Consider a relation R(A, B, C, D, E) with $FD = \{AB \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow B\}$. Determine all the candidate keys of relation R. Please include the details of how to find these keys. DO NOT list superkeys. (30pts)

Candidate Keys:

 $FD = {AB \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow B}$

 $(C)^{+} = CADBE$

 $(AB)^+ = ABCDE$

 $(AD)^+ = ADBCE$

C, AB, & AD. You can find Candidate Keys as the values that have no redundancy in their attributes.

Given relation schema R(A, B, C, D, E, F) with associated functional dependencies $FD=\{AB \rightarrow C, AD \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow B, F \rightarrow AD, F \rightarrow E\}$, use Armstrong's Axioms to prove that F is a superkey of R. (20pts)

We use Armstrong's Axioms to infer the functional dependencies.

- F-> AD and AD-> B (given)
 - F -> B (Axiom of transitivity)
- F-> E (given)
- F->AD (given)
 - o F->A and F->D (Decomposition)
- F->AB (given)
 - \circ F->B and F->A (Union)
- AB -> C (given)
 - F->C (Axiom of transitivity)
- Therefore: F is a superkey of R as $\{F\}$ += $\{A,B,C,D,E,F\}$.

Consider a relation StudentInfo(StudNo, StudName, Major, Advisor, CourseNo, Ctitle, InstrucName, InstrucLocn, Grade). Assume it has the following functional dependencies:

FD1: StudNo -> StudName

FD2: CourseNo -> Ctitle,InstrucName

FD3: InstrucName -> InstrucLocn

FD4: StudNo,CourseNo,Major -> Grade

FD5: StudNo,Major -> Advisor

FD6: Advisor -> Major

To make the StudentInfo table to be BCNF, the StudentInfo table has been decomposed into the following relations:

- Student(StudNo, StudName)
- StudMajor(StudNo, Major, Advisor)
- StudCourse(StudNo, Major, CourseNo, Ctitle, Instrucname, InstrucLocn, Grade).

Does this decomposition satisfy BCNF? If not, apply further decomposition to make all relations satisfy BCNF (50pts).

This decomposition does not satisfy BCNF. Here we breakdown and check the decomposition and go further if need be.

- *Student(StudNo, StudName)*
 - Rule 1: StudNo is a superkey
 - Rule 2- Rule 6: Not applicable dependencies
 - Table: Student(StudNo, StudName)
- StudMajor(StudNo, Major, Advisor)
 - Rule 1 Rule 4: Not applicable dependencies
 - Rule 5: (StudNo, Major) is superkey
 - Rule 6: **Advisor not superkey, doesn't satisfy BCNF**
 - We split StudMajor(StudNo, Major, Advisor) into two tables:
 - Make tables: StudMajor2(StudNo, Advisor) and Advisor(Advisor, Major) these now satisfy the BCNF conditions.
- StudCourse(StudNo, Major, CourseNo, Ctitle, Instrucname, InstrucLocn, Grade)
 - Rule 1, Rule 5, & Rule 6: Not applicable
 - Rule 4: StudNo, CourseNo, Major is superkey
 - Rule 2 & 3: **doesn't satisfy BCNF (CourseNo and InstrucName aren't superkeys)**
 - First split based on Rule 2:
 - StudCourse2(StudNo, Major, CourseNo, InstrucLocn, Grade)
 - Key is (StudNO, CourseNo, Major). The Rule 3 is no longer applicable and StudCourse2 now satisfies BCNF.
 - Course(CourseNo, Ctitle, InstrucName)
 - Key is CourseNo. The only rule that holds is FD2. This course table now satisfies BCNF.

The final decomposition tables are:

Student(<u>StudNo</u>, StudName)
StudMajor2(<u>StudNo</u>, Advisor)
Advisor(<u>Advisor</u>, Major)
StudCourse2(StudNo, Major, CourseNo, InstrucLocn, Grade)
Course(CourseNo, Ctitle, InstrucName)