

Lagrange bases in subgroups of \mathbb{F}_p^* : a hands-on introduction

Aragon Research - Math Seminar Note #1

Alex Kampa

April 2022

This seminar note aims to provide an easy to follow introduction to Lagrange bases in the particular context of subgroups of \mathbb{F}_p^* . Readers are encouraged to redo some of the examples by hand.

1 Setting and Motivation

We are in the field \mathbb{F}_p where p is prime. The element $\omega \neq 0$ is a generator of order n of a multiplicative subgroup H of \mathbb{F}_p^* . Obviously, n divides p-1, which is the order of \mathbb{F}_p^* , and we have:

$$H = \{1, \omega, \omega^2, ..., \omega^{n-1}\}$$
 (1)

We seek to represent a polynomial P(x) over H that takes a set of predefined values v_i over the elements of H. In other words, given a set $V = \{v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}\}$, we seek a polynomial P such that:

$$\forall \, \omega^i \in H, \ P(\omega^i) = v_i \tag{2}$$

Lagrange polynomials L_i provide an easy way to do this. The desired P is simply expressed as:

$$P(x) = v_0 L_0(x) + v_1 L_1(x) + \dots + v_{n-1} L_{n-1}(x)$$
(3)

Lagrange polynomials, also called Lagrange bases, provide an alternative and useful approach to polynomials: instead of defining them by their coefficients, they are defined by their values. Lagrange polynomials have recently been used in the construction of a popular zk-SNARK scheme called PLONK [1].

2 Definitions

The Lagrange polynomials on H are a set of polynomials L_i defined for $0 \le i < n-1$ as follows:

$$\forall x \in H, \ L_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } x = \omega^i \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (4)

It should be clear that we can write $L_i(x)$ as:

$$L_i(x) = \alpha_i \prod_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq i}}^{n-1} (x - \omega^j)$$
 (5)

It is also useful to define the polynomial L(x) which has roots at exactly all the element of H:

$$L(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (x - \omega^j)$$
 (6)

Our principal aim is to show that:

$$L(x) = x^n - 1 (7)$$

and that:

$$L_{i}(x) = \frac{\omega^{i}}{n} \cdot \frac{x^{n} - 1}{x - \omega^{i}} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-ik} x^{k}$$
 (8)

2.1 Example 1

We place ourselves in \mathbb{F}_3^* , with $\omega = 2$, $H = \{1, 2\}$ and therefore n = 2. The two Lagrange polynomials in this case can be written:

$$L_0(x) = a_0(x-2)$$

$$L_1(x) = a_1(x-1)$$
(9)

As a result, and remembering that we are computing modulo 3, we find that:

$$L_0(1) = a_0(1-2) = 2a_0 = 1 \implies a_0 = 2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$L_1(2) = a_1(2-1) = 1a_1 = 1 \implies a_1 = 1 = \frac{2}{2}$$
(10)

Now let's compute L(x), noting that we have 2 = -1 in modulo 3:

$$L(x) = (x-1)(x-2)$$

$$= x^{2} - x(1+2) + 1 \cdot 2$$

$$= x^{2} - 3x + 2$$

$$= x^{2} - 1$$
(11)

2.2 Example 2

We place ourselves in \mathbb{F}_7^* , with $\omega = 2$, $H = \{1, 2, 4\}$ and therefore n = 3. The three Lagrange polynomials in this case can be written:

$$L_0(x) = a_0(x-2)(x-4)$$

$$L_1(x) = a_1(x-1)(x-4)$$

$$L_2(x) = a_2(x-1)(x-2)$$
(12)

As a result, and remembering that we are computing modulo 7, we find:

$$L_0(1) = a_0(1-2)(1-4) = 3a_0 = 1 \implies a_0 = 5 = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$L_1(2) = a_1(2-1)(2-4) = 5a_1 = 1 \implies a_0 = 3 = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$L_2(4) = a_2(4-1)(4-2) = 6a_2 = 1 \implies a_0 = 6 = \frac{4}{3}$$
(13)

Now let's compute L(x):

$$L(x) = (x-1)(x-2)(x-4)$$

$$= x^3 - x^2(1+2+4) + x(1\cdot 2 + 1\cdot 4 + 2\cdot 4) - 1\cdot 2\cdot 4$$

$$= x^3 - 7x^2 + 14x - 8$$

$$= x^3 - 1$$
(14)

3 More Definitions

We assume that p and ω are fixed, and therefore also n. We define I to be the set containing all n integers between 0 and n-1.

$$I = \{0, 1, ..., n - 1\} \tag{15}$$

For $i \in I$, we define I_i as the set of all integers between 0 and n-1, with the exception of i.

$$I_i = \{0, 1, ..., n - 1\} \setminus \{i\}$$
(16)

For $0 < k \le n-1$, We define C(k) as the set of all strictly increasing sequences of length k contained in I^k .

$$C(k) = \{ \{j_1, ..., j_k\} \in I^k : j_1 < ... < j_k \}$$
(17)

Clearly, C(k) represents the number of k-element subsets of I, and $|C(k)| = \binom{n}{k}$. We similarly define $C_i(k)$ as the set of all strictly increasing sequences of length k contained in I_i^k .

$$C_i(k) = \{ \{j_1, ..., j_k\} \in I_i^k : j_1 < ... < j_k \}$$
(18)

We can now define the following sums over elements of C(k) and $C_i(k)$, with k > 0:

$$S(k) = \sum_{\vec{j} \in C(k)} \omega^{j_1 + \dots + j_k} \tag{19}$$

$$S_i(k) = \sum_{\vec{j} \in C_i(k)} \omega^{j_1 + \dots + j_k} \tag{20}$$

Finally, it is useful to define these sums for k = 0 as follows:

$$S(0) = S_i(0) = 1 (21)$$

Note that in all the above definitions, n is considered as fixed. If necessary the sets/sums defined above could be denoted more explicitly as I(n), $I_i(n)$, C(k,n), $C_i(k,n)$, S(k,n) and $S_i(k,n)$.

3.1 Example 3

Let's assume our subgroup is of order 5. This would be the case if we took $\omega = 3$ in \mathbb{F}_{11}^* , with $\{1, \omega, \omega^2, \omega^3, \omega^4\} = \{1, 3, 9, 5, 4\}$. The polynomial L(x) can be written as:

$$L(x) = (x - \omega^{0})(x - \omega^{1})(x - \omega^{2})(x - \omega^{3})(x - \omega^{4})$$

= $a_{5}x^{5} + a_{4}x^{4} + a_{3}x^{3} + a_{2}x^{2} + a_{1}x + a_{0}$ (22)

The coefficients of this polynomial are:

$$a_{5} = 1$$

$$= (-1)^{0} \cdot S(0)$$

$$a_{4} = -(\omega^{0} + \omega^{1} + \omega^{2} + \omega^{3} + \omega^{4})$$

$$= (-1)^{1} \cdot S(1)$$

$$a_{3} = \omega^{0}\omega^{1} + \omega^{0}\omega^{2} + \omega^{0}\omega^{3} + \omega^{0}\omega^{4} + \omega^{1}\omega^{2} + \omega^{1}\omega^{3} + \omega^{1}\omega^{4} + \omega^{2}\omega^{3} + \omega^{2}\omega^{4} + \omega^{3}\omega^{4}$$

$$= (-1)^{2} \cdot S(2) = 2 \cdot (\omega^{0} + \omega^{1} + \omega^{2} + \omega^{3} + \omega^{4})$$

$$a_{2} = -(\omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{2} + \omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{3} + \omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{4} + \omega^{0}\omega^{2}\omega^{3} + \omega^{0}\omega^{2}\omega^{4} + \omega^{0}\omega^{3}\omega^{4} + \omega^{1}\omega^{2}\omega^{3} + \omega^{1}\omega^{2}\omega^{4} + \omega^{1}\omega^{3}\omega^{4} + \omega^{2}\omega^{3}\omega^{4})$$

