Question 1

- a. Assuming that each amino acid has an equal probability of occurring, the maximum amount of information encoded in one column would be $\log_2(20)$, or 4.321928 bits. Since information is the decrease in uncertainty after an observation (information = uncertainty before uncertainty after an observation would equal uncertainty before , or $\log_2(M)$.
- b. The underlying assumption would be that all the amino acids are equally probable.
- c. i) False. A decrease in uncertainty would lead to an increase in information
 - ii) False. Maximum uncertainty occurs when symbols are equally probable
 - iii) False. information is gained, or there is less uncertainty, only after an observation is Made
 - iv) False. Entropy in information theory is the uncertainty before an observation. Uncertainty decreases when information increases
 - v) True. Less uncertainty after an observation is due to the information gained

Question 2

Output when run with quoll and numbat sequences (word size=3 and threshold=10):

Yes it is likely these proteins are orthologs. Even though the alignment isn't perfect, the substitutions that do occur are mainly among amino acids that are found in the same family. For example, changes between valine and leucine are accepted because they share chemical properties, they're hydrophobic. If chemical properties of the sequences are conserved, their

functions would be similar. And since they came from related organisms, it is possible they're orthologous.

The word size and neighborhood threshold affect the sensitivity of the search. Decreasing either of them yields more results.

Question 3

- c. Yes the .cdt file works in Java TreeView
- d. It is useful to view the same data with different methods because each may provide a unique perspective when analyzing the data. It depends on the data, single linkage is affected more by extreme values while average linkage is not. For example, the shortest distance between two nodes may be due to outliers in the data, which would result in a proxy that doesn't accurately reflect the true distance between the nodes. For this reason average linkage may be a better option.

The complete linkage tree seems to be balanced better. The single linkage tree seems to be more convoluted.

Comparison of the resulting tree views for ratiodata.txt using both single linkage and complete linkage:

Single linkage:

GENE8X GENE11X GENE 2X GENE12X GENE 5X GENE 3X GENE7X GENE9X GENE 10X GENE 15X GENE13X GENE 1X GENE 6X GENEOX GENE 4X

GENE 14X

Complete linkage:

