

Homework #1

Q1: Exercise 1 Chapter 1

False. It is not the case that every instance of the Stable Matching Problem has a stable matching containing a pair (m, w) where m ranks w first, and w ranks m first.

Consider the set of men $M = \{m, m'\}$ and the set of women $W = \{w, w'\}$ with preference lists as follows

Man	1 st	2 nd
m	w	w'
m'	w'	w

Woman	1 st	2 nd
w	m'	m
w'	m	m'

There are two possible stable matchings given this information. One stable matching S has the pairs (m, w) , (m', w') . In this matching, the men are as happy as possible. The other stable matching has pairs (m', w) , (m, w') . The women are as happy as possible in this matching. However, in either stable matching, there is no pair such that both a man and a woman are as happy as possible, as described in the problem.

Q2: Exercise 3 Chapter 1

False. For every set of TV shows and ratings, there is not always a stable pair of schedules.

Suppose we have two networks A and B each with two shows. Network A has shows a_1 and a_2 with ratings 100 and 500 respectively. Network B has shows b_1 and b_2 with ratings 300 and 800 respectively. There are two possible scenarios: either a_1 competes with b_1 for a slot (meaning a_2 competes with b_2 for a slot) or a_1 competes with b_2 for a slot.

Case 1: a_1 competes with b_1

Let network A have schedule S with a_1 in slot 1 and a_2 in slot 2. Network B has schedule T with b_1 in slot 1 and b_2 in slot 2. Network A currently doesn't win any slots. Then the pair (S, T) is unstable because A can win a slot by switching the order of its shows. The same is true if a_1 and b_1 were competing for slot 2.

Case 2: a_1 competes with b_2

Let network A have schedule S with a_1 in slot 1 and a_2 in slot 2. Network B has schedule T with b_2 in slot 1 and b_1 in slot 2. Currently, network A wins slot 2 and network B wins slot 1. Then the pair (S, T) is unstable because B can win both slots by switching the order of its shows. The same is true if a_1 and b_2 were competing for slot 2.

Q3: Exercise 8 Chapter 1

True. A woman may end up better off by lying about her preferences.

Consider the set of men $M = \{m, m', m''\}$ and the set of women $W = \{w, w', w''\}$ with preference lists as follows

Man	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
m	w	w''	w'
m'	w	w'	w''
m''	w''	w	w'

Woman	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
w	m''	m	m'
w'	m'	m	m''
w''	m	m'	m''

Running the Gale-Shapley algorithm with these preferences we see that m proposes and gets engaged to w . m' proposes to w , gets rejected, then proposes to w' and gets engaged. Finally m'' proposes and gets engaged to w'' . Thus the stable matching from running G-S is

$$S = \{(m, w), (m', w'), (m'', w'')\}.$$

In this case woman w is engaged to the man she ranked second. Now suppose that w lies about her preferences and ranks m in third and m' in second. Running G-S with this switch from w , we get the following stable matching

$$S = \{(m, w''), (m', w'), (m'', w)\}.$$

Clearly, we can see from this new matching that w is now better off because she is engaged with w'' who she ranks as first. So lying about her preference of m and m' helped w end up with a higher ranked man.

Q4

From the Wikipedia page on light, the speed of light l is approximately 3.00×10^8 m/s. Assuming we're using the classical model of an electron, the approximate radius of an electron is 2.8×10^{-15} m, according to Wikipedia. Thus the diameter d_e of an electron is $\approx 5.6 \times$

10^{-15} m. According to Wikipedia, the estimated age of the universe is ≈ 13.8 billion years
 $= 13.8 \times 10^9$ years $= 4.4 \times 10^{17}$ seconds. Using this information

$$Unit\ Time = \frac{d_e}{l} = \frac{5.6 \times 10^{-15}}{3.00 \times 10^8} \approx 1.87 \times 10^{-23} \text{ seconds}$$

Given this unit time, if the time spent running the algorithm is the age of the universe, the algorithm will generate

$$\frac{4.4 \times 10^{17}}{1.87 \times 10^{-23}} \approx 2.35 \times 10^{40}$$

total matchings.

Given n boys and n girls, there are a total of $n!$ possible matchings. So we need to find an n such that $n! \approx 2.35 \times 10^{40}$. The closest fractional value for n is 35.23

$$35.23! \approx 2.35 \times 10^{40}.$$

Of course, for the purpose of the algorithm which needs an integer n , the largest n would have to about 35.