$$= (-1)^{3} \cdot S(3) = -2 \cdot (\omega^{0} + \omega^{1} + \omega^{2} + \omega^{3} + \omega^{4})$$

$$a_{1} = \omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{2}\omega^{3} + \omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{2}\omega^{4} + +\omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{3}\omega^{4} + \omega^{0}\omega^{2}\omega^{3}\omega^{4} + \omega^{1}\omega^{2}\omega^{3}\omega^{4}$$

$$= (-1)^{4} \cdot S(4) = \omega^{0} + \omega^{1} + \omega^{2} + \omega^{3} + \omega^{4}$$

$$a_{0} = -\omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{2}\omega^{3}\omega^{4}$$

$$= (-1)^{5} \cdot S(5)$$

Note that a_4 is a sum of $5 = \binom{5}{1}$ elements, a_3 a sum of $10 = \binom{5}{2}$ elements etc.

Also note that, because $\omega^5 = \omega^0 = 1$, we have:

$$\omega \cdot a_4 = \omega \cdot (\omega^0 + \omega^1 + \omega^2 + \omega^3 + \omega^4)$$

$$= \omega^1 + \omega^2 + \omega^3 + \omega^4 + \omega^0$$

$$= a_4$$
(24)

Now $\omega \cdot a_4 = a_4$ implies $a_4 = 0$ because $\omega \neq 0$. As a result, it is clear that $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$. As to a_0 , we have:

$$a_0 = -\omega^{0+1+2+3+4} = -\omega^{10} = -1 \tag{25}$$

This conforms to our expectation that:

$$L(x) = x^5 - 1 (26)$$

3.2 Example 4

In the same setting as the preceding example, with n = 5, the Lagrange polynomial $L_3(x)$ can be written as:

$$\frac{L_3(x)}{\alpha_3} = (x - \omega^0)(x - \omega^1)(x - \omega^2)(x - \omega^4)
= b_4 x^4 + b_3 x^3 + b_2 x^2 + b_1 x + b_0$$
(27)

The coefficients of this polynomial are:

$$b_{4} = 1$$

$$= (-1)^{0} \cdot S_{3}(0)$$

$$b_{3} = -(\omega^{0} + \omega^{1} + \omega^{2} + \omega^{4})$$

$$= (-1)^{1} \cdot S_{3}(1) = \omega^{3}$$

$$b_{2} = \omega^{0}\omega^{1} + \omega^{0}\omega^{2} + \omega^{0}\omega^{4} + \omega^{1}\omega^{2} + \omega^{1}\omega^{4} + \omega^{2}\omega^{4}$$

$$= (-1)^{2} \cdot S_{3}(2) = \omega$$

$$b_{1} = -(\omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{2} + \omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{4} + \omega^{0}\omega^{2}\omega^{4} + \omega^{1}\omega^{2}\omega^{4})$$

$$= (-1)^{3} \cdot S_{3}(3) = \omega^{4}$$

$$b_{0} = \omega^{0}\omega^{1}\omega^{2}\omega^{4}$$

$$= (-1)^{4} \cdot S_{3}(4) = \omega^{2}$$
(28)

Therefore:

$$\frac{L_3(x)}{\alpha_3} = x^4 + \omega^3 x^3 + \omega x^2 + \omega^4 x + \omega^2 \tag{29}$$

By definition, $L_3(\omega^3) = 1$. Plugging this in the above equation, we obtain:

$$\frac{L_3(\omega^3)}{\alpha_3} = \frac{1}{\alpha_3} = 5\omega^2 \implies \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{5\omega^2} = \frac{\omega^3}{5} \tag{30}$$

So finally:

$$L_3(x) = \frac{\omega^3}{5} \cdot \frac{x^5 - 1}{x - \omega^3} = \frac{1}{5} \cdot (\omega^3 x^4 + \omega x^3 + \omega^4 x^2 + \omega^2 x + 1)$$
 (31)

4 Explicit representations of L(x) and $L_i(x)$

By now it should be clear that we can write L(x) and $L_i(x)$ as:

$$L(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x - \omega^k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} S(n-k) x^k$$
 (32)

$$\frac{L_i(x)}{\alpha_i} = \prod_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq i}}^{n-1} (x - \omega^k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-k} S_i(n-1-k) x^k$$
(33)

4.1 Values of S(k) and $S_i(k)$ for 0 < k < n

We want to show that for 0 < k < n, we have:

$$S(k) = 0$$

$$S_i(k) = (-1)^k \omega^{ik}$$
(34)

We proceed by induction.

