Quantum Computation

Finding the period of a function (Simon's algorithm and its generalisation)

Luís Soares Barbosa & Renato Neves







MSc Physics Engineering

Universidade do Minho, 2024-25



Simon's problem

The problem

Let $f: 2^n \longrightarrow 2^n$ be such that for some $s \in 2^n$,

$$f(x) = f(y)$$
 iff $x = y$ or $x = y \oplus s$

Find s.

Exercise

What characterises f if s = 0? And if $s \neq 0$?

Simon's problem

Exercise

- f is bijective if s = 0, because $y \oplus 0 = y$.
- f is two-to-one otherwise ,because, for a given s there is only a pair of values x, y such that $x \oplus y = s$.

Let us assume f to be two-to-one, and rewrite the problem as follows:

Equivalent formulation as a period-finding problem

Determine the period s of a function f periodic under \oplus :

$$f(x \oplus s) = f(x)$$

Simon's problem

Example

Let $f: 2^3 \longrightarrow 2^3$ be defined as

Χ	f(x)
000	101
001	010
010	000
011	110
100	000
101	110
110	101
111	010

Cleary s = 110. Indeed, every output of f occurs twice, and the bitwise XOR of the corresponding inputs gives s.

Compute f for sequence of values until finding a value x_j such that $f(x_i) = f(x_i)$ for a previous x_i , i.e. a colision. Then

$$x_i \oplus x_i = x_i \oplus (x_i \oplus s) = s$$

- Since f is two-to-one, after collecting 2^{n-1} evaluations with no collisions, the next evaluation must cause a collision.
- So in the worst case $2^{n-1} + 1$ evaluations are needed.

Can we do better?

Actually, some problems for which there is a quantum exponential advantage, admit classical probabilisitic interesting solutions, e.g. To solve Deutsch-Josza with some margin of error evaluate two arbitrary inputs \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{y} ,

- $f(x) = f(y) \Longrightarrow \text{constant}$
- $f(x) \neq f(y) \Longrightarrow$ balanced

Probability of giving the right answer?

- f is constant \Longrightarrow right answer with probability 1
- f is balanced \implies right answer with probability $\frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2}$

which can still be improved:

Tackling Deutsch-Josza with Probabilities

To solve the problem with some margin of error evaluate k arbitrary inputs x_1, \ldots, x_k ,

- ullet output always the same \Longrightarrow constant
- otherwise ⇒ balanced

Probability of giving the right answer?

- f is constant \Longrightarrow right answer with probability 1
- f is balanced \Longrightarrow right answer with probability . . .

$$1 - \left(\frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n}\right)^k = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}$$

Probability of observing the same output in k tries

Actually, some problems for which there is a quantum exponential advantage, admit classical probabilisitic interesting solutions, e.g.

Deutsch-Joza

- Classical deterministic: requires $2^{n-1} + 1$ queries in the worst case,
- Classical probabilisitic: requires 2 queries with a probability of error at most $\frac{1}{3}$ (i.e. $1\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2}$)
- Quantum: requires 1 query.

However, for the Simon's problem an exponential number of queries to the oracle accessing f are required by any classical probabilisitic algorithm.

Compute f for sequence of values until finding a value x_j such that $f(x_i) = f(x_i)$ for a previous x_i , i.e. a colision. Then

$$x_j \oplus x_i = x_i \oplus (x_i \oplus s) = s$$

How many evaluations do we need to have a collision with probability p?

To have a collision with probability $p = \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we need

$$\approx \sqrt{(2 \cdot 2^n) \cdot p} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k} \cdot 2^n} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}} \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad \text{evaluations}$$

See the Birthday's problem

But a quantum algorithm solves the problem in polynomial time with probability $\approx \frac{1}{4}$

Note: The birthday problem

Seeks to determine the probability that, in a set of n randomly chosen people, at least two will share a birthday.

$$n = 23$$
 leads to $p(n) \approx 0.5$

Let the universe be U = 365 (days) and n = 23.

