# Mathematics for Computer Science

José Proença & Alexandre Madeira (slides from Luis Soares Barbosa)





**Set theory** September-October, 2017

#### Basic concepts

Definition by enumeration and comprehension

$$\{E(x) \mid x : X \wedge R\}$$

yields the set of values that result from evaluating E[x:=v] in the state for each value  $v \in X$  such that R[x:=v] holds. The notation (similar to the one used for quantifiers) is often simplified to  $\{E(x) \mid x: X \land R\}$ 

Set membership and set equality

(membership) 
$$y \in \{E(x) \mid x \in X \land R\} \equiv \langle \exists \, x : X : R : y = E(x) \rangle$$
 (extensionality) 
$$S = T \equiv \langle \forall \, x : X :: x \in S \equiv x \in T \rangle$$

## Basic concepts

• Sets and predicates (characteristic predicate)

(characteristic) 
$$y \in \{x \mid R\} \equiv R[x := y]$$

#### Operations on sets

## Distributed operations on sets

$$(\mathcal{P}(X),\cup,\emptyset) \text{ is an Abelian monoid}$$
 
$$(\mathcal{P}(X),\cap,\mathit{UNIVERSE}) \text{ is an Abelian monoid}$$

#### Predicates and sets revisited

Exercise: point out the duality!

(implication vs subset) 
$$\{x \mid P\} \subseteq \{x \mid Q\} \equiv \langle \forall x : X :: P \Rightarrow Q \rangle$$

# Paradoxes in set theory

$$S = \{x \mid x \notin x\}$$

i.e. the set of all sets which do not contain themselves as elements:  $S \in S \equiv S \notin S$ 

#### Parodox of Zermelo-Russell (1901)

- Variants (e.g. the barber paradox; this statement is false), etc.
- self-referencial phenomena vs types