We have $S(1)=\omega^0+\omega^1+\ldots+\omega^{n-1}=0$ because $\omega\cdot S(1)=S(1)$. We also have $S_i(1)=S(1)-\omega^i=-\omega^i$. The relation therefore holds for k=1.

Let us now express S(k) in n different ways:

$$S(k) = \omega^{0} S_{0}(k-1) + S_{0}(k)$$

$$= \omega^{1} S_{1}(k-1) + S_{1}(k)$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= \omega^{n-1} S_{n-1}(k-1) + S_{n-1}(k)$$
(35)

Adding all of these up, we obtain:

$$nS(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega^{i} S_{i}(k-1) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_{i}(k)$$

= $A(k) + B(k)$ (36)

By the induction hypothesis, we have $S_i(k-1) = (-1)^{k-1}\omega^{i(k-1)}$ and therefore:

$$A(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega^{i} (-1)^{k-1} \omega^{i(k-1)} = (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ik}$$
 (37)

Notice that $\omega^k A(k) = A(k)$ and therefore A(k) = 0.

As to B(k), it has to be a multiple of S(k). To see why, take an arbitrary term $\omega^{j_1+\ldots+j_k}$ of S(k). This term cannot appear in any of the k sums $S_{j_1}(k)$, $S_{j_2}(k)$... $S_{j_k}(k)$, but will appear in all of the n-k other groups. Therefore B(k)=(n-k)S(k).

A second way to looks at this is by invoking symmetry. Taking some arbitrary term of S(k), it will appear in B(k) a certain number of times. There is no reason why one term would appear more or less often than another, meaning they all appear with the same frequency. As nS(k) has $n\binom{n}{k}$ terms and B(k) has $n\binom{n-1}{k}$ terms, we again conclude that B(k) = (n-k)S(k). As a result:

$$nS(k) = A(k) + B(k) = 0 + (n - k)S(k)$$

$$\implies kS(k) = 0$$

$$\implies S(k) = 0$$
(38)

We now use this fact to determine the value of $S_i(k)$.

$$S(k) = \omega^{i} S_{i}(k-1) + S_{i}(k) = 0$$

$$\implies S_{i}(k) = -\omega^{i} S_{i}(k-1)$$
(39)

Again using the induction hypothesis, we obtain:

$$S_i(k) = -\omega^i (-1)^{k-1} \omega^{i(k-1)} = (-1)^k \omega^{ik}$$
(40)

This completes the proof.

4.2 An example

Let's take the example of S(2) with n=4:

$$S(2) = \omega^{0}\omega^{1} + \omega^{0}\omega^{2} + \omega^{0}\omega^{3} + \omega^{1}\omega^{2} + \omega^{1}\omega^{3} + \omega^{2}\omega^{3}$$

$$= \omega^{0}(\omega^{1} + \omega^{2} + \omega^{3}) + (\omega^{1}\omega^{2} + \omega^{1}\omega^{3} + \omega^{2}\omega^{3}) = \omega^{0}S_{0}(1) + S_{0}(2)$$

$$= \omega^{1}(\omega^{0} + \omega^{2} + \omega^{3}) + (\omega^{0}\omega^{2} + \omega^{0}\omega^{3} + \omega^{2}\omega^{3}) = \omega^{1}S_{1}(1) + S_{1}(2)$$

$$= \omega^{2}(\omega^{0} + \omega^{1} + \omega^{3}) + (\omega^{0}\omega^{1} + \omega^{0}\omega^{3} + \omega^{1}\omega^{3}) = \omega^{2}S_{2}(1) + S_{2}(2)$$

