

# Action Recognition Utilizing YGAR Dataset

Shuo Wang, Amiya Ranjan and Lawrence Jiang

DATASCI 281 Computer Vision  
UC Berkeley School of Information  
{shuo.wang2, aranjana, lawrencejiang0797}@berkeley.edu

## Abstract

*placeholder*

## Introduction

Action recognition is an important area of research within the field of machine learning. Potential applications of machine recognition and understanding of human actions are endless, ranging from robotics and security surveillance to human-machine interactions. Successful progress in this field would translate to solutions for many real-world problems. Not only are the potentials enormous, diverse distinct research topics exist within the broad category of action recognition. Single action classification[1] is one of the more widely studied topics, and shares many similar techniques to image classification [2]. Moving beyond single actions, localized multiple object action recognition are also possible[3, 4]. Even sequential action recognition has been attempted[5]. Although tremendous progress has been made, much still remains to be done. Some of the obstacles facing the research efforts include: relative scarcity of high quality data[1], high resource requirements for training potential model architectures and difficulty in the processing and modification of input data for analysis.

In this paper, we propose a new method of video actions data generation by means of 3D simulation, where data generation could be customized to facilitate various research goals and specific areas of focus, including single action recognition, action orientation detection and action sequence segmentation, to name a few. Besides the presentation of our novel dataset and data generation process, we also perform several tests of action recognition using classic image classification modeling techniques and deep learning techniques, demonstrating how our dataset could be leveraged to bridge the gap between image classification tasks and 3D action recognition.

## Background

**Dataset** Several datasets exist currently for single action recognition, including UCF101[6] and HMDB[7]. UCF101 dataset is a collection of youtube videos that contains 101 action categories with a total of 13320 videos, averaging 132 videos per category. Some of the categories in the dataset include “Apply Eye Makeup”, “archery” and “Frisbee catch”.

HMDB[7] is another dataset that aims to further the progress in understanding action recognition. It contains 51 actions and a total of 7000 video clips. The actions within the videos are broadly categorized into five types: general facial actions, facial actions with object manipulation, general body movements, body movements with object interaction and body movements for human interaction.

Overtime larger datasets have been created for video action recognition tasks such as the kinetics dataset[8], where 700 classes of actions have been categorized, each with an average of 926 sample videos.

More recently, spatio-temporally localized atomic visual actions datasets (AVA)[4] have also been introduced, where actions by multiple objects exist within the same video sample.

**Action Recognition** As more and more datasets come into existence, models have been built to train and test on these datasets, including convolutional neural network, LSTM[1] based encoder models and 3D neural networks that combines frames and optical flow information [1]. More complex architectures for localized action recognitions have also been proposed[3].

**Bottlenecks** Although commendable progress has been made in the research of action understanding, many issues still hinder its progress. One of them is the quality of available datasets. The existing datasets are typically collected from video data sources and curated by human judges, this process introduces many variabilities in the quality and comprehensive-

ness of the sample data, and because these data are collected as they are available, often it is impossible to control for characteristics that are desired for particular research objectiveness. For example, it is often difficult to study the specific effects of object variation and orientation separately. Besides targeted research needs, it is often difficult to study hierarchies of categories. As an example, if we would like to conduct a research where we would like to first recognize the person in the image, and then the action performed by the person, then it would be very difficult to conduct such studies with the existing datasets.

The second issue is the quantity of available data, although kinetics dataset has attempted to address the issue of volume with larger number of samples, they are still only single action samples of variable qualities, making studies of localized actions and sequential action recognition difficult.

We hope that our new dataset could help address some of these issues and contribute to the progress of the study in action recognition.