 U^n is the space of birthdays and $V = \frac{U!}{(U-n)!}$ (n permutations of U) the number of birthdays with no repetitions.

Then,

$$p(n) = 1 - \frac{V}{U^n} \approx 1 - 0.493 \approx 0.507$$

Heuristic for cases leading with $p(n) \le 0.5$

$$p(n) \approx \frac{n^2}{II} \Rightarrow n \approx \sqrt{2U * p(n)}$$


which yields for p(n) = 0.5, $n \approx 19$.

Simon's algorithm: The key steps

- 1. Prepare a superposition $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x\rangle + |x \oplus s\rangle)$ for some string x
- 2. Use interference to find s (indeed, to extract a string y s.t. $y \cdot s = 0$)
- 3. Repeat previous steps a sufficient number of times to obtain system of equations s.t. $y_k \cdot s = 0$
- 4. Solve the system for s using Gaussian elimination



Simon's algorithm: Preparing the superposition




$$U_f(H^{\otimes n} \otimes I) |0\rangle |0\rangle = U_f\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in 2^n} |x\rangle |0\rangle\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in 2^n} |x\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$

The state after the oracle can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}} \sum_{x \in P} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|x\rangle + |x \oplus s\rangle) |f(x)\rangle \tag{1}$$

Set P is composed of one representative of each of the 2^{n-1} sets of strings $\{x, x \oplus s\}$, into which 2^n can be partitioned.

Simon's Algorithm: Preparing the superposition



If the result of measuring the bottom qubits is f(x), then the top ones will contain superposition

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x\rangle + |x \oplus s\rangle)$$

as they are the unique values yielding f(x).

i.e. a measurement of the bottom qubits chooses randomly one of the 2^{n-1} possible outcomes of f ...

as f gives the same output for x and $x \oplus s$, to 2^n possible inputs correspond 2^{n-1} possible outcomes.

$$H^{\otimes n}$$

Recall

$$H|x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{z \in 2} (-1)^{xz} |z\rangle$$

Exercise

Show this extends to a *n*-qubit as follows

$$H^{\otimes n}|x\rangle = H|x_1\rangle H|x_2\rangle \cdots H|x_n\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{z \in 2^n} (-1)^{x \cdot z} |z\rangle$$

where x.z denotes the bitwise product of x and z, modulo 2, or bitwise conjunction. Conjunction is denoted by juxtaposition.

$$H^{\otimes n}|x\rangle = H|x_1\rangle H|x_2\rangle \cdots H|x_n\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{z_1 \in 2} (-1)^{x_1 z_1} |z_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{z_2 \in 2} (-1)^{x_2 z_2} |z\rangle \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{z_n \in 2} (-1)^{x_n z_n} |z_n\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n \in 2} (-1)^{x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2 + \cdots + x_n z_n} |z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{z_n \in 2} (-1)^{x_n z_n} |z_n\rangle$$

$$H^{\otimes n} \otimes I\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x\rangle + |x \oplus s\rangle)|f(x)\rangle\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{z \in 2^n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((-1)^{x \cdot z} + (-1)^{(x \oplus s) \cdot z})|z\rangle|f(x)\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{z \in 2^n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((-1)^{x \cdot z} + (-1)^{(x \cdot z) \oplus (s \cdot z)})|z\rangle|f(x)\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{z \in 2^n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((-1)^{x \cdot z} + (-1)^{(x \cdot z)}(-1)^{(x \cdot s)})|z\rangle|f(x)\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{z \in 2^n} \underbrace{(-1)^{x \cdot z}(1 + (-1)^{s \cdot z})}_{(x)} |z\rangle|f(x)\rangle$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{z \in 2^n} \underbrace{(-1)^{x \cdot z} (1 + (-1)^{s \cdot z})}_{(\star)} |z\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$

- $s \cdot z = 1 \Rightarrow (\star) = 0$ and the corresponding basis state $|z\rangle$ vanishes
- $s \cdot z = 0 \Rightarrow (\star) \neq 0$: and the corresponding basis state $|z\rangle$ is kept. In this case the probability of geting z upon measurement is $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ (why?)

