	DSC 275/475: Time Series Analysis and Forecasting (Fall 2022) Project-1 (Total points: 60 for undergraduate students; 70 for Graduate students and including extra credit for undergraduate students) Aradhya Mathur
In [12]:	Overview This project is designed to provide you hands-on experience working on an end-to-end time series analysis and forecasting solution using AR/ARMA/ARIMA/SARIMA modeling. You are welcome to use any external libraries/packages for this project. A few recommendations are provided for each problem below. This is a guided exercise in that you are expected to answer each of the questions below. For some of the questions, you will appreciate there is no single correct answer. In such cases, you have flexibility to decide the approach. Any conclusions you make or decisions you take must be stated and accompanied by a reasonable justification. Your submission should be a PDF document with responses (including figures/plots) to each question along with the code either included inline [e.g. Notebook] or as a separate file. import numpy as np import pandas as pd import matplotlib.pyplot as plt form attacked to answer each of the questions and provided in the property of the prope
	from statsmodels.tsa.statespace.tools import diff from statsmodels.tsa.arima_model import ARIMA import statsmodels.graphics.tsaplots as tsa import warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") from statsmodels.tsa.stattools import acf, pacf from sklearn.metrics import mean_absolute_error from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error Question 1 Problem:1 (60 pts; Required for all students) The data for this project (Problem1_DataSet.csv) represents 7 years of monthly data on airline
<pre>In [31]: Out[31]:</pre>	miles flown in the United Kingdom. You are tasked with the goal of developing a forecasting model that can accurately predict the trend for future years. To achieve the final goal, answer each of the questions below 1. Create a time series of the plot of the data provided. (5 pts) # Reading dataset dfp1 = pd.read_csv('Problem1_DataSet.csv', header = 0) dfp1.head() Month Miles, in Millions 0 Jan-1964 7.269
In [32]:	<pre>1 Feb-1964 6.775 2 Mar-1964 7.819 3 Apr-1964 8.371 4 May-1964 9.069 #Changing Month format dfp1['Month'] = pd.to_datetime(dfp1['Month']) dfp1.head()</pre>
Out[32]:	Month Miles, in Millions 0 1964-01-01 7.269 1 1964-02-01 6.775 2 1964-03-01 7.819 3 1964-04-01 8.371 4 1964-05-01 9.069 #Renaming Column dfp1.columns = ['Month', 'Miles']
Out[33]:	Month Miles 0 1964-01-01 7.269 1 1964-02-01 6.775 2 1964-03-01 7.819 3 1964-04-01 8.371 4 1964-05-01 9.069
In [34]:	<pre>#Time Series Plot plt.figure(figsize=(16, 3)) plt.plot(dfp1['Month'],dfp1['Miles'] , label = 'Time Series Plot' , color = 'maroon') plt.xlabel ('Month') plt.grid() plt.ylabel ('Miles, in Millions') plt.legend() plt.title('Time Series Plot - Miles vs Miles') plt.show()</pre> Time Series Plot - Miles vs Miles
In [35]:	2.Plot the autocorrelation function (ACF). From the ACF, what is the seasonal period? (5 pts) # ACF Plot plt.figure (figsize=(16, 3))
	<pre>tsa.plot_acf(dfp1['Miles'], lags = 50, color = 'maroon') plt.xlabel('Lag') plt.ylabel('Autocorrelation') plt.title('ACF Plot') plt.show() </pre> <pre></pre>
	Seasonal Period is 12 lags (0-11 first period)
