I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System-espy

7.71 - Sadness & Spending

- (a) The use of t-procedures could be appropriate because there is no apparent skewness/outliers. However, we don't know if it's normal which we should keep in mind
- Sample Size Mean Standard Dev

 Neutral 14 0.571 0.73

 Sad 17 2.12 1.24
 - (C) u_1 = mean of Newtral Group 2 $H_0 = u_1 = u_2$ u_2 = mean of Sad Group 2 $H_a : u_1 + u_2$
 - (d) a = 0.05 level \rightarrow Test Stat: 0.571-2.118 = -4.3 degrees of freedom = 26 $\sqrt{\frac{0.73^2}{14} \frac{1.24^2}{17}}$ p-value = 0.0001 We see a big difference in

(e) 95%. Confidence Int, Therefore, reject H₀ & accept H_a: $\sqrt{\frac{0.75)^2}{14}} = \frac{(1.24)^2}{17} + \frac{42}{42} = (-2.285, -808)$

7.89 - Breast-Feeding vs Baby Formula

- (a) U_1 = breast-feed group Z Ho: $U_1 = U_2$ $S_{comb} = \sqrt{\frac{22 \times (1.7)^2 + 18 \times 3.24}{40}}$ U_2 = baby formula group Z Ha: $U_1 \neq U_2$ = 1.746

 We get p-val = 0.053 so

 based on the significant level Z = Z
- (b) (-0.202,2.002)
- (c) We assume it is the samples involved are normal and simple random samples (SRS).
- 7.102 Comparison of Standard Derivations
 - (a) F = 2.6
 - (b) F (15, 10, 0.05) = 2.845
 - (C) The 2 population standard deviations are equal

- 7.122 Two-sample t test
 - (a) $\overline{\chi}_1 = 49.69$, $S_1 = 2.318$, $\overline{\chi}_2 = 50.545$, $S_2 = 1.924$ degrees of freedom = 17, t = -0.9, p - val = 6.3731

 - (c) The p-vals, t-stat are different resulting in accidental acceptance legislation of 40
 - 8.71 Gender Bias in Textbook
 - Proportion female = 0.8, SE female = 0.052

 Proportion male = 0.39, SE male = 0.0425
 - (b) 90% conf -> (0.2960, 0.5161).

 The mean difference of male to female is between 0.2960 0.5161.
 - (c) Ho = prop_f = propale, p = 0.5101

 Stendard Evror = 0.0778

 z = prop_female prop_male = 5.217

 0.0778

 We reject null nypo Ho be cause there

We reject null hypo Ho because there is a big difference P(275, 217) =0.