## Data

### Data Generation

Our dataset is generated by a 3D simulation program developed in Unity that supports configurations for zoom, center offset, camera angle orientation and avatar styles. The amount of zoom applied to the camera typically varies from 50% to 200%, 100% represents the default zoom amount. An offset could be applied to the x or y direction of the camera relative to the target of the camera, the unit of this configuration is based on the simulation world space metric system (meters in world space). The camera could also be rotated about the x and y axis relative to the target avatar, we typically set these configurations between -5 degrees to 90 degrees about the x axis and -90 degrees to 90 degrees about the y axis.

The avatar character in the simulation could be configured with various hair, cloth and pants style. In our current iteration, there are 4 different styles for hair, cloth and pants respectively, for a total of 64 unique combinations.

Our first set of actions include a total of 10 different yoga poses: camel, chair, child's, lord of the dance, lotus, thunderbolt, triangle, upward dog, warrior II and warrior III. Each of the 10 yoga poses have 4 type variations within them, some with more pronounced difference than others, for a total of 40 action and action types. We have decided to choose this set of actions as our first action sets because each pose is distinct and has a defined ending po-

**Table 1:** Data set configurations: zoom in percentage, offset in meters, angle in degrees.

Type	Easy	Medium	Hard
Min. Zoom	80%	80%	70%
Max. Zoom	110%	110%	120%
Min. X Offset	-1.5	-1.5	-3.0
Max. X Offset	1.5	1.5	3.0
Min. Y Offset	-1.5	-1.5	-2.0
Max. Y Offset	1.5	1.5	2.0
Min. X Angle	-5°	-5°	-5°
Max. X Angle	10°	10°	20°
Min. Y Angle	-30°	-30°	-45°
Max. Y Angle	30°	30°	45°
Static Background	Off	On	On
Dynamic Background	Off	Off	On

sition, which allows us to use image classification techniques to compare classic modeling architecture and deep learning ones.

Our simulation also supports the option to include static background and dynamic background objects which would allow us to adjust the complexity of the sample data.

### Data Set

From the actions and configurations available within our simulation program, we generated 3 sets of video actions data of varying difficulties based on the zoom, offset, angle and scene background configurations specified: easy, medium and hard.

The configurations of the three datasets are listed in the Table 1. Each dataset is generated as follows: for each of the 40 action and action types, we sample 25 random hair, cloth and pants style combinations to create action scenes for, and for each action scene, we capture the action with 20 cameras of randomly generated offsets and angles based on the constraints specified. Each dataset is therefore consisted of 20,000 videos respectively.

As we can see from Table 1, the configuration of easy and medium dataset is identical except medium dataset has static background turned on.

Each video is typically about 1 second in length, sampled at 30 FPS. the size of each video is around 80KB and the frame is 351X351 pixels.

## Model

For the modeling section of this research, we have extracted the frame at 50% location of each video as input to our models, since the actions within each video are yoga poses and could be adequately represented

by the middle frame. This approach would ideally be enhanced later to use multiple frames within each video, supplemented with temporal information represented by optical flow.

Each frame extracted from the video as 256X256X3 pixel RGB image, then converted to grayscale image of 256X256 pixels. Afterwards, we crop top, bottom, left and right of each grayscale image by 70, 30, 50 and 50 pixels to create 156X156 pixels grayscale image, in order to further reduce the size of input data.

## Filter

**Principal Component Analysis** For computing PCA, we first downsize the input grayscale frame by 50% to 78X78 pixels and flatten the image into an array of length 6084. Then we apply PCA on the input array, keeping the top 256 principal components. Finally each of the image array is projected onto the top 256 components to create the PCA weights for each image.

**Histogram of Oriented Gradients** In order to compute the HOG features, we first crop the input grayscale image to 100X100 pixels, due to the amount of time HOG computation requires. Then HOG feature descriptor is computed with 9 orientations, 2x2 pixels per cell and 2x2 cells per block.

**Scale-Invariant feature transform** We compute two types of SIFT descriptors, key points with size and key points without size, in our models, we have opted to use the key points with size in our image filters.

