

*Acknowledgement: The idea of using Bezout's theorem to prove the contravese of problem 1 came from Jonathan Bianchini*

Name: Jaime Arana-Rochel  
Section: 1

## Homework #3

### Q1

A) Proof by showing that  $P \rightarrow Q$  and  $Q \rightarrow P$  implies  $P \leftrightarrow Q$

Let's assume that the system of congruences has a solution. For the system of congruences,

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1} \quad x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

we have the following due to congruence definitions

$$m_1 | x - a_1 \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 | x - a_2$$

Let  $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = d$ . By definition  $d | m_1$  and  $d | m_2$  which also obviously means that  $d | x - a_1$  and  $d | x - a_2$ . Using the division definition on the above,

$$x - a_1 = k_1 d \quad \text{and} \quad x - a_2 = k_2 d$$

Substituting the value of  $x$  ( $x = k_1 d + a_1$ ) from the first equation into the second equation, we get

$$k_1 d + a_1 - a_2 = k_2 d$$

$$a_1 - a_2 = (k_2 - k_1) d$$

Using the division definition again on the equation above, we have that  $d = \gcd(m_1, m_2) | a_1 - a_2$ . This proves the conditional  $P \rightarrow Q$ . Now we proceed to proving the converse.

Assume that  $\gcd(m_1, m_2) | a_1 - a_2$ . Using Bezout's theorem we can write

$$\gcd(m_1, m_2) = sm_1 + tm_2$$

Therefore  $sm_1 + tm_2 | a_1 - a_2$ . By the division definition and some shifting of terms, we can write the following equations,

$$a_1 - a_2 = k(sm_1 + tm_2)$$

$$a_1 - a_2 = ksm_1 + ktm_2$$

$$a_1 - ksm_1 = a_2 + ktm_2$$

In the equation above,  $s$ ,  $t$  and  $k$  are just integers so let,

$$k_1 = -ks$$

$$k_2 = kt$$

Substituting  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  back into the equation we get

$$a_1 + k_1 m_1 = a_2 + k_2 m_2$$

We set the variable  $x$  equal to the above equality such that

$$x = a_1 + k_1 m_1 \implies x - a_1 = k_1 m_1$$

and

$$x = a_2 + k_2 m_2 \implies x - a_2 = k_2 m_2$$

By the division definition,

$$m_1 \mid x - a_1 \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 \mid x - a_2$$

Using the modulo congruence definitions we can then write

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1} \quad \text{and} \quad x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

We've constructed the congruences from our value of  $x$ , thus proving the converse  $Q \rightarrow P$ . By proving both conditionals we can say that  $P \leftrightarrow Q$ , satisfying the problem.

## Q2

Problem: Prove if  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are polynomials with leading terms  $ax^n$  and  $bx^m$  respectively, then  $f(x)/g(x) \sim (a/b)x^{n-m}$

We notice that as the input to  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  get sufficiently large, then the smaller terms in the polynomials disappear leaving only the leading terms since the leading terms grow the fastest.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{ax^n}{bx^m} = \frac{ax^{n-m}}{b} = (a/b)x^{n-m}$$

We now take the following limit,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)/g(x)}{(a/b)x^{n-m}} = \frac{(a/b)x^{n-m}}{(a/b)x^{n-m}} = 1$$

Since our limit yielded a 1, then we know that  $f(x)/g(x) \sim (a/b)x^{n-m}$  by definition.

## Q3

Problem: Give a complete proof that for  $f(n) = n^2$  and  $g(n) = n^2 \log n$  we have that  $f = O(g)$  and  $f = o(g)$

First we prove that  $f = O(g)$ . By definition of *Big O*

$$\begin{aligned} f = O(g) &\implies |n^2| \leq C |n^2 \log n| \quad \forall x \leq x_0 \\ &= |n^2| \leq |n^2| C |\log n| \\ &1 \leq C |\log n| \end{aligned}$$

This inequality is obviously true, which shows that  $f = O(g)$ . Now we prove that  $f = o(g)$ . We take the limit of  $f(n)$  over  $g(n)$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \frac{n^2}{n^2 \log n} = \frac{1}{\log n} = 0$$

Based on this limit, by definition  $f = o(g)$ .

## Q4

Problem: Rank the functions by order of growth.

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{2^{n+1}}, 2^{2^n+1}, n!, 2^{n^2}, 2^n, n^{\log n}, (\log n)^{\log n}, n^3, n^2, \\ &\{\log(n!), n \log n\}, 2^{(\log n)^2}, 2^{\sqrt{\log n}}, (\log n)^2, \log n, \log(\log n) \end{aligned}$$

The pair in the list grouped with braces is an equivalence class.