

## Vendor Performance Scorecard

**Document control number: N-MS-NTT-FRM-142, Revision No.-2**

<b>Company &amp; Contact</b>	Alfotohat Alomranyeh for Contracting CO	Mr.Ahmed Abd El Wahab	0563811769 ahmedelwahab@alfotohat.com	<b>Date</b>	5-Oct-25
<b>Local Agent</b>	Yes			<b>Country</b>	Saudi Arabia
<b>Product</b>	Civil & Architectural Works-Taiba West				

Vendor Performance Evaluation	Below Expectations	Frequently Misses Expectations	Mostly Meets Expectations	Consistently Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Not Applicable	OVERALL SCORE
	1	2	3	4	5	0	
<b>Quality and Safety</b>							<b>0/15</b>
Proactive in its review of statutes, regulations, codes and by-laws affecting the project?			3				3
Implementation of appropriate safety practices throughout the duration of the contract/project			3				3
Performance with respect to quality, health, safety and environment.			3				3
<b>Project/Account Management</b>							<b>0/30</b>
Prompt and effective at addressing client requests and incorporating requested changes into the scope of work.							0
Project Account Lead effectively managed and coordinated the project delivery.							0
Project Account Lead facilitated regular site meetings as per the requirements outlined at time of award.							0
Project Account Lead advised Client of required adjustments to budget and schedule as a result of changes in client requirements and market							0
Vendor rendered findings with a reasonable time on claims, disputes and other matters relating to execution or performance for the work outlined in							0
Vendor responded in a timely manner to all questions and issues pertaining to the project							0
<b>Schedule</b>							<b>0/15</b>
Vendor was able to maintain stated project deadlines						0	0
Vendor was diligent at communicating progress on the project and providing updates						0	0
Vendor was proactive at addressing and resolving project issues per the agreed schedule						0	0
<b>General</b>							<b>0/15</b>
Vendor issued complete invoices in a clear, accurate and organized format per agreed timelines to facilitate timely payment					5		5
Quality of overall work			3				3
Overall experience with vendors service						0	0
<b>Total Overall Score (75)</b>	<b>17</b>						

I have only one question, on what basis NESMA Procurement chose M/S Fatohat as subcontractor?

Please indicate any suggestions for improvement or comment on any topics not covered above.

Project Manager (Signature) \_\_\_\_\_  
Project Manager (Digital) 