

HW9-a

To prove  $Aw-b \in \partial g(\lambda)$ , we should show that

$Aw-b$  satisfy the  $\partial g(\lambda)$  definition,

$$g(\lambda_1) \geq g(\lambda_2) + \partial g(\lambda_2)^T (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$$

$$\hookrightarrow f(w) + \lambda_1^T (Aw-b) \geq f(w) + \lambda_2^T (Aw-b) + \partial g(\lambda_2)^T (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^T (Aw-b) \geq \underbrace{\partial g(\lambda_2)^T (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}$$

now, we check if  $Aw-b$  satisfies this condition  $\rightarrow (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^T [Aw-b] \geq \underbrace{[Aw-b]^T (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}_?$

$\leadsto$  This inequality is true & actually the equality holds, therefore  $\partial g$  can be

equal to  $Aw-b$ , which means

$$Aw-b \in \partial g(\lambda)$$

There  
HW 3-6

There are 2 theorems as below:

① If  $f$  is closed & strong convex with parameter  $m$ , then  $f^*$  has a Lipschitz continuous gradient with parameter  $m$ .

② If  $f$  is convex and has a Lipschitz continuous with parameter  $L$ , then  $f^*$  is strong convex with parameter  $1/L$ .

proof of (1): By implication of strong convexity,  
we have  $\|z_n - z_y\| \geq m \|x - y\|$   $\forall z_n \in \partial f(x)$   
 $z_y \in \partial f(y)$

which implies  $\|z_n - z_y\| \geq m \|\nabla f^*(z_n) - \nabla f^*(z_y)\|$

Hence,  $f^*$  has a Lipschitz continuous ~~with~~ gradient with  $1/m$

proof of (2): By implication of Lipschitz continuous

gradient for convex  $f$ , we have:



continue of HW3-b  $\rightarrow$

$$(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y))^T (x - y) \geq \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2$$

which implies:

$$(x_n - y)^T (x - y) \geq \frac{1}{L} (x_n - y)^T \nabla f^*(x_n) - \nabla f^*(y)$$

Hence,  $f^*$  is strongly convex with parameter  $1/L$ .  $\square$

Therefore, the convergence rate is same as primal ~~problem~~ gradient descent problem with

$$\alpha_k = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{L}}$$

linear rate.

$\rightarrow$  Primal feasible?

The solution is feasible, because the dual problem converges. Accordingly, the primal solution would be ~~feasible~~ feasible.

### HW 3-C

$$\text{minimize } \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in N} f_i(w_i)$$

$$\text{s.t. } w_i = w_j \text{ for all } j \in N_i$$

$$L(w, \lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left( f_i(w_i) - \sum_{j \in N_i} \lambda_{ij} (w_i - w_j) \right)$$

$$l(w, \lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left( f_i(w_i) - \sum_{j \in N_i} \lambda_{ij} w_i + \sum_{j \in N_i} w_j \right)$$

Step ① node  $i$  computes %

$$w_i^K = \arg \min_w \frac{1}{N} f_i(w) - \sum_{j \in N_i} \lambda_{ij}^K w_i$$

Step ②: node  $i$  sends  $w_i$  to  $N_i$  neighbors  
 Communication cost = number of nodes  $\times$  average node degree.

Then compute:

$$\lambda_{ij}^{K+1} = \lambda_{ij}^K + \alpha^K (w_i - w_j) \text{ for all } j \in N_i$$

Convergence rate of the dual fornet is lower than primal  
 because it is based on one hop communication.