In [36]: Out[36]:	1. Compute a moving average for the data to determine the trend in the data and overlay on the original time-series plot. What is a suitable choice for the moving average window length? (5 pts) #Simple Moving Average dfp1['Sma_p1'] = dfp1['Miles'].rolling(12, min_periods=12).mean() dfp1.head() Month Miles Sma_p1 0 1964-01-01 7.269 NaN 1 1964-02-01 6.775 NaN
In [37]:	<pre>2 1964-03-01 7.819 NaN 3 1964-04-01 8.371 NaN 4 1964-05-01 9.069 NaN #Overlaying Time Series Plot plt.figure(figsize=(16, 3)) monthp1 = dfp1['Month'] plt.grid() milesp1 = dfp1['Miles'] smap1 = dfp1['Sma_p1'] plt.plot(monthp1, milesp1 , label = 'Time', color = 'maroon') plt.plot(monthp1, smap1 , label = 'SMA', color = 'gold')</pre>
	plt.title('Simple Moving Average Plot for P1') plt.xlabel('Month') plt.legend() plt.ylabel('Miles, in Millions') plt.show() Simple Moving Average Plot for P1 Simple Moving Average Plot for P1
	Window length should be 12 (as seasonal period is 12) 1. Observing the moving average plot in Q3, is the trend line increasing or decreasing? (5 pts) Answer) It is quite evident from the above plot that trend line is increasing. 1. Compute the first difference of the data and plot the ACF and PACF for the differenced data. What are the significant lags based on the ACF and PACF? (5 pts)
In [38]:	<pre>#Finding first difference firstdif_p1 = diff(milesp1) print(firstdif_p1) 1 -0.494 2 1.044 3 0.552 4 0.698 5 1.179 79 -0.090 80 2.177 81 -3.845</pre>
In [39]:	82 -0.795 83 1.178 Name: Miles, Length: 83, dtype: float64 ##Ploting first difference plt.figure(figsize=(16, 3)) firstdif_pl.plot(label = 'First Difference', color = 'maroon') plt.grid() plt.xlabel('Month') plt.ylabel('First Difference ') plt.legend() plt.title('Plot - First Difference') plt.show()
	Plot - First Difference First Difference Output Description First Difference First Difference First Difference Month
In [40]:	<pre>#ACF of first difference tsa.plot_acf(firstdif_p1, lags = 50, color = 'maroon') plt.xlabel('Lag') plt.ylabel('Autocorrelation') plt.title('ACF Plot- First Difference') plt.show() #PACF of first difference tsa.plot_pacf(firstdif_p1, lags=40, method = 'ywmle', color = 'maroon') # Not possible for lag = 50 plt.xlabel('Lag') plt.ylabel('Partial Autocorrelation') plt.title('PACF Plot- First Difference') plt.show()</pre>
	ACF Plot- First Difference 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.0 -0.2 -0.4
	PACF Plot- First Difference 1.0 0.8 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9
	Significant lags based based on ACF and PACF are: ACF: 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9 and 12 (24 is just on line) PACF: 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 20, and 22 (26 is just on line) 1. Using the output from Q5 above, perform a first seasonal difference with the seasonal period you identified in Q2, and plot the ACF and PACF again. What are the significant lags based on the ACF and PACF? (5 pts)
In [41]: Out[41]:	#First Seasonal Difference #Seasonal Period = 12 as identified in Q2 seasonaldif_p1 = diff(firstdif_p1, k_diff=0, k_seasonal_diff=1, seasonal_periods=12) seasonaldif_p1 13 -0.027 14 -0.044 15 0.567 16 -0.008 17 -0.564 79 -0.154 80 0.798
<pre>In [42]: Out[42]:</pre>	81 -0.920 82 0.745 83 -0.338 Name: Miles, Length: 71, dtype: float64 #Checking if on original data, we apply k_diff = 1 which is what we did in question 5 seasonaldif_p2 = diff(milesp1, k_diff=1, k_seasonal_diff=1, seasonal_periods=12) seasonaldif_p2 #Output is same 13 -0.027 14 -0.044 15 0.567 16 -0.008
