

# *How to Calculate and Report Effect Sizes*

Aaron R. Caldwell

2020-05-26

## *Introduction*

In our manuscript, we argued that sport and exercise scientists should avoid the temptation of reporting a “default” effect size. Instead, we suggested that the effect size be chosen on a case-by-case basis and reported in a way that facilitates the appropriate communication of study results. With that said, we realize many sport scientists may not know how to calculate these effect sizes in an efficient manner. Therefore, we have written this document to show how **R** [R Core Team, 2019] can be used for these calculations.

## *Loading the Right Tools*

None of the following packages are ‘necessary’, and all of these analyses can be performed in ‘base’ R. But, I use these packages to make the analysis pipeline simpler and a little ‘cleaner’ too. In total, I need the **tidyverse** [Wickham et al., 2019], **boot** [Canty and Ripley, 2019], **broom** [Robinson and Hayes, 2019], and **MASS** [Venables and Ripley, 2002] packages. You will likely not need **MASS** or **broom** for your own analyses, but it is necessary for this document in order to create a simulated dataset (**MASS**) and make the tables in the document (**broom**).

```
#LOAD PACKAGES
```

```
library(tidyverse)
library(boot)
library(MASS)
library(broom)
```

## *Generate Some Data*

Okay, now I can generate data similar to what we used in the manuscript. First, let us create an “Interpretatable Raw Differences” example. In this example, we have a pre-to-post design of athletes where we are measuring  $\dot{V}O_2$  (L·min<sup>-1</sup>). Further, we assume we are sampling from a population with a standard deviation of 0.2, pre-post correlation of 0.9, and a mean increase of 0.3 (L·min<sup>-1</sup>).

```
set.seed(20200303)
var_raw = .2^2
cor_raw = matrix(c(1,.8,.8,1),nrow=2)
Sigma_raw = cor_raw*var_raw
```

```

df_raw = mvrnorm(
  n = 10,
  Sigma = Sigma_raw,
  mu = c(3.9, 4.1)
) %>%
as.data.frame() %>%
rename(pre = V1,
        post = V2)

#Add a change score column
df_raw = df_raw %>% mutate(pre = round(pre,2),
                           post = round(post,2)) %>%
  mutate(diff = post-pre)

```

Table 1: Raw Differences Data

pre	post	diff
3.85	4.15	0.30
3.75	4.11	0.36
4.03	4.14	0.11
3.95	4.08	0.13
4.22	4.40	0.18
4.20	4.11	-0.09
3.74	3.99	0.25
4.13	4.17	0.04
3.98	4.05	0.07
4.05	4.20	0.15

Now, we want to create an “Uninterpretable Raw Differences” dataset. This time we are creating a dummy dataset of VAS scores with 30 ‘point’ reduction, with a standard deviation of 25, and correlation of 0.7.

```

set.seed(20201113)

var_stan = 25^2
cor_stan = matrix(c(1,.7,.7,1),nrow=2)
Sigma_stan = cor_stan*var_stan
df_stan = mvrnorm(
  n = 10,
  Sigma = Sigma_stan,
  mu = c(50,25)
) %>%
as.data.frame() %>%

```

```

rename(pre = V1,
       post = V2)

#Add a change score column
df_stan = df_stan %>% mutate(pre = round(pre,2),
                             post = round(post,2)) %>%
  mutate(diff = post-pre)

```

Table 2: Standardized Differences Data

pre	post	diff
12.32	-11.38	-23.70
43.37	13.83	-29.54
19.95	36.84	16.89
72.90	27.84	-45.06
15.75	-9.23	-24.98
54.57	1.96	-52.61
25.51	-23.94	-49.45
60.76	22.24	-38.52
37.29	19.39	-17.90
65.86	15.61	-50.25

### *The Simple Analysis*

Now that we have our data, we can perform a simple *t*-test on the difference scores for both datasets.

```

t_raw = t.test(df_raw$diff)
knitr::kable(tidy(t_raw),caption="Raw Differences t-test",
             digits = 4)

```

Table 3: Raw Differences t-test

estimate	statistic	p.value	parameter	conf.low	conf.high	method	alternative
0.15	3.6075	0.0057	9	0.0559	0.2441	One Sample t-test	two.sided

```

t_stan = t.test(df_stan$diff)
knitr::kable(tidy(t_stan),caption="Standardized Differences t-test",
             digits = 4)

```

Table 4: Standardized Differences t-test

estimate	statistic	p.value	parameter	conf.low	conf.high	method	alternative
-31.512	-4.7349	0.0011	9	-46.5672	-16.4568	One Sample t-test	two.sided

Okay, so we now have our  $t$ -statistics,  $p$ -values, mean differences, and confidence intervals. Pretty painless to get this far, but maybe we want (or need) to get the standardized mean difference (SMD) and common language effect sizes (CLES).

