1) Is & Lipschitz contines? If so, find a small B 1 f(w2) - f(w1) | < B | w2 - w1/ 117 f(w) 1/2 & B f(w) = 1 & f. (w) + 1 11 w1/2 where filw) = log(1+ exp (-y, wTx; 3) $\frac{\exp\{-y\omega^{\frac{1}{2}},\frac{2}{3}\}}{1+\exp\{-y\omega^{\frac{1}{2}},\frac{2}{3}\}} = \frac{-y^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\exp\{-y\omega^{\frac{1}{2}},\frac{2}{3}\}+1}$ Side note Q(X) = || \(\(\(\(\) \) ||_2 = \(\frac{2}{i=2} \) \(\(\(\) \)^2 g(x)2= || f(x) ||2 = = = = [(x)2] $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{|\chi(x)|^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{|\chi(x)|^2}$ => 2 2 w $\nabla k(\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{-y^{i}x}{\exp \{-y\omega^{i}x\}+1}$ + n.2.w 1f(x,) - f(x,)) < K dipschitz continuous

exp{-ywx; 3+1 lim fi (w) = 1 Jhus B> exp{-ywmax}3+1 + 2 nwmax 2) 15 fi smooth? Lilw) = log (1 + exp \ - y; w Tx; 3) Loons smooth dk(w) => Signoid looming function, thus If so, hind a small L for hi? $\ell(\omega_1) \leq \ell(\omega_1) + r \ell(\omega_1)^T(\omega_2 - \omega_1) + \frac{1}{2} ||\omega_2 - \omega_1||_2^2$ log(1+ exp{-y,w_xx;3) ≤ log(1+ exp{-y, w_xx;3) + 1 + exp{-y; w_1 x; } (w_2 - w_1) + \frac{1}{2} || w_2 - w_1||_2^2

log (1+exp{-yiw2xi3}) - 1+exp{-yiw2xi3} (w2-w2) 1 w2 - W, 1/2 log(1+exp{-y,w-x,3 But depends on 7. 12 720, then concave.

3) Is & strongly convex & 1/ so, kind a high N? f(w2) > f(w,) + \ \ f(w,) \ (w2-w,) + \ \ \ \ | | w2-w, ||^2 72f(x) > NI This (w) = exp (-9 w/x;3+1 f-ywTxi} exp{-ywTxi} & seems line it $f(\omega_1) > f(\omega_1) + pf(\omega_1)^T(\omega_2 - \omega_1) + \frac{\gamma}{2} ||\omega_2 - \omega_1||_2^2$ d(w) = IEN log(1+exp{-yiwxi3) + 711 w1/2 1 & log(1+exp{-y,w2x;3) + 1 w2 > 1 & log(1+exp{-y,w,x;3) + 1 w2 + 1 (w2-w1) + 2/1 w2-w1/2 + 2/1 w1

$$\frac{1}{N} \underset{i \in N}{\mathbb{E}} \log \left(\frac{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}}{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}} \right) + \lambda \left(\omega_{z}^{2} - \omega_{z}^{2} \right) - \frac{1}{\exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\} + 1} \left(\omega_{z} - \omega_{z}^{2} \right) \\
- 2 \lambda \omega_{1} > \frac{N}{2} \| \omega_{z} - \omega_{z} \|^{2} \\
\frac{1}{N} \underset{i \in N}{\mathbb{E}} \log \left(\frac{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}}{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}} \right) + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_{z}^{2} - 2 \omega_{1} \right) - \frac{1}{\exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\} + 1} \left(\omega_{z} - \omega_{z} \right) \\
\frac{1}{N} \underset{i \in N}{\mathbb{E}} \log \left(\frac{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}}{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}} \right) + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_{z}^{2} - 2 \omega_{1} \right) - \frac{1}{\exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\} + 1} \left(\omega_{z} - \omega_{z} \right) \\
\frac{1}{N} \underset{i \in N}{\mathbb{E}} \log \left(\frac{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}}{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}} \right) + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_{z}^{2} - 2 \omega_{1} \right) - \frac{1}{\exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\} + 1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_{z} - \omega_{z} \right) \right) \\
\frac{1}{N} \underset{i \in N}{\mathbb{E}} \log \left(\frac{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}}{1 + \exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\}} \right) + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_{z}^{2} - 2 \omega_{1} \right) - \frac{1}{\exp\{-g_{i} \omega_{z} x_{i}^{T}\} + 1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_{z} - \omega_{z} \right) \right)$$

