Mathematics for Computer Science

José Proença & Alexandre Madeira (slides from Luis Soares Barbosa)





Propositional Calculus September-October, 2017

Calculus

Boolean expressions can be defined by

- how they are evaluated (models)
- how they can be manipulated (proofs)

A calculus is a method or process of reasoning by calculation with symbols.

Equational reasoning

Axioms i.e. boolean expressions that define basic manipulative properties of boolean operators

Inference rules

$$\frac{P=Q,Q=R}{P=R} \text{ (transitivity)}$$

$$\frac{P=Q}{E[z:=P]=E[z:=Q]} \text{(Leibniz)}$$

$$\frac{P}{P[z := Q]}$$
(substitution)

where P, Q, ... are arbitrary Boolean expressions, and p, q, r, z, ... are Boolean variables.

Equational reasoning

Equivalence

```
 \begin{array}{lll} (\equiv \mathsf{associativity}) & & ((p \equiv q) \equiv r) & \equiv & (p \equiv (q \equiv r)) \\ (\equiv \mathsf{commutativity}) & & p \equiv q & \equiv q \\ & & (\equiv \mathsf{identity}) & & \mathrm{TRUE} & \equiv & q \equiv q \end{array}
```

Equational reasoning

Negation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(FALSE definition)} & \text{FALSE} \equiv \neg \text{TRUE} \\ (\neg \text{ distributivity over} \equiv) & \neg (p \equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q \\ (\not\equiv \text{ definition)} & (p \not\equiv q) \equiv \neg (p \equiv q) \end{array}$$

De Morgan laws - from the duality meta-theorem

Equational reasoning

Disjunction

```
\begin{array}{ccccc} (\lor \ \mathsf{commutativity}) & p \lor q & \equiv & q \lor p \\ & (\lor \ \mathsf{associativity}) & (p \lor q) \lor r & \equiv & p \lor (q \lor r) \\ & (\lor \ \mathsf{idempotency}) & p \lor p & \equiv & p \\ (\lor \ \mathsf{distributivity} \ \mathsf{over} \ \equiv) & p \lor (q \equiv r) & \equiv & (p \lor q) \equiv (p \lor r) \\ & (\mathsf{excluded} \ \mathsf{middle}) & p \lor \neg p & \equiv & \mathrm{TRUE} \end{array}
```

Equational reasoning

Conjunction

(golden rule)
$$p \wedge q \equiv p \equiv q \equiv p \vee q$$

Equational reasoning

Implication

$$(\Rightarrow \text{ definition}) \qquad p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \lor q \equiv q$$
 (consequence)
$$p \Leftarrow q \equiv q \Rightarrow p$$

Example

Theorem: $\neg p \equiv q \equiv p \equiv \neg q$

```
\neg p \equiv q \equiv p \equiv \neg q
             \{ \equiv associativity, commutativity \}
      (\neg p \equiv p) \equiv (q \equiv \neg q)
             \{ \neg \text{ distributivity over} \equiv \}
      \neg(p \equiv p) \equiv \neg(q \equiv q)
              \{ \equiv \text{identity, FALSE definition } \}
      False \equiv False
= { \equiv identity }
      TRUE
```

Example

Theorem: $p \lor TRUE \equiv TRUE$

```
p \lor \text{TRUE}
= \left\{ \equiv \text{identity } \right\}
p \lor (p \equiv p)
= \left\{ \lor \text{distributivity over} \equiv \right\}
p \lor p \equiv p \lor p
= \left\{ \equiv \text{identity } \right\}
TRUE
```

Example

Theorem: $p \lor q \equiv p \lor \neg q \equiv p$

```
p \lor q \equiv p \lor \neg q \equiv p
            \{ \lor distributivity over \equiv \}
     p \lor (q \equiv \neg q) \equiv p
            \{ \neg \text{ distributivity over} \equiv \}
      p \vee \text{FALSE} \equiv p
             { theorem - exercise below }
      p \equiv p
= { \equiv identity }
      True
```

Example

```
Theorem: p \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \equiv (p \wedge q)
      p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)
            { golden rule }
      p \equiv \neg p \lor q \equiv p \lor \neg p \lor q
             \{ \equiv associativity, excluded middle \}
     p \equiv \neg p \lor q \equiv \text{True} \lor q
             { theorem above: p \lor TRUE \equiv TRUE }
      p \equiv \neg p \lor q
             { theorem above: p \lor q \equiv p \lor \neg q \equiv p }
      p \equiv p \lor q \equiv q
= { golden rule }
      p \wedge q
```

Example

Theorem: $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$

```
(p \wedge q) \wedge r
       { golden rule }
(p \equiv q \equiv p \lor q) \land r
        { golden rule }
(p \equiv q \equiv p \lor q) \equiv r \equiv ((p \equiv q \equiv p \lor q) \lor r)
        \{ \lor distributivity over \equiv \}
p \equiv q \equiv p \lor q \equiv r \equiv p \lor r \equiv q \lor r \equiv p \lor q \lor r
        \{ \equiv associativity, commutativity \}
p \equiv q \equiv r \equiv p \lor q \equiv p \lor r \equiv q \lor r \equiv p \lor q \lor r
```

