

Fundamentals of Machine Learning Over Networks

**Group 6
HW 3**

HW3(a):

1) $g(\lambda)$ is concave

$$\begin{aligned} g(t\lambda_1 + (1-t)\lambda_2) &= \inf_w f(w) + (t\lambda_1 + (1-t)\lambda_2)^T (Aw - b) \\ &= \inf_w t [f(w) + \lambda_1^T (Aw - b)] + (1-t) [f(w) + \lambda_2^T (Aw - b)] \\ &\geq t \inf_w f(w) + \lambda_1^T (Aw - b) + (1-t) \inf_w f(w) + \lambda_2^T (Aw - b) \\ &= t g(\lambda_1) + (1-t) g(\lambda_2) \Rightarrow \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

2) Assume that the domain of f is convex and compact $\rightarrow L(w, \lambda)$ has a minimum. So,

$$w^* \in \arg \min_w f(w) + \lambda^T (Aw - b)$$

We must show that $g(\mu) \leq g(\lambda) + (Aw^* - b)^T (\mu - \lambda) \quad \forall \mu$

$$g(\lambda) = f(w^*) + \lambda^T (Aw^* - b) \rightarrow g(\lambda) + (Aw^* - b)^T (\mu - \lambda) = f(w^*) + (Aw^* - b)^T \mu \geq g(\mu) \Rightarrow \checkmark$$

HW3(b):

* If $f(x)$ is μ -strongly convex and L -smooth over a convex and closed set, then

$f^*(y) = \sup_x y \cdot x - f(x)$ is $\frac{1}{L}$ -strongly convex and $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -smooth.

In dual ascent we have

$$\begin{cases} w_{k+1} \in \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} L(w, \lambda_k) \\ \lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_k + \alpha_k (Aw_k - b) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_k + \alpha_k \overset{\text{from (a)}}{\nabla g(\lambda_k)} \Rightarrow \text{gradient descent to find } \max_{\lambda} g(\lambda)$$

So, for fixed $\alpha = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{L}} = \frac{2L\mu}{L+\mu}$ we have

$$\|\lambda_k - \lambda^*\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{\mu-L}{L+\mu}\right)^{2k} \|\lambda_0 - \lambda^*\|^2 \leftarrow \text{since } g(\lambda) \text{ is } \frac{1}{L}\text{-strongly concave and } \frac{1}{\mu} \text{ smooth}$$

(*) was proved in "foods for thought" in Lecture 2. (Boyd, Problem 3.40)

HW3(c):

By writing $L(w_1, \dots, w_N, \lambda_{11}, \dots, \lambda_{NN})$

$$L(w_1, \dots, w_N, \lambda_{11}, \dots, \lambda_{NN}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{N} f_i(w_i) + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \in N_i} \lambda_{ij}^T (w_i - w_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{N} f_i(w_i) + a_i^T w_i, \quad \checkmark$$

where $a_i = \sum_{j \in N_i} \lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{ji}$. Hence,

$$g(\lambda_{11}, \dots, \lambda_{NN}) \triangleq \min_{w_1, \dots, w_N} L(w_1, \dots, w_N, \lambda_{11}, \dots, \lambda_{NN}) = \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(a_i),$$

where $g_i(a_i) \triangleq \min_{w_i} \frac{1}{N} f_i(w_i) + a_i^T w_i$

Likewise part (a), we can prove that $w_i^* \in \partial g_i(a_i)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{ij}} g(\{\lambda_{ij}\}) = \sum_{k=1}^N w_k^{*T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{ij}} a_k = (w_i^{*T} - w_j^{*T}) \mathbb{1}(j \in N_i) \rightarrow \nabla g = \begin{cases} w_i^* - w_j^* & j \in N_i \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

$$1) w_{i,k+1} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{w_i} \frac{1}{N} f_i(w_i) + a_i^T w_i$$

$$2) \lambda_{ij,k+1} = \lambda_{ij,k} + \alpha_k (w_{i,k+1} - w_{j,k+1})$$