

HW3 (a)  $\min_x f(x) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax=b$

For convex and closed function  $f$ , there is  $f^{**} = f$

$$x \in \partial f^*(y) \Rightarrow y \in \partial f^{**}(x) \Rightarrow y \in \partial f(x)$$

$$y \in \partial f(x) \Rightarrow f(u) - f(x) \geq y^T(u-x), \quad \forall u.$$

$$\Rightarrow y^T u - f(u) \leq y^T x - f(x), \quad \forall u.$$

$$\Rightarrow f^*(y) = \max_u (y^T u - f(u)) = y^T x - f(x) \Leftrightarrow x = \arg \min_u f(u) - y^T u$$

also let  $f^*(u) = \max_v (v^T u - f(v)) \geq f^*(y) + x^T(u-y) \Rightarrow x \in \partial f^*(y)$

The dual problem:  $\max_{\lambda} g(\lambda) = -f^*(-A^T \lambda) - \lambda^T b$

$$\frac{\partial g(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{A \partial f^*(-A^T \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} - b$$

Since for convex and close function  $f$

$$x \in \partial f^*(y) \Leftrightarrow y \in \partial f(x) \Leftrightarrow x = \arg \min_u f(u) - y^T u.$$

$$\Rightarrow w \in \partial f^*(-A^T \lambda) \Leftrightarrow w = \arg \min_u f(u) + \lambda^T A w.$$

$$\Rightarrow A w - b \in \partial g(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow w = \arg \min_u f(u) + \lambda^T A w.$$

Thus,  $A w - b \in \partial g(\lambda)$  for  $w = \arg \min_u f(u) + \lambda^T A w$ .

$$\min_{w} f(w) \\ \text{s.t. } Aw = b$$

Since  $w_{k+1} \in \arg \min_w L(w, \lambda_k)$ , where  
 $L(w, \lambda_k) = f(w) + \lambda_k^T (Aw - b)$

Denote the primal optimal variable by  $w^*$

$$\begin{aligned} & L(w^*, \lambda_k) - L(w_{k+1}, \lambda_k) \\ &= f(w^*) - f(w_{k+1}) + \lambda_k^T (Aw^* - b) - \lambda_k^T (Aw_{k+1} - b) \\ &\geq \lambda_k^T f'(w_{k+1}) (w^* - w_{k+1}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|w^* - w_{k+1}\|^2 + \lambda_k^T A (w^* - w_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where the above inequality follows from  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex.

Since  $w_{k+1} \in \arg \min_w L(w, \lambda_k)$

$$\nabla L(w_{k+1}, \lambda_k) = 0 \Rightarrow f'(w_{k+1}) + \lambda_k^T A = 0$$

Thus we have

$$L(w^*, \lambda_k) - L(w_{k+1}, \lambda_k) \geq \frac{\mu}{2} \|w^* - w_{k+1}\|^2$$

in another word

$$\|w^* - w_{k+1}\|^2 \leq \frac{2(L(w^*, \lambda_k) - L(w_{k+1}, \lambda_k))}{\mu}$$

It can be seen that the convergence and accuracy of primal can be controlled by dual variable.

HW3 (b) - Convergence analysis of dual variable.

$$\|\lambda^{k+1} - \lambda^*\|_2^2 = \|\lambda^k + \alpha_k (A w_k - b) - \lambda^*\|_2^2$$

$$= \|\lambda^k - \lambda^*\|_2^2 + 2\alpha_k \langle A w_k - b, \lambda^k - \lambda^* \rangle + \alpha_k^2 \|A w_k - b\|_2^2$$

$f$  is  $\mu$ -strong <sup>convex</sup>  $L$ -smooth  $\Rightarrow g$  is  $\frac{1}{L}$ -strong convex and  $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -smooth

$$\text{there is, } \|\lambda^{k+1} - \lambda^*\|_2^2 \leq (1 - 2\alpha_k \frac{1}{L}) \|\lambda^k - \lambda^*\|_2^2 - 2\alpha_k (g(\lambda^k) - g(\lambda^*)) + \alpha_k^2 \|A w_k - b\|_2^2$$

(By using  $\frac{1}{L}$ -strong convexity).

Further

$$\text{Also, there is } \|\lambda^{k+1} - \lambda^*\|_2^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_k/L) \|\lambda^k - \lambda^*\|_2^2 - \frac{2\alpha_k}{\mu} (g(\lambda^k) - g(\lambda^*)),$$

$$= (1 - \alpha_k/L) \|\lambda^k - \lambda^*\|_2^2 - 2\alpha_k (1 - \frac{\alpha_k}{\mu}) (g(\lambda^k) - g(\lambda^*))$$

Convergence guaranteed when

$$0 < 1 - \frac{\alpha_k}{L} < 1 \text{ and } 1 - \frac{\alpha_k}{\mu} \geq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_k \leq \mu$$

$$\text{and } 0 < 1 - \frac{\alpha_k}{L} < 1$$

since  $\alpha_k \leq \mu$

When then require  $L > \mu$

And the convergence rate is thus  $\frac{\mu}{L}$

HW. 3. c

$$\underset{w^1, \dots, w^N \in W}{\text{minimize}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N f^i(w^i)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad w^1 = w^2 = \dots = w^N$$

where there are  $N$  numbers of nodes.

- Let  $w_j^i$  represents node  $i$ 's estimate of nodes  $j$ 's internal state.
- Let  $\lambda_{ij}$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , be the dual variables. For instance  $\lambda_{ij}$  is associated with the constraint  $w_i^i = w_i^j$

Let

$$\lambda = \left[ \lambda_{11}^T, \dots, \lambda_{1N}^T, \lambda_{21}^T, \dots, \lambda_{2N}^T, \dots, \lambda_{NN}^T \right]^T$$

The Lagrangian :

$$\begin{aligned} L(w^1, w^2, \dots, w^N, \lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^N f^i(w_1^i, w_2^i, \dots, w_N^i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ij}^T (w_i^i - w_i^j) \end{aligned}$$

The dual function

$$g(\lambda) = \inf_{w^1, \dots, w^N \in W} L(w^1, \dots, w^N, \lambda)$$

We can write the dual function in subproblems such as

$$\phi^i(\lambda) = \inf_{w^i \in W} f^i(w_1^i, \dots, w_N^i) + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ij}^T \cdot w_i^i - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ji}^T w_j^i$$

Since  $\phi^i(\lambda)$  only depends on  $\lambda$  and  $w^i$ , node  $i$  can compute  $\phi^i(\lambda)$  locally.

The Lagrangian is the sum

$$g(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi^i(\lambda)$$

Finally, the dual problem is the maximization

$$g^* = \max_{\lambda} g(\lambda) = \max_{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^N \phi^i(\lambda)$$

Communication cost

Suppose that there are  $N$  nodes, each part is transferred over  $N-1$  nodes. Summing over all parts will give the the communication cost for primal method

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (N-1) \dim(w_i) = (N-1) \dim(w)$$

Similarly, the communication cost for dual method is

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N (N-1) \dim \lambda_{ij} = (N-1) \dim(\lambda)$$

Since  $\dim(\lambda) > \dim(w)$ , the communication cost of the dual method is higher.

But, one can decrease this amount since a node only needs the sum of dual variables,  $\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ij}$ , for each iteration.