This state can be presented as a uniform superposition as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{z \in 2^n} (-1)^{x \cdot z} (1 + (-1)^{s \cdot z}) |z\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{z \in S^{\perp}} 2(-1)^{x \cdot z} |z\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}} \sum_{z \in S^{\perp}} (-1)^{x \cdot z} |z\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$

where S^{\perp} , for $S = \{0, s\}$ is the orthogonal complement of subspace S, with $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n - 1$ (because $\dim(S) = 1$, as S is the subspace generated by s)


S and S^{\perp}

Both are subspaces of the vector space Z_2^n whose vectors are strings of length n over $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$.

- The dimension of Z_2^n is n; a basis is provided by strings with exactly one 1 in the kth position (for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$).
- Two vectors v, u in \mathbb{Z}_2^n are orthogonal iff $v \cdot u = 0$ (operation \cdot acts as the internal product).
- Thus, for any subspace F of Z_2^n , $F^{\perp} = \{u \in Z_2^n \mid \forall_{v \in F}. \ u \cdot v = 0\}$

Warning: to not confuse with the Hilbert space in which the algorithm is executed and whose basis are labelled by elements of \mathbb{Z}_2^n .

Simon's algorithm: The circuit



Simon's Algorithm: Computing s

Running this circuit and measuring the control register results in some z in $(Z_2)^n$ satisfying

$$s \cdot z = 0$$
,

the distribution being uniform over all the strings that satisfy this constraint.

Exercise

In the previous discussion we assumed that $s \neq 0$. Show that the conclusion above is still valid if s = 0.

Simon's Algorithm: Computing s

Thus, it is enough to repeat this procedure until n-1 linearly independent values $\{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{n-1}\}$ are found, and solve the following set of n-1 equations in n unknowns (corresponding to the bits of s):

$$z_{1} \cdot s = 0$$

$$z_{2} \cdot s = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$z_{n-1} \cdot s = 0$$

to determine s. Actually,

$$\operatorname{span}\{z_1,z_2,\cdots,z_{n-1}\}=S^{\perp}$$
 and $\{z_1,z_2,\cdots,z_{n-1}\}$ forms a base for S^{\perp}

Thus, s is the unique non-zero solution of

$$Zs = 0$$

where Z is the matrix whose line i corresponds to vector z_i .



What is the probability of obtaining such a system of equations by running the circuit n-1 times?

Simon's slgorithm: Probability of success

Exercise

If $s \neq 0$ then for half of the inputs y we have $y \cdot s = 0$ and for the other half $y \cdot s = 1$

#	Possibilities of failure at each step	Probability of failure
1	{0}	$\frac{2^0}{2^{n-1}}$
2	$\{0, y_1\}$	$\frac{2^1}{2^{n-1}}$
3	$\{0, y_1, y_2, y_1 \oplus y_2\}$	$\frac{2^2}{2^{n-1}}$
n-1	$\{0, y_1, y_2, y_3 \dots\}$	$\frac{2^{n-2}}{2^{n-1}}$

Simon's slgorithm: Probability of success

#	Possibilities of failure at each step	Probability of failure
1	{0}	$\frac{2^0}{2^{n-1}}$
2	$\{0, y_1\}$	$\frac{2^1}{2^{n-1}}$
3	$\{0, y_1, y_2, y_1 \oplus y_2\}$	$\frac{2^2}{2^{n-1}}$
n-1	$\{0, y_1, y_2, y_3 \dots\}$	$\frac{2^{n-2}}{2^{n-1}}$

Table yields the sequence of probabilities of failure,

$$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$$
 (from bottom to top)

Probability of failing in the first n-2 steps is thus

$$\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots \right) \le \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^i} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Geometric series whose sum is equal to two

Simon's algorithm: Probability of success

- Probability of succeeding in the first n-2 steps at least $\frac{1}{2}$
- Probability of succeeding in the (n-1)-th step is $\frac{1}{2}$
- Thus probability of succeeding in all n-1 steps at least $\frac{1}{4}$

More advanced maths tell that the probability is slightly higher (around 0.28878...)

