) NOT SOLVED

4e (2T) NOT SOLVED

4f (2T) NOT SOLVED

- 5 The Hill cipher is vulnerable to a known-plaintext attack, since the encryption is completely linear. For this attack, the adversary needs to have captured at least m distinct plaintext-ciphertext pairs, where $m \times m$ is the size of the key used for the encryption (if m is unknown to the attacker, he or she can continue trying with different values until the plaintext-ciphertext pairs agree - assuming m is not too big). The time complexity of this algorithm is approximately similar to that of matrix multiplication, which can be done using the Strassen algorithm in $O(n^{2.8074})$.

6 NOT COMPLETE

First of all, given that these are all students with no previous knowledge of cryptography and none of them is a genius, I would assume all of the ciphers fall into one of the following categories:

- Substitution ciphers.
- Transposition ciphers.
- Very simple symmetric ciphers.

The program written to break these would then work in the following manner:

1. Feed two known, and different, plaintexts to the cipher, and wait for the outputs.
2. Analyze the outputs, comparing them to the inputs:
 - (a) If input and output have exactly the same set of characters (excluding spaces), but in different order, mark as transposition cipher.
 - (b) If input and output have different sets of characters, but the frequencies of characters are the same (for example, input has 3 A's, 2 B's, 5 E's and so on, and output has the same frequencies but with 3 W's, 2 R's, and so on), mark as substitution cipher.

7

- 7a Basically, an uniform adversary is a probabilistic polynomial-time Turing Machine, which operates in an “uniform” way over all values of the security parameter of a scheme. On the other hand, a non-uniform adversary is a “family of circuits”. For each value of the security parameter of a scheme, a different program in said family is executed - in a way, it adapts to the security parameter of the scheme.
- 7b Yes, it matters. Non-uniform adversaries are stronger than uniform ones, since the former can do everything the latter can, and more. Thus, security measures against non-uniform adversaries are always **stronger**, but often require stronger computational hardness assumptions.

8

8a Handed in on a separate sheet of paper.

8b For $t = 1$, we have

$$L_1 = R_0$$

$$R_1 = L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0)$$

Thus, the whole left half of the ciphertext ALWAYS corresponds to exactly the whole right half of the plaintext - an occurrence which is extremely unlikely in any pseudorandom permutation.

8c For $t = 2$, we have

$$L_2 = R_1 = L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0)$$

$$R_2 = L_1 \oplus f_2(R_1) = R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0))$$

If we now take two plaintexts with the same right half, $L_0^a R$ and $L_0^b R$, note that the left sides of their respective ciphertexts are

$$L_2^a = L_0^a \oplus f_1(R)$$

$$L_2^b = L_0^b \oplus f_1(R)$$

And thus the following is always true,

$$L_2^a \oplus L_2^b = L_0^a \oplus L_0^b$$

which is very unlikely in a pseudo-random permutation.

8d

For $t = 3$, we have

$$L_3 = R_2 = R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0))$$

$$R_3 = L_2 \oplus f_3(R_2) = (L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0)) \oplus f_3(R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0)))$$

Now, if let's modify the left side of the message. We end up thus with $L_0 \oplus \xi || R_0$, and the ciphertext:

$$L'_3 = R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus \xi \oplus f_1(R_0))$$

$$R'_3 = (L_0 \oplus \xi \oplus f_1(R_0)) \oplus f_3(R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus \xi \oplus f_1(R_0)))$$

Working in the other direction, we define $L''_0 || R''_0$ such that its ciphertext has the same left side as $L'_3 || R'_3$ and its right side is the same right side *XOR* our modification ξ ;

$$L''_3 = L'_3$$

$$R''_3 = R'_3 \oplus \xi$$

Then, we can derive as follows:

$$L''_2 = R''_3 \oplus f_3(L''_3 = L'_3) = L_2$$

$$L''_1 = R''_2 \oplus f_2(L''_2 = L_2) = L''_3 \oplus f_2(L_2) = R''_0$$

Also

$$R_0 = L_3 \oplus f_2(L_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow R''_0 \oplus L''_3 = R_0 \oplus L_3 = f_2(L_2)$$

Which always hold! This couldn't be the case if this was a pseudorandom permutation.
 \therefore A 3-round Feistel network is not a pseudorandom permutation.