## Classifier

**Support Vector Machine** We use the support vector classification directly from Scikit-Learn with the default parameters: 1.0 for regularization, radial basis function as kernel and maximum iterations of 50.

**Logistic Regression** Again we use the logistic regression function from Scikit-Learn with default parameters: "LBFGS" as the solver and maximum iterations of 100.

**Gradient Boosting Tree** The gradient boosting tree we trained uses 100 estimators, each with a maximum depth of 3 and a learning rate of 0.1.

**Convolutional Neural Network** Our convolutional neural network model is inspired by the VGG-16 CNN model. The model consists of 10 convolutional layers, where the first two layers extract 32 features and subsequent pairs of layers extract double the number of features from the previous layers. After each pair of layers, a max pooling of size 2X2 is applied to the input features from the previous convolutional

layers. Finally, two dense layers followed by a classification layer is applied to generate the model prediction.

## Evaluation

### baseline

Our baseline for evaluation is the SVM model trained on the unfiltered input data scaled down to 20% at the "easy" level. We felt that this model is the most natural starting point to evaluate how effective the various types of filtering and modeling techniques are and how much they contribute to the final accuracy of the models.

### Process

We start out by training our baseline models on the "easy" level dataset, which produces a guideline for choosing models for further training. Then combinations of filters and models with the best results are trained on the "medium" level dataset to assess the capabilities of the models. Finally the best performance models are challenged with the "hard" dataset for evaluation.

For every data set and model training, we split the sample data into three sets, training set, validation set and test set, in the ratio of 8:1:1. For classic models, validation data set is not used at all, training set is used to train and optionally validate during training depending on the model in question (GBT), and test data set is used to compute the final accuracy of the models.

## Results

## Discussion

placeholder

## Future

placeholder

## References

- [1] Joao. Carreira et al. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset. *arXiv:1705.07750*, February 2018.

**Table 2:** Model results for each difficulty level and model/filter combination. Action column displays results for using action only as label, A+T column displays results for using action and action type combination as label.

Model	Filter	Easy		Medium		Hard	
		Action	A+T	Action	A+T	Action	A+T
SVM	Downsize	37%	29%	-	-	-	-
Logistic	Downsize	43%	16%	-	-	-	-
GBT	Downsize	71%	-	-	-	-	-
SVM	PCA	48%	42%	-	-	-	-
Logistic	PCA	44%	17%	-	-	-	-
GBT	PCA	-	-	-	-	-	-
SVM	HOG PCA	68%	54%	-	-	-	-
Logistic	HOG PCA	55%	21%	-	-	-	-
GBT	HOG PCA	85%	-	-	-	-	-
SVM	SIFT PCA	37%	27%	-	-	-	-
Logistic	SIFT PCA	43%	12%	-	-	-	-
GBT	SIFT PCA	-	-	-	-	-	-
CNN		-	-	-	-	96%	92%

- [2] Shaoqing. Ren et al. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, February 2015.
- [3] Tao. Wu et al. Stmixer: A one-stage sparse action detector. *arXiv:2303.15879v1 [cs.CV]*, March 2023.
- [4] Chunhui. Gu et al. Ava: A video dataset of spatio-temporally localized atomic visual actions. *arXiv:1705.08421v4 [cs.CV]*, April 2018.
- [5] Serena. Yeung et al. Every moment counts: Dense detailed labeling of actions in complex videos. *arXiv:1507.05738v3 [cs.CV]*, April 2018.
- [6] Khurram. Soomro et al. Ucf101: A dataset of 101 human actions classes from videos in the wild. *arXiv:1507.05738v3 [cs.CV]*, November 2012.
- [7] H. Kuehne, H. Jhuang, E. Garrote, T. Poggio, and T. Serre. HMDB: a large video database for human motion recognition. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2011.
- [8] Lucas. Smaira et al. A short note on the kinetics-700-2020 human action dataset. *arXiv:2010.10864v1 [cs.CV]*, October 2020.