

# A brief overview of simply-typed $\lambda$ -calculus

---

Renato Neves



Universidade do Minho



# Table of Contents

Roadmap

Stripping (higher-order) programming to the essentials

# The four chapters of this course

1. CCS and its semantics: focus on distributed systems comprised of processing units that **communicate** with each other
2. Adaption of previous notions to **real-time** systems: semantics via timed labelled transition systems
3. Going **cyber-physical**: simple imperative while-language and (as usual) program analysis via its semantics
4. Programming with **algebraic effects**: a **uniform** approach to the previous chapters

# Overview

Modern programming typically involves different effects

- memory cell manipulation
- communication
- exception raising operations
- probabilistic operations
- real-time behaviour
- cyber-physical behaviour

In the following lectures we will study the mathematical foundations of

Programming with effects
--------------------------

in a uniform way

# Table of Contents

Roadmap

Stripping (higher-order) programming to the essentials

# Deductive Reasoning

The process of reasoning via **assumptions** and **logical rules** to obtain new knowledge: for example ...

if every crow is black and  $x$  is a crow then  $x$  is black

Deductive reasoning has been studied in the last millenia, Aristotle being one of the fathers ...

long before the age of artificial computers

So what does it have to do with programming?

# A Logical Rule-Based System for Deductive Reasoning pt. I

Let  $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C} \dots$  denote **propositions** (i.e. a property or a statement) and  $1$  denote a special proposition that always holds. Next, if  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  are propositions then:



- $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}$  is a proposition – it denotes the conjunction of  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$
- $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is a proposition – it says that  $\mathbb{A}$  implies  $\mathbb{B}$

# A Logical Rule-Based System for Deductive Reasoning pt. II

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a list of propositions.  $\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}$  means “if the propositions in  $\Gamma$  hold then we deduce that  $\mathbb{A}$  also holds”

$$\frac{\mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}} \text{ (ass)} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 1} \text{ (trv)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}} \text{ } (\pi_1) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}} \text{ } (\pi_2)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}} \text{ (prd)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \mathbb{A} \vdash \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}} \text{ (cry)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}} \text{ (app)}$$

## Exercise

Show that  $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \vdash \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}$



# Building New Rules from the Original Ones

The following rules are derivable from the previous system

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma, B \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Delta \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Delta \vdash C}$$

## Exercise

Prove that  $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C \vdash A \rightarrow C$  and also that  $A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C \vdash A \rightarrow B \times C$ . Are these deductions familiar?

Going back to programming ...

# The Essentials of Programming

In order to study effectful programming, we should think of what are the **basic features** of (higher-order) programming ...

- variables
- function application
- function abstraction
- pairing ...

and base our study on the **simplest programming language** containing these features ...

Simply-typed $\lambda$ -calculus
----------------------------------

It is the basis of **Haskell**, ML, Eff, F#, Agda, Elm and many other programming languages

# Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

Types  $\mathbb{A} \ni 1 \mid \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \mid \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$

$\Gamma$  is now a **non-repetitive** list of typed variables  $x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \dots x_n : \mathbb{A}_n$

Programs are built according to the previous **deduction rules**

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{x : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \mathbb{A}} \text{ (ass)} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash * : 1} \text{ (triv)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 V : \mathbb{A}} \text{ (\pi}_1\text{)} \\[2ex] \frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \quad \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \langle V, U \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}} \text{ (prd)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash V : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}} \text{ (cry)} \\[2ex] \frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \quad \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash V U : \mathbb{B}} \text{ (app)} \end{array}$$

## Examples of $\lambda$ -terms

$x : \mathbb{A} \vdash x : \mathbb{A}$  (identity)

$x : \mathbb{A} \vdash \langle x, x \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$  (duplication)

$x : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \vdash \langle \pi_2 x, \pi_1 x \rangle : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}$  (swap)

$f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}, g : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. g(f x) : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (composition)

### Exercise

Build a  $\lambda$ -term  $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}, g : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \vdash ? : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{C}$  that pairs the outputs given by  $f$  and  $g$

# Semantics for Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

We wish to assign a **mathematical meaning** to  $\lambda$ -terms

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket: \lambda\text{-Terms} \longrightarrow \dots$$

so that we can reason about them in a rigorous way, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

# Semantics for Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

We wish to assign a **mathematical meaning** to  $\lambda$ -terms

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket: \lambda\text{-Terms} \longrightarrow \dots$$

so that we can reason about them in a rigorous way, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

This is the goal of the next slides: we will study how to interpret  $\lambda$ -terms as **functions**. But first . . .

## Basic Facts about Functions

For every set  $X$ , there is a ‘trivial’ function

$$! : X \longrightarrow \{\star\} = 1, \quad !(x) = \star$$

We can always pair two functions  $f : X \rightarrow A$ ,  $g : X \rightarrow B$  into

$$\langle f, g \rangle : X \rightarrow A \times B, \quad \langle f, g \rangle(x) = (f\ x, g\ x)$$

Consider two sets  $X, Y$ . There exist ‘projection’ functions

$$\pi_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X, \quad \pi_1(x, y) = x$$

$$\pi_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y, \quad \pi_2(x, y) = y$$

## Basic Facts about Functions

We can always ‘curry’ a function  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  into

$$\lambda f : X \rightarrow Z^Y, \quad \lambda f(x) = (y \mapsto f(x, y))$$

Consider sets  $X, Y, Z$ . There exists an ‘application’ function

$$\text{app} : Z^Y \times Y \rightarrow Z, \quad \text{app}(f, y) = f \ y$$



# Functional Semantics for the Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

Types  $\mathbb{A}$  are interpreted as **sets**  $\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$

$$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \{\star\}$$

$$\llbracket \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket^{\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket}$$

A typing context  $\Gamma$  is interpreted as

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \times \cdots \times x_n : \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A}_1 \rrbracket \times \cdots \times \llbracket \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket$$

A  $\lambda$ -term  $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$  is interpreted as a **function**

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

# Functional Semantics for the Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

A  $\lambda$ -term  $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$  is interpreted as a function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

in the following way

$$\frac{x_i : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_i : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_i}$$

$$\frac{}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash * : 1 \rrbracket = !}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \pi_1 V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_1 \cdot f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle V, U \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \langle f, g \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash V : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \lambda f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \text{app} \cdot \langle f, g \rangle}$$

Show that the following two equations hold.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x : \mathbb{A}, y : \mathbb{B} \vdash \pi_1 \langle x, y \rangle : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket &= \llbracket x : \mathbb{A}, y : \mathbb{B} \vdash x : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket &= \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle \pi_1 V, \pi_2 V \rangle : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$