$$= \omega^{3}(\omega^{0} + \omega^{1} + \omega^{2}) + (\omega^{0}\omega^{1} + \omega^{0}\omega^{2} + \omega^{1}\omega^{2}) = \omega^{3}S_{3}(1) + S_{3}(2)$$

$$(41)$$

So we can write 4S(2) = A(2) + B(2), with:

$$A(2) = \omega^0 S_0(1) + \omega^1 S_1(1) + \omega^1 S_1(1) + \omega^2 S_2(1) + \omega^3 S_3(1)$$

$$B(2) = S_0(2) + S_1(2) + S_2(2) + S_3(2)$$
(42)

Remembering that $0 = \omega^0 + \omega^1 + \omega^2 + \omega^3 \implies \omega^1 + \omega^2 + \omega^3 = -\omega^0$ etc:

$$A(2) = \omega^{0}(-\omega^{0}) + \omega^{1}(-\omega^{1}) + \omega^{2}(-\omega^{2}) + \omega^{3}(-\omega^{3})$$

$$= -2(\omega^{0} + \omega^{2})$$

$$= 0$$
(43)

Now note that every term of S(2) appears in B(2) exactly twice, which means that B(2) = 2S(2).

$$4S(2) = A(0) + B(2) = 0 + 2S(2) \implies S(2) = 0 \tag{44}$$

Finally, we find $S_0(2) = S_2(2) = \omega^0 = 1$ and $S_1(2) = S_3(2) = \omega^2 = -1$.

4.3 The value of S(n)

We have $S(n)=\omega^0\omega^1...\omega^{n-1}$ and therefore $S(n)=\omega^{0+1+...+(n-1)}=\omega^{n(n-1)/2}$.

When n is even, we can write n=2m and $S(n)=\omega^{m(2m-1)}=\omega^{-m}=\omega^m=-1$. To see why $\omega^m=-1$, note that $\omega^m(1+\omega^m)=1+\omega^m$.

When n is odd, we can write n = 2m + 1 and thus $S(0) = \omega^{nm} = 1$.

We can therefore write:

$$S(n) = (-1)^{n+1} (45)$$

4.4 The formula for L(x)

Now that we know the formula for S(n), we can apply this to L(x):

$$L(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} S(n-k) x^{k}$$

$$= (-1)^{n} S(n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k} S(n-k) x^{k} + S(0) x^{n}$$

$$= (-1)^{n} (-1)^{n+1} + 0 + 1 \cdot x^{n}$$

$$= -1 + x^{n}$$
(46)

As a result, we have:

$$L(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x - \omega^k) = x^n - 1$$
 (47)

4.5 The value of α_i

Now that we know $S_i(k)$, we can write:

$$\frac{L_i(x)}{\alpha_i} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-k} S_i(n-1-k) x^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-k} (-1)^{n-1-k} \omega^{i(n-1-k)} x^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-i(k+1)} x^k$$
(48)

By definition, $L_i(\omega^i) = 1$, so we can write:

$$\frac{L_i(\omega^i)}{\alpha_i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-i(k+1)} \omega^{ik} = n \cdot \omega^{-i}$$
(49)

So we finally have $\alpha_i = \omega^i/n$.

4.6 The formula for $L_i(x)$

Now that we have α_i , we can restate

$$L_i(\omega^i) = \frac{\omega^i}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-i(k+1)} x^k = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-ik} x^k$$
 (50)

Final formula:

$$L_{i}(x) = \frac{\omega^{i}}{n} \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq i}}^{n-1} (x - \omega^{k})$$

$$= \frac{\omega^{i}}{n} \cdot \frac{x^{n} - 1}{x - \omega^{i}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-ik} x^{k}$$
(51)

References

[1] Ariel Gabizon, Zachary J. Williamson, and Oana Ciobotaru. PLONK: permutations over lagrange-bases for occumenical noninteractive arguments of knowledge. *IACR Cryptol. ePrint Arch.*, page 953, 2019.