Example

(cont)

```
p \equiv q \equiv r \equiv p \lor q \equiv p \lor r \equiv q \lor r \equiv p \lor q \lor r
        { golden rule }
(q \land r) \equiv p \equiv p \lor q \equiv p \lor r \equiv p \lor q \lor r
        \{ \lor distributivity over \equiv \}
(q \land r) \equiv p \equiv p \lor q \equiv p \lor (r \equiv q \lor r)
       \{ \lor distributivity over \equiv \}
(q \land r) \equiv p \equiv p \lor (q \equiv r \equiv q \lor r)
        { golden rule }
(q \wedge r) \equiv p \equiv p \vee (q \wedge r)
       { golden rule }
p \wedge (q \wedge r)
```

Example

Theorem: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \land q \equiv p$

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \land q \equiv p$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \text{ definition } \right\} \\ p \lor q \equiv q \equiv p \land q \equiv p \\ \\ = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \equiv \text{ associativity, commutativity } \right\} \\ (p \equiv q \equiv p \lor q) \equiv p \land q \\ \\ = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{golden rule } \right\} \\ p \land q \equiv p \land q \end{array} \right.$$

Example (another proof technique)

Theorem: $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor r$

```
p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor r
= { \Rightarrow definition }
      p \lor r \lor q \lor r \equiv q \lor r
             \{ \lor idempotency, \equiv associativity, commutativity \}
      p \lor a \lor r \equiv a \lor r
= { \lor distributivity over \equiv }
      (p \lor q \equiv q) \lor r
\leftarrow { weakning: p \Rightarrow p \lor q }
      p \vee q \equiv q
= { \Rightarrow definition }
      p \Rightarrow q
```

Exercises

- $p \vee \text{FALSE} \equiv p$
- $(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv p \equiv q$
- $(p \Rightarrow q) \equiv \neg p \lor q$
- $(\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land p) \equiv p \equiv q$

Resolution

Theorem:
$$(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv p \equiv q$$

$$(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow p)$$

$$= \{ \Rightarrow \text{ alternative definition } \}$$

$$(\neg p \lor q) \land (\neg q \lor p)$$

$$= \{ \land, \lor \text{ distributivity } \}$$

$$((\neg p \lor q) \land \neg q) \lor (\neg p \lor q) \land p)$$

$$= \{ \land, \lor \text{ distributivity } \}$$

$$(\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land \neg q) \lor (\neg p \land p) \lor (q \land p)$$

$$= \{ a \land \neg a \equiv \text{FALSE}, a \lor \text{FALSE} \equiv a \}$$

$$(\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land p)$$

$$= \{ \text{ Theorem: } (\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land p) \equiv p \equiv q \}$$

$$p \equiv q$$

Resolution

```
Theorem: (\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land p) \equiv p \equiv q
(\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land p)
                                { golden rule }
(\neg p \equiv \neg q \equiv (\neg p \lor \neg q)) \lor (q \land p)
                             { ∨ distributivity }
((\neg p \equiv \neg q) \lor (p \land q)) \equiv ((\neg p \lor \neg q) \lor (p \land q))
                             { de Morgan }
((\neg p \equiv \neg q) \lor (p \land q)) \equiv (\neg (p \land q) \lor (p \land q))
                                \{ \text{ excluded middle, } \equiv \text{ identity } \}
(\neg p \equiv \neg q) \lor (p \land q)
                              { \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \
(\neg p \lor (p \land q)) \equiv (\neg q \lor (p \land q))
```

Resolution

(cont)

```
(\neg p \lor (p \land q)) \equiv (\neg q \lor (p \land q))
             \{ \land, \lor \mathsf{distributivity} \}
      (\neg p \lor p) \land (\neg p \lor q) \equiv (\neg q \lor p) \land (\neg q \lor q)
              { excluded middle, TRUE \land a \equiv a }
      (\neg p \lor q) \equiv (\neg q \lor p)
              { theorem: a \lor b \equiv a \lor \neg b \equiv a }
      (\neg p \lor q) \equiv (q \lor p) \equiv p
= \{ \land, \lor distributivity \}
      q \equiv (p \vee \neg p) \equiv p
              \{ \text{ excluded middle,} \equiv \text{identity } \}
       q \equiv p
```

Resolution

Theorem: $\neg(p \land q) \equiv \neg q \lor \neg p$

$$\neg(p \land q)$$
=\begin{cases} \{ \text{ golden rule } \} \\ \nabla(q \equiv p \equiv p \leq p) \\ \q \text{ distributivity } \\ \nabla q \equiv p \text{ False } \equiv a \\ \q \text{ (False } \equiv p \\ \q \equiv (False \equiv q) \text{ } \\ \nabla q \equiv (False \equiv q) \text{ } \\ \nabla q \equiv (False \equiv q) \text{ } \\ \nabla q \equiv (False \equiv q) \text{ } \\ \nabla q \equiv (False \equiv q) \text{ } \\ \nabla q \equiv \eta \quad \text{ } \\ \nabla \equiv \equiv \\ \nabla \equiv \equiv \\ \nabla \\ \nabla \equiv \\

Resolution

(cont)