In [43]:	10 -0.008 17 -0.564 79 -0.154 80 0.798 81 -0.920 82 0.745 83 -0.338 Name: Miles, Length: 71, dtype: float64 #Ploting first difference plt.figure(figsize=(16,3)) seasonaldif_pl.plot(label = 'First Seasonal Difference', color = 'maroon') plt.grid() plt.xlabel('Month')
	plt.ylabel('First Seasonal Difference ') plt.legend() plt.title('Plot - First Seasonal Difference') plt.show() Plot - First Seasonal Difference Plot - First Seasonal Difference
In [44]:	#ACF Plot tsa.plot_acf(seasonaldif_p1, lags = 50, color = 'maroon') plt.xlabel('Lag') plt.ylabel('Autocorrelation') plt.title('ACF Plot - Seasonal Difference') plt.show() #PACF Plot tsa.plot_pacf(seasonaldif_p1, lags=30, method = 'ywmle', color = 'maroon') plt.xlabel('Lag')
	plt.ylabel('Partial Autocorrelation') plt.title('PACF Plot - Seasonal Difference') plt.show() ACF Plot - Seasonal Difference 10 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.2
	0.0 -0.2 -0.4 0 10 20 30 40 50 PACF Plot - Seasonal Difference 1.0 0.8
	Significant lags based based on ACF and PACF are: ACF: 1, 2, 10, and 12 PACF: 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, and 11
	 Develop a suitable SARIMA model that can be applied on the time series. Use the first 6 years of data only to develop the model. (20 pts) Suggestions for Problem 1, Q7: • For Q7, in Python, we suggest using the package/function SARIMAX in the "statsmodels.tsa.statespace" library You can choose the range of values to search for the model parameters. We suggest varying p, q and P, Q each over the range 0 to 3 to constrain the search range. The SARIMA estimation procedure internally uses numerical optimization procedures to find a set of coefficients for the model. These procedures can fail for some combination of model parameter values which in turn can throw Python errors. We must catch these exceptions and skip those configurations that cause a problem. To solve this problem, include "try/except" blocks in your code when
In [45]:	iterating through the parameter values (pseudocode below): try: Your code here with the SARIMAX function except: continue a. To develop the model, vary the model parameters for the non-seasonal (p,d,q) and seasonal components (P,D,Q) and calculate the output for each combination of parameters.
<pre>In [46]: Out[46]:</pre>	#Using first 6 years of data df_6year = dfp1['Miles'].iloc[0:72] # 6 years = 72 Months df_6year 0 7.269 1 6.775 2 7.819 3 8.371 4 9.069 67 13.731 68 15.110
In [47]: In [97]:	<pre>69 12.185 70 10.645 71 12.161 Name: Miles, Length: 72, dtype: float64 from itertools import product #Building Model and Datafram df_sarima_model = pd.DataFrame() min_aic = [] for [p,d,q,P,D,Q] in product([0,1,2,3],[0,1],[0,1,2,3],[0,1],[0,1,2,3]): try: m = SARIMAX(df 6year, trend='c', order = (p,d,q), seasonal order = (P,D,Q,12)) # Sarima Model</pre>
In [103	<pre>model= m.fit() # Fitting AIC = model.aic # AIC calculation if AIC is not None: #Finding minimum AIC min_aic.append(AIC) min_aic.sort() df_sarima_model = df_sarima_model.append({'p': p , 'd': d , 'q': q , 'P': P , 'D': D , 'Q': Q , 'AI except: continue #Finding Minimum AIC print("Minimum AIC is =", min_aic[0]) Minimum AIC is = 22.0 df sarima model.head()</pre>
