

Can language representations emerge from a purely image-pretrained model?

-- An initial exploration in multimodal learning:

Look Twice as Much as You Say: Scene Graph Contrastive Learning for Self-Supervised Image Caption Generation, CIKM'22, Chunhui Zhang, et al.

Look Twice as Much as You Say

- ☐ Introduction - *vision language learning*

- ☐ Method

- ☐ Experiment

- ☐ Discussion

Introduction - Vision Language Learning

1. Image2Text (e.g., M² Transformer)



GT: A cat looking at his reflection in the mirror.
Transformer: A cat sitting in a window sill looking out.
M² Transformer: A cat looking at its reflection in a mirror.




GT: A plate of food including eggs and toast on a table next to a stone railing.
Transformer: A group of food on a plate.
M² Transformer: A plate of breakfast food with eggs and toast.

2. Text2Image (e.g., Ramesh et al. (2021b).)


	a very cute cat laying by a big bike.	china airlines plain on the ground at an airport with baggage cars nearby.	a table that has a train model on it with other cars and things	a living room with a tv on top of a stand with a guitars sitting next to	a couple of people are sitting on a wood bench	a very cute giraffe making a funny face.	a kitchen with a fridge, stove and sink	a group of animals are standing in the snow.
Validation								
Ours								

Introduction - Vision Language Learning

3. Models for both modalities (e.g., CLIP, ViLT)



(a) CLIP



(b) ViLT

Introduction – Vision-Language Learning

Summary:

- ❑ Method 1 requires ***ground-truth text*** for supervised loss
- ❑ Method 2 requires ***ground-truth image*** for supervised loss
- ❑ Method 3 requires ***well-paired image-text input*** for (un)supervised loss

All three of the above popular cross-modal learning paradigms have **limitations** on the training data, to mitigate the large modality **gap** between vision and speech.



To tackle these limitations, we start with a question in image caption generation:

Can language representations emerge from a purely image-pretrained model?

Look Twice as Much as You Say

☐ Introduction - *vision language learning*


☐ Method - Scene Graph Contrastive Learning

☐ Experiment

☐ Discussion

Method – Scene Graph Contrastive Learning

Contrastive training with purely visual inputs, to learn (pseudo) caption sentence generation



Look Twice as Much as You Say

☐ Introduction - *vision language learning*

☐ Method - Scene Graph Contrastive Learning

☐ Experiment - effectiveness of emerged language representations in image-pretrained model on captioning

☐ Discussion

Experiment – Effectiveness of emerged language representations

Performance: finetuning with very few ground-truth captions

image:					
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
1% labels are used:					
<i>M²-T</i> :	A sheep standing in a a a.	A man girl a a a a a.	A girl tennis a a tennis tennis	A elephant of in a a a a.	A cow standing standing a a a.
<i>SGAE</i> :	A sheep of standing a a a.	A man is a a a a a.	A man girl a a a a a.	A man is a a a a a.	A sheep of in a a a a a.
<i>VSUA</i> :	A sheep of in a a a a.	A man standing a a a a.	A girl girl a a a a a.	A people of a a a a a a.	A cow cow cow a a a a a.
<i>C-GAT</i> :	A group of a a a a.	A man is a a a a a.	A man girl a a a a a.	A street of a a a a.	A sheep of a a a.
<i>SGCL</i> :	A couple of sheep standing in the grass in a field.	A group of people playing a frisbee standing by the sea.	A woman is holding a tennis racket on a tennis ball.	A group of people standing in a street with a building.	A herd of cows walking down a road in the grass.
30% labels are used:					
<i>M²-T</i> :	A group of sheep standing in a fenced area.	Two men playing frisbee on a dirt field.	A woman is holding a tennis racket in her hand.	A man riding an elephant in front of a building.	A group of cows standing next to each other on a field.
<i>SGAE</i> :	A white sheep is standing in the grass.	A group of men playing a game of frisbee.	A man hitting a tennis ball on a tennis court.	A group of people standing next to an elephant.	A cow standing on top of a lush green field.
<i>VSUA</i> :	A couple of sheep standing next to each other.	A man holding a frisbee in his hand.	Two men playing frisbee on a dirt field.	An elephant standing in front of a building.	A group of cows are standing in the grass.
<i>C-GAT</i> :	A group of sheep grazing in a grassy field.	A man holding a frisbee in his hand.	A woman is playing tennis on the court.	A man riding on the back of an elephant.	A brown cow standing next to a brown cow.
<i>SGCL</i> :	A couple of sheep standing on a lush green field near a fence.	A man is jumping in the air to catch a frisbee on a sea beach.	A woman is trying to hitting a tennis ball on a tennis court.	An elephant walking down a street with people in the background.	A herd of black cows standing next to each other on a lush green field.

Experiment – Effectiveness of emerged language representations

☐ Comparisons with popular baselines in caption metrics




Figure 3: Performances of all models with limited labels (Note that ROUGE-L and SPICE of i2t+nmt are not shown due to missing values in the original work).

Experiment – Effectiveness of emerged language representations

❑ Ablation study on scene graph augmentation in contrastive learning

Table 1: Performances of different model variants with various graph augmentation strategies (Note: N - node dropping, E - edge dropping, A - node attribute masking, O - object feature masking).

Label	N	E	A	O	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	C.	M.	R-L	S.
1%	✓	✓	✓	✓	61.8	43.8	28.9	18.2	47.7	18.5	46.3	11.9
					62.5	44.6	30.0	19.1	49.2	19.9	47.0	13.1
					62.5	44.5	29.0	18.5	52.9	19.1	47.3	13.2
	✓	✓	✓	✓	63.1	44.3	28.8	18.6	52.2	19.3	47.2	13.0
					63.0	45.1	29.9	19.3	53.3	19.6	47.5	13.3
					63.6	45.4	30.7	20.2	55.0	20.0	47.9	13.5
5%	✓	✓	✓	✓	69.4	51.7	36.6	26.2	75.9	22.2	49.4	16.3
					70.3	53.0	38.6	27.9	79.4	23.9	51.9	17.3
					62.5	52.8	38.9	28.5	81.4	19.1	51.9	17.2
	✓	✓	✓	✓	63.1	53.5	38.7	28.6	82.2	19.3	52.0	17.1
					70.3	53.3	39.2	28.1	82.3	24.1	52.2	17.4
					70.7	53.8	39.8	29.1	84.9	24.2	52.6	17.6

Experiment – Effectiveness of emerged language representations

❑ Ablation study on dropout rate in contrastive learning




Figure 4: Impact of dropout rate at the output layer on model performance.

Look Twice as Much as You Say

- ❑ Introduction - *vision language learning*
- ❑ Method - Scene Graph Contrastive Learning
- ❑ Experiment - effectiveness of emerged language representations in image-pretrained model on captioning
- ❑ Discussion - conclusion and hypothesis

Discussion

Conclusion

- ❑ Language representations **can** emerge from a purely image-pretrained model, even on small model in this work (i.e., LSTM_1 -> Attention -> GAT -> Attention -> LSTM_2).
- ❑ Although the image captioning model only has **pseudo** sentence logits in the calculation of contrastive loss, the pre-trained model weights provide an effective initialization (i.e., 1% label finetuning brings impressive caption performance).

Hypothesis - *for potential future exploration*

- ❑ LSTM brings architecture prior related to NLP: it projects the image representation to the language dimension in a sequential manner like sentence.
- ❑ GAT introduces semantic representations: it extracts scene graph representation as one of the inputs to LSTM, which encodes object attributes and relations in images and enhance the semantic/language representations learned by LSTM.

Thank you!

Q & A