## CMSC22100 HW #6

## Jaime Arana-Rochel

May 23, 2014

## Problem 2: Orthogonal Language Features all Stuck Together

**Theorem** [Type Soundness]. Well typed terms in the simply-typed lambda calculus do not get stuck. That is, a term (t:T) can alway take a single-step evaluation until it either reaches a value, an error, or it diverges.

Theorem [Preservation]. Given:

$$\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t : T$$

$$\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu$$

$$t \mid u \longrightarrow t' \mid u'$$

then for some  $\Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma$ 

$$\Gamma \,|\, \Sigma' \vdash t' : T$$

$$\Gamma \mid \Sigma' \vdash \mu'$$

Theorem [Progress]. Given:

$$\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t : T$$

then either t is a value, an error, or for  $(\phi \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu)$  then  $t \mid u \longrightarrow t' \mid u'$ 

## Problem 3: Record Subtyping

For the case of double covariance:

```
(\lambda r : \{x : Nat, y : Bool\}.\{x = r.x + r.x, y = r.y\}) (\{y = true\})
```

This is well typed according to double covariance, but it's obvious from looking at it that this is impossible to evaluate because the function requires a record of type {x:Nat,y:Bool}, but only receives a record of type {y:Bool}.

For the case of double contra-variance:

$$(\lambda r : \{x : Nat\}.\{x = r.x + r.x, y = r.y\}) (\{x = 1, y = 2\})$$

Again, this is well typed and the arguments check out. However in this case, it's the output type that will not allow this function to be evaluated.