Out[103	<pre>p d q P D Q AIC 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 300.658861 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 272.463181 2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 256.994615 3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 248.434514 4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 164.079606 df_sarima_model.sort_values(by=['AIC']).head()</pre>
Out[104	p d q P D Q AIC 847 3.0 0.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 3.0 22.000000 613 2.0 0.0 3.0 1.0 1.0 146.075045 44 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 146.584422 620 2.0 0.0 3.0 1.0 0.0 146.823471 37 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 146.837023 Non Seasonal: p = 3, d = 0, q = 2
In [102 Out[102	Seasonal: P= 1, D= 1, Q= 3 AIC for the above parameter is = 22.0 This is very odd, so taking the next best AIC #Finding the next best AIC min_aic[1] 146.07504549157454 Non Seasonal: P= 2, d= 0, q= 3 Seasonal: P= 0, D= 1, Q= 1 AIC for the above parameter is = 146.07505
In [105	 b. Use an evaluation criteria such as AIC, BIC or sum squared error or mean squared error to determine the best choice of parameters (p,d,q,P,D,Q). Note: AIC and BIC are metrics that is readily output by the ARIMA model. Using AIC Criteria, best choice of parameters are: AIC of that parameter is This AIC is the lowest among all parameters (Lower the better). 1. Use the model parameters determined in Q7 above to forecast for the 7th year. Compare the forecast with actual values. Comment on your observations. (10 pts) #Forecasting for 7 = SARIMAX (df 6year, order = (2,0,3), seasonal order = (0,1,1,12))
Out[105	SARIMAX Results SARIMAX Results
	Covariance Type: opg coef std err z P> z [0.025] 0.975] ar.L1 0.7191 1.592 0.452 0.651 -2.400 3.838 ar.L2 0.2724 1.589 0.171 0.864 -2.842 3.387 ma.L1 -0.2107 1.621 -0.130 0.897 -3.389 2.967 ma.L2 -0.5824 0.700 -0.832 0.405 -1.954 0.790 ma.S.L12 -0.3607 0.168 -2.150 0.032 -0.690 -0.032
	sigma2 0.5947 0.091 6.509 0.000 0.416 0.774 Ljung-Box (L1) (Q): 0.01 Jarque-Bera (JB): 41.44 Prob(Q): 0.93 Prob(JB): 0.00 Heteroskedasticity (H): 5.32 Skew: -0.86 Prob(H) (two-sided): 0.00 Kurtosis: 6.69
In [106 Out[106 In [107	[1] Covariance matrix calculated using the outer product of gradients (complex-step). # Forecast for the 7th year using the best model we got from Q7. for_7_predict = for_7_fit.get_forecast(12) for_7_predict <statsmodels.tsa.statespace.mlemodel.predictionresultswrapper 0x19557676820="" at=""> #Actual values for 7th year actual7 = dfpl.iloc[72:84].drop(columns='Sma_pl') actual7</statsmodels.tsa.statespace.mlemodel.predictionresultswrapper>
107	72 1970-01-01 10.840 73 1970-02-01 10.436 74 1970-03-01 13.589 75 1970-04-01 13.402 76 1970-05-01 13.103 77 1970-06-01 14.933 78 1970-08-01 14.057
In [108 Out[108	80 1970-09-01 16.234 81 1970-10-01 12.389 82 1970-11-01 11.594 83 1970-12-01 12.772 #Finding MAE between actual and predicted value mae = mean_absolute_error(actual7.Miles, for_7_predict.predicted_mean) mae 0.5427992132747512
In [109 Out[109 In [110	<pre>mse = mean_squared_error(actual7.Miles, for_7_predict.predicted_mean) mse 0.791953685081479 sse = np.sum((for_7_predict.predicted_mean - actual7.Miles)**2) print(sse) 9.503444220977748 #Plot between actual and predicted value</pre>
Out[111	plt.figure(figsize=(16, 3)) plt.grid() plt.plot(actual7.Month,actual7.Miles, label='Actual 7th year data', color = 'maroon') plt.plot(actual7.Month,for_7_predict.predicted_mean, label='Forecasted 7th year data', color = 'gold') plt.legend() <matplotlib.legend.legend 0x19556554ca0="" at=""> Actual 7th year data Forecasted 7th year data Forecasted 7th year data</matplotlib.legend.legend>
