# 4 - Mapping Surfaces: The Metric

June 18, 2022

#### 1 introduction

- Gauss' big insight was to show that the intrinsic geometry of a surface is totally determined by having a rule for infinitesimal distances between two points; the metric. this can thus also determine length's of curves, and geodesics which are paths that minimize the distance
- in this context, map means 'cartographic' map, where 'mapping' will be the more typical mathematical usage.
- stragegy is to make a one to one function between: points  $\hat{z}$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ , to points z on  $\mathbb{C}$ . this will inevitably cause some distortion
- take points  $\hat{z}$ ,  $\hat{q}$  on S.
  represent them as z, q on  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $q = z + \delta z$   $\delta \hat{s}$  is distance between  $\hat{z}$  and  $\hat{q}$   $\delta s = |\delta z|$
- rule giving  $|\delta z|$  is the metric. obviously depends on both direction and length
- $d\hat{s} = \Lambda(z, \gamma)ds$  given a point and a direction, how much do we have to locally expand  $\mathbb{C}$  to preserve distances?

#### 2 projective map of the sphere

- imagine the southern hemisphere of the sphere, a bowl shape. the south pole, the bottom of the bowl, rest on the origin of  $\mathbb{C}$ . the center of the sphere, shoots out light rays. where those light rays hit  $\mathbb{C}$  is called the *projective map of the southern hemisphere*
- this map sends circles on S to ellipses on C. this is true in general for any surface, if the radius of the circle is infinitesimal.
- projective map sends geodesics on S to lines on  $\mathbb{C}$ , but it does not preserve angles.
- formula for metric on the sphere, given polor coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}$

## 3 the metric of a general surface

- different maps have different different metrics even though they describe the same intrinsic geometry. for example, imagine a map of the sphere where longitude and latitude  $(\theta, \phi)$ , we map to the carteasan coordinates  $(\theta, \phi)$ . the metric for this map will be  $d\hat{s}^2 = R^2[\sin^2(\phi)d\theta^2 + d\phi^2]$ , which is different from the projective map metric for a sphere.
- take a general surface and draw 2 families of curves, that both vary smoothly, and so any point on the surface can be uniquely represented by a point on each of the curves (let's call them U curves and V curves). let a point on the surface be labeled as u + iv and a point can be labeled as  $\hat{z} = U + iV$
- imagine a small movement away from z on the map.  $\delta z = \delta u + i \delta v$  but this is on the map, how do we project it back to the actual surface?
- by virtue of differentiability, we can say that some small movement on the v curve will produce some small movement on the surface. put another way,  $\frac{\partial \hat{s_1}}{\partial u} \equiv A$ ,  $\frac{\partial \hat{s_2}}{\partial v} \equiv B$
- we can see that A and B are the local scale factors that have to be applied to the map for distances to be preserved. A can be viewed as inversly proportional to the crowding of the u-curves. the greater the crowding, the greater result  $\delta \hat{s_1}$  will have on u
- $\omega$  is angle between u-curves and v-curves, which depends on position

- general metric for the surface:  $d\hat{s}^2 = A^2 du^2 + B^2 dv^2 + 2F du \ dv$
- however, once the u-curves are chosen, it is always possible to select an orthogonal set of v-curves, which anniliates the last term.
- in general it is impossible to cover all of the surface with a single u-v set of curves the curves will inevitably intersect on any closed surface

#### 4 the metric curvature formula

- once handed a metric, you should in principle be able to derive the curvature at any point. what is not a given is that the formula is beautiful and simple. it will take most of the book to derive for real.
- \*\*\*  $\mathcal{K} = -\frac{1}{AB} (\partial_v [\frac{\partial_v A}{B}] + \partial_u [\frac{\partial_u B}{A}])$
- can also be used to calculate areas.  $dA = ABdu \ dv$

### 5 conformal maps

- projective map preserves straight lines but usually it's better to preserve angles.
- map that preserves angles and sense is conformal, map that preserves angles and inverts sense ins anti-conformal
- angle between curves means the angle between their tangents
- with respect to metric formula, a map is conformal if the scale factor,  $\Lambda$ , depends on position but not direction. this way, \*infinitesimal shapes on the map are the same shape, just a different size!\*
- gauss proved that given any surface, you can find an orthogonal map that is also conformal, in the sense that A = B. since A is the same as B and the coordinates are orthogonal, we can express the general metric of the surface as  $d\hat{s}^2 = \Lambda^2 [du^2 + dv^2]$ .
- this also be atifully simplifies the curvature formaula to:  $\mathcal{K} = -\frac{\nabla^2 \ln \Lambda}{\Lambda^2}$

## 6 some visual complex analysis

- every surface contains infinite variety of u-v curves and conformal maps
- let a conformal mapping be  $F = \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{S}$
- conformal  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ -coordinates can be created by rotating/expanding/translating any given u-v curves.
- let z = u + iv be in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\tilde{z} = \tilde{u} + i\tilde{v}$  be a seperate copy of  $\mathbb{C}$  but under some function f.
- big idea is that before we had f going from S to C, now we also have a function F going from C to S. so all together we have a copy of C mapping to S, which then maps onto another C. C -; S -; C. both are conformal. we now have a freedom of rotating/expanding/translating the u-v lines in the first copy of C, which will give us new u-v coordinates in S, thus leading to an infinite variety of them.
- $f(z) = ae^{i\tau}z + w$ . scale by a, twist by tau, shift by a complex contstant w.
- remember we have F as the map and now f as a function on the first copy of C. so our new u-v coordinates on S are given by  $\tilde{F} = F \circ f$
- useful to think of the derivative which blows away the constant w, and is just the amplification + twist \* (tiny move in z). "amplitwist"
- from some basic reasoning (?) we can see that differentiable complex mappings are all conformal.
- this procedure allows one to take any conformal mapping from C to itself and "pass it through" S.

# 7 the conformal stereographic map of the sphere

• f

- 8 stereographic formulas
  - f
- 9 stereographic preservation of circles
  - f