> P

HW2.b. We know
$$\text{Var}[g(\omega_{k}, \xi_{k})] = \mathbb{E}[\|g(\omega_{k}, \xi_{k})\|_{2}^{2}] - \|\mathbb{E}[g(\omega_{k}, \xi_{k})]\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\|\mathbb{E}[g(\omega_{k}, \xi_{k})]\|_{2} \leq c_{0} \|\nabla f(\omega_{k})\|_{2}$$

$$\text{Var}[g(\omega_{k}, \xi_{k})] \leq M + M_{V} \|\nabla f(\omega_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \Big[\| g(\omega_{k}, \xi_{k}) \|_{2}^{2} \Big] &= \text{Var} \Big[g(\omega_{k}, \xi_{k}) \Big] + \| \mathbb{E} \Big[g(\omega_{k}, \xi_{k}) \Big] \|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq M + M_{V} \cdot \| \nabla f(\omega_{k}) \|_{2}^{2} + C^{2} \cdot \| \nabla f(\omega_{k}) \|_{2}^{2} \\ &= M + \left(M_{V} + C_{o}^{2} \right) \| \nabla f(\omega_{k}) \|_{2}^{2} \end{split}$$

HW2.c. "With square summable but not summable step-size" means $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^2 \leq \infty$

The second condition ensures that $\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$ 0

and from Eq. 5 $0 < \alpha_k < \frac{c}{L.M_q} \Rightarrow \alpha_k.L.M_q < c$ From Eq.4, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \big[f(\omega_{k+1}) \big] - f(\omega_k) & \leq -c. \, \alpha_k \, \| \, \nabla f(\omega_k) \|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \, \alpha_k^2 \cdot L. \, \mathbb{E} \big[\| g(\omega_k, \varsigma_k) \|_2^2 \big] \\ & \leq - \left(c - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k \cdot L. M g \right) \, \alpha_k \cdot \| \, \nabla f(\omega_k) \|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \, \alpha_k^2 \cdot L. M g \end{split}$$

Taking the expectation

 $= \left[f(\omega_{k+1}) \right] - E\left[f(\omega_k) \right] \leqslant - \left(c - \frac{1}{2} d_k \cdot L \cdot M_G \right) \cdot d_k \cdot E\left[\|\nabla f(\omega_k)\|_2^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} d_k^2 \cdot L \cdot M_G \leqslant c$ Since we know that $\alpha_k \cdot L \cdot M_G \leqslant c$

 $\leq -\frac{1}{2} c \cdot \alpha_k E \left[\|\nabla f(\omega_k)\|_2^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \alpha_k^2 \cdot L M.$

Note that k ∈ 1,2, ..., K,

Summing the inequality for all k's E[f(WK+1)] - E[f(W,1)] < - \frac{1}{2} c \sum_{k=1}^{k} \alpha_k E[||\nabla f(Wk)||_2^2] + \frac{1}{2} \L.M. \sum_{k=1}^{k} \alpha_k^2

Re-arranging the teams

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla p(\omega_{k})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leqslant \frac{2}{c}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[f(\omega_{k})\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[f(\omega_{k+1})\right]\right) + \frac{LM}{c}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\alpha_{k}$$

From the proof of Theorem 5, we have

$$fing - f(w_i) \leq E[f(w_{k+1})] - f(w_i)$$

There fore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \propto_{k} E\left[\left|\nabla f(\omega_{k})\right|_{e}^{2}\right] \leqslant \frac{2}{c}\left(E\left[f(\omega_{l})\right] - f_{inf}\right) + \frac{LM}{c} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \propto_{k}^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\sum_{k} \propto_{k} \|\nabla f(\omega_{k})\|_{2}^{2}\right] < \infty$$

then
$$E\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{k}^{\infty}}\sum_{k}^{\infty}x_{k}.||\nabla f(w_{k})||_{2}^{2}\right]\xrightarrow{K\rightarrow\infty}0$$

since
$$\sum_{k}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} = \infty$$
 and $\sum_{k}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} || \nabla f(\omega_{k}) ||_{2}^{2} < \infty$.