	Mean Absolute Error is 0.5427992132747512, Mean Squared Error is 0.791953685081479 and Sum Squared Error is 9.503444220977748 Lower the error better and more accurate is the forecast. MAE and MSE values are very low so the forecasting model is highly accurate. Also from the graph it is evident that Actual and forecasted data are quite close and follow same trend except for the region (1970-03 to 1970-05) Question 2
In [112 Out[112	<pre>winedf = pd.read_csv('TotalWine.csv') winedf.head() Time (Quarter) TotalWine 0 1 1.486</pre>
In [113 In [114	<pre>winedf.columns = ['Time','Wine']</pre>
	<pre>plt.plot (winedf['Time'], winedf['Wine'], label = 'Time Series Plot', color = 'maroon') plt.xlabel ('Time (Quarter)') plt.legend() plt.ylabel ('Total Wine') plt.title('Time Series Plot for Wine') plt.grid() plt.xticks(np.arange(1, 60, 4)) # X axis interval of 4 plt.show()</pre> Time Series Plot for Wine
In [115	Seasonal period is, 4 which is a year. (5-1 = 4) b) Apply seasonal differencing to the original time-series. Vary the difference lag from 1, 2, 4, 6. Plot the result for each of these lags. Which of these differences is most suitable to remove the seasonality? (2 pts) #Seasonal Differencing for lag =1
کیم	<pre>plt.figure(figsize=(16, 3)) sea_diff_wine1= diff(winedf['Wine'], k_diff=0, k_seasonal_diff=1, seasonal_periods=1) plt.plot(sea_diff_wine1, label = 'Seasonal Diff for Lag =1', color = 'maroon') plt.xlabel('Time (Quarter)') plt.grid() plt.legend() plt.ylabel('Seasonal Difference') plt.title('Seasonal Differencing for Lag = 1') plt.show()</pre> Seasonal Differencing for Lag = 1
In [116	#Seasonal Differencing for lag =2 plt.figure(figsize=(16, 3)) sea_diff_wine2 = diff(winedf['Wine'], k_diff=0, k_seasonal_diff=1, seasonal_periods=2) plt.plot(sea_diff_wine2, label = 'Seasonal Diff for Lag =2', color = 'maroon')
	<pre>plt.plot(sea_diff_wine2, label = 'Seasonal Diff for Lag =2', color = 'maroon') plt.grid() plt.xlabel('Time (Quarter)') plt.legend() plt.ylabel('Seasonal Difference') plt.title('Seasonal Differencing for lag = 2') plt.show()</pre> Seasonal Differencing for lag = 2 Seasonal Differencing for lag = 2
In [117	#Seasonal Differencing for lag = 4 plt.figure(figsize=(16, 3)) sea_diff_wine4= diff(winedf['Wine'], k_diff=0, k_seasonal_diff=1, seasonal_periods=4) plt.plot(sea_diff_wine4, label = 'Seasonal Diff for Lag =4', color = 'maroon') plt.xlabel('Time (Quarter)') plt.grid() plt.legend()
	plt.ylabel('Seasonal Difference') plt.title('Seasonal Differencing for lag =4') plt.show() Seasonal Differencing for lag =4 Oseasonal Differencing for lag =4 Seasonal Differencing for lag =4 Oseasonal Differencing for lag =4 Oseasonal Differencing for lag =4 Oseasonal Differencing for lag =4
In [118	10 20 30 40 50 Time (Quarter)
	Seasonal Differencing for lag = 6 1.0 0.5 -1.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
In [119 Out[119	-0.01459707, 0.03758512, -0.06608181, 0.68555932, -0.05158531, -0.0205 , -0.13186071, 0.55301224, -0.05533192, -0.05098654, -0.16658851, 0.43247383, -0.08668489, -0.09922711, -0.18956349,
In [120	0.36057707, -0.12115055, -0.1329952 , -0.23540279, 0.27197506, -0.14075334, -0.1481723 , -0.25076684, 0.20724364, -0.14985131, -0.14016206, -0.25396157, 0.17482009, -0.1590046 , -0.15594347, -0.27055794, 0.08512343, -0.14183386, -0.140666 , -0.21838452, 0.03854688])

