

Small-step Semantics

Renato Neves



Universidade do Minho



Outline

First steps

Second steps: small-step for a while-language

Operational semantics

How a program operates

Denotational semantics

What a program is

Axiomatic semantics

Which logical properties a program satisfies

Small-step operational semantics

How a program operates step-by-step

Example

$$\langle x := 1; x := x + 1, v \rangle \longrightarrow \langle x := x + 1, 1 \rangle \longrightarrow 2$$

A machine with an 'evaluation stack' that is processed at each step

Describes how a program operates step-by-step

Describes evaluation techniques (e.g. short-circuiting)

A basis for tracing/debugging

Foundations of concurrency, complexity, ...

Rich notions of equivalence

...

Its uses

Describes how a program operates step-by-step

Describes evaluation techniques (e.g. short-circuiting)

A basis for tracing/debugging

Foundations of concurrency, complexity, ...

Rich notions of equivalence

...

Thus an essential tool for understanding a programming language

Table of Contents

Outline

First steps

Second steps: small-step for a while-language

A propositional language

$$b ::= x \mid b \wedge b \mid \neg b$$

Every x is a proposition (*i.e.* it has either value `tt` or `ff`)

A propositional language

$$b ::= x \mid b \wedge b \mid \neg b$$

Every x is a proposition (*i.e.* it has either value `tt` or `ff`)

Can we provide a small-step semantics to this language ?

Key points

Uses a memory $\sigma : X \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ that assigns to every proposition x its truth-value $\sigma(x)$

A term b is evaluated step-by-step until a truth-value v is reached

$$\bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow v$$

Focus is on the next step (of the evaluation)

The semantics – a universe of laws

$$\frac{}{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma(x)} \text{ (var)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow v}{\langle \neg b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \neg v} \text{ (neg}_1\text{)}$$

The semantics – a universe of laws

$$\frac{}{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma(x)} \text{ (var)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow v}{\langle \neg b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \neg v} \text{ (neg}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle b', \sigma' \rangle}{\langle \neg b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \neg b', \sigma' \rangle} \text{ (neg}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow ff}{\langle b_1 \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow ff} \text{ (and}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow tt}{\langle b_1 \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle b_2, \sigma \rangle} \text{ (and}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle b'_1, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle b_1 \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle b'_1 \wedge b_2, \sigma' \rangle} \text{ (and}_3\text{)}$$

An example

$$\neg\neg x \longrightarrow ?$$

An example

$\neg\neg\mathbf{x} \longrightarrow ?$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma(\mathbf{x})} \text{ (var)}}{\langle \neg\mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \neg\sigma(\mathbf{x})} \text{ (neg}_1\text{)}}{\langle \neg\neg\mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \neg\neg\sigma(\mathbf{x})} \text{ (neg}_1\text{)}$$

Another example

$$(x \wedge b_1) \wedge b_2 \longrightarrow ?$$

If $\sigma(x) = \text{ff}$:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \text{ff}} \text{ (var)}}{\langle x \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \text{ff}} \text{ (and}_1\text{)}}{\langle (x \wedge b_1) \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \text{ff}} \text{ (and}_1\text{)}$$

Yet another example

$$(x \wedge b_1) \wedge b_2 \longrightarrow ?$$

If $\sigma(x) = \text{tt}$:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \text{tt}} (\text{var})}{\langle x \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle b_1, \sigma \rangle} (\text{and}_2)}{\langle (x \wedge b_1) \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle b_1 \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle} (\text{and}_3)$$

Now you try !

$$x \wedge \neg x \longrightarrow ?$$

$$\neg(\neg x \wedge \neg y) \longrightarrow ?$$

Provide semantics to the Boolean implication $b \Rightarrow b$

From one step to many ...

One often is uninterested on the next step ...

...and rather on the output (that the sequence of steps leads to)

From one step to many ...

One often is uninterested on the next step ...

...and rather on the output (that the sequence of steps leads to)

This multi-step transition \longrightarrow^n is defined by the rules

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow v}{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^1 v} \text{ (stp)}$$

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \mathbf{b}', \sigma' \rangle \quad \langle \mathbf{b}', \sigma' \rangle \longrightarrow^n v}{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^{n+1} v} \text{ (nxt)}$$

What's next ?

Fine, we have an operational semantics; so what ?

What's next ?

Fine, we have an operational semantics; so what ?

We can now prove cool properties about our language !!

Example (Termination)

It is always the case that $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^n v$ for some v and n

Exercise 1

Define a 'complexity function'

$$\text{compl}(x) = 1$$

$$\text{compl}(\neg b) = \text{compl}(b)$$

$$\text{compl}(b_1 \wedge b_2) = \text{compl}(b_1) + \text{compl}(b_2)$$

Show by induction that $\text{compl}(b) \geq 1$ for every b

Exercise 2

Show by induction the following implication

If $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle b', \sigma' \rangle$ then $\text{compl}(b) > \text{compl}(b')$

Exercise 3

Show by induction the following implication

$$\text{If } \langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^n v \text{ then } \text{compl}(b) \geq n$$

When the number of steps does not matter ...

One often is uninterested on the number of steps ...
...and rather just on the output

When the number of steps does not matter ...

One often is uninterested on the number of steps ...

...and rather just on the output

This multi-step transition \longrightarrow^* is defined by the rules

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow v}{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^* v} \text{ (stp)} \qquad \frac{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \mathbf{b}', \sigma' \rangle \quad \langle \mathbf{b}', \sigma' \rangle \longrightarrow^* v}{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^* v} \text{ (nxt)}$$

Exercise 4

Show by induction the following equivalence

$$\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^n v \text{ (for some } n) \text{ iff } \langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^* v$$

Table of Contents

Outline

First steps

Second steps: small-step for a while-language

A simple while-language

Arithmetic expressions

$e ::= n \mid e \cdot e \mid x \mid e + e$

Programs

$p ::= x := e \mid p ; q \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } p \text{ else } q \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } \{ p \}$

A while-language and its semantics

$$\frac{\langle e, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^* v}{\langle x := e, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma[v/x]} \text{ (asg)}$$

$$\frac{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle p ; q, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle q, \sigma' \rangle} \text{ (seq}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle p', \sigma' \rangle}{\langle p ; q, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle p' ; q, \sigma' \rangle} \text{ (seq}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^* tt}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } p \text{ else } q, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle p, \sigma \rangle} \text{ (if}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^* ff}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } p \text{ else } q, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle q, \sigma \rangle} \text{ (if}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^* ff}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } \{ p \}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^* \sigma} \text{ (wh}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow^* tt}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } \{ p \}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle p ; \text{while } b \text{ do } \{ p \}, \sigma \rangle} \text{ (wh}_1\text{)}$$

1. Define a semantics for arithmetic expressions
2. Write down the sequence of steps that originates from

$$\langle \text{while } tt \text{ do } \{ x := x + 1 \}, \sigma \rangle$$

3. Conclude that the while-language does not enjoy the termination property