The algorithm

- 1. Prepare the initial state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\sum_{x\in 2^n}|x\rangle|0\rangle$ and make i:=1
- 2. Apply the oracle U_f to obtain the state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in 2^n} |x\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$

which can be re-written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}} \sum_{x \in P} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|x\rangle + |x \oplus s\rangle) |f(x)\rangle$$

and measure the bottom qubits not strictly necessary but makes the analysis simpler.

3. Apply $H^{\otimes n}$ to the top qubits yielding a uniform superposition of elements of S^{\perp} .

The algorithm

- 4. Measure the first register and record the value observed z_i , which is a randomly selected element of S^{\perp} .
- 5. If the dimension of the span of $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_i\}$ is less than n-1, increment i and to go step 2; else proceed.
- 6. Compute s as the unique non-zero solution of

$$Zs = 0$$

The crucial observation is that the set of observed values must form a basis to S^{\perp} .

The problem

The problem

Let $f: 2^n \longrightarrow X$, for some X finite, be such that,

$$f(x) = f(y)$$
 iff $x - y \in S$

for some subspace S of \mathbb{Z}_2^n with dimension m.

Find a basis $\{s_1, s_2, \dots s_m\}$ for S.

In Simon's problem

- $x = y \oplus s$, i.e. x y = s.
- s is a basis for the space S generated by {s}.

Note

The triple $(Z_2^n, \oplus, 0)$ forms a group

Groups

A group (G, θ, u) is a set G with a binary operation θ which is associative, and equipped with an identity element u and an inverse:

$$a^{-1}\theta a = u = a\theta a^{-1}$$

Each set $\{x, x \oplus s\}$ in (1) is a coset of subgroup $S = (\{0, s\}, \oplus, 0)$

Coset

The coset of a subgroup S of a group (G, θ) wrt $g \in G$ is

$$gS = \{g\theta s \mid s \in S\}$$

In this case

$$xS = \{x \oplus 0, x \oplus s\} = \{x, x \oplus s\}$$

Generalised Simon's algorithm

If $S = \{0, y_1, \dots, y_{2^m-1}\}$ is a subspace of dimension m of \mathbb{Z}_2^n , \mathbb{Z}_2^n can be decomposed into \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-m} cosets of the form

$$\{x, x \oplus y_1, x \oplus y_2, \cdots, x \oplus y_{2^m-1}\}$$

Then Step 2 yields

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{x \in 2^{n}} |x\rangle |f(x)\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-m}}} \sum_{x \in P} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m}}} (|x\rangle + |x \oplus y_{1}\rangle + |x \oplus y_{2}\rangle + \dots + x \oplus y_{2^{m}-1}) |f(x)\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-m}}} \sum_{x \in P} |x + S\rangle |f(x)\rangle \end{split}$$

where P be a subset of 2^n consisting of one representative of each 2^{n-m} disjoint cosets, and

$$|x + S\rangle = \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m}} |s\rangle$$

Generalised Simon's algorithm

- In step 4 the first register is left in a state of the form $|x + S\rangle$ for a random x.
- After applying the Hadamard transformation, the first register contains a uniform superposition of elements of S^{\perp} and its measurement yields a value sampled uniformly at random from S^{\perp} .

This leads to the revised algorithm:

- 5. If the dimension of the span of $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_i\}$ is less than n m, increment i and to go step 2; else proceed.
- 6. Compute the system of linear equations

$$Zs = 0$$

and let s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m be the generators of the solution space. They form the envisaged basis.

The hidden subgroup problem

The group S is often called the hidden subgroup.

The (generalised) Simon's algorithm is an instance of a much general scheme, leading to exponential advantage, known as

The hidden subgroup problem

Let (G, θ, u) be a group and $f: G \longrightarrow X$ for some finite set X with the following property:

f is constant on cosets of S and distinct on different cosets

i.e.

there is a subgroup S of G such that for any $x, y \in G$,

$$f(x) = f(y)$$
 iff $x\theta S = y\theta S$

Characterise 5.