### *Let's Bootstrap*

While it is easy to calculate the SMD or CLES in R, it is quite another thing to calculate their confidence intervals (CI). Unlike the mean difference, the formulae for the CI of the SMD are convoluted (see Hedges [1981]). Thus, here, we rely on the bootstrap to generate CIs.<sup>1</sup>

First, we must create a function to calculate all the SMD statistics we would like to obtain.

```
# function to obtain R-Squared from the data
SMD <- function(data, indices) {
  d <- data[indices,] # allows boot to select sample
  sd_pre = sd(d$pre, na.rm = TRUE)
  sd_post = sd(d$post, na.rm = TRUE)
  sd_av = (sd_pre+sd_post)/2
  m_diff = mean(d$diff, na.rm = TRUE)
  sd_diff = sd(d$diff, na.rm = TRUE)
  cor_prepost = cor(d$pre,d$post,
                    method = "pearson")
  dz = m_diff/sd_diff
  dav = m_diff/sd_av
  glass = m_diff/sd_pre
  drm = m_diff/sqrt((sd_pre^2+sd_post^2)-(2*cor_prepost*sd_pre*sd_post))*sqrt(2*(1-cor_prepost))
  CLES = pnorm(dz)
  result = c(dz,drm,dav,glass,CLES)
}
```

<sup>1</sup> There are some formula for calculating the standard error, and therefore confidence intervals, of SMDs. Many of these calculations are contained in a review by Nakagawa and Cuthill [2007]. However, the required formula is dependent on the SMD being chosen. For example, the SE calculation differs from Cohen's  $d$  and Hedges'  $g$ , despite the later being a corrected version of the former. To avoid potential miscalculations, we strongly advocate for the use of bootstrapping methods.

Second, we can start bootstrapping these statistics. To do so, we select each value based on their index (1-5) from the function above. We must also specify the *type* of bootstrap CI. In this case, I have decided to report the bias-corrected and accelerated (BCa) intervals as they will likely provide our most accurate estimate.

```

raw_boot = boot(df_raw, SMD, R = 2000)
#Extract the values
dz_raw = boot.ci(raw_boot, type="bca", index=1)
drm_raw = boot.ci(raw_boot, type="bca", index=2)
dav_raw = boot.ci(raw_boot, type="bca", index=3)
glass_raw = boot.ci(raw_boot, type="bca", index=4)
CLES_raw = boot.ci(raw_boot, type="bca", index=5)

#Repeat for the standardized dataset
stan_boot = boot(df_stan, SMD, R = 2000)
dz_stan = boot.ci(stan_boot, type="bca", index=1)
drm_stan = boot.ci(stan_boot, type="bca", index=2)
dav_stan = boot.ci(stan_boot, type="bca", index=3)
glass_stan = boot.ci(stan_boot, type="bca", index=4)
CLES_stan = boot.ci(stan_boot, type="bca", index=5)

```

From these statistics, we can extract the effect size estimate by calling on the \$t0 part of the saved object.

For example:

```

dz_raw$t0

## [1] 1.140795

```

And the upper limit and lower limit of the 95% CI can also be found in the dz\_raw\$bca object. The last and second-to-last numbers represent the upper limit and lower limit of the 95% CI.

```

dz_raw$bca

##      conf
## [1,] 0.95 4.33 1824.97 0.2679737 2.011248

```

Finally, we can put all of the SMD calculations in a summary table. Note, the effect sizes in the 2nd table are negative; that is because there was a decrease from pre-to-post, and the CLES should be reported as  $1 - CLES$  from the table.

Table 5: Effect Sizes for ‘Raw’ Differences Dataset

Effect Size	Estimate	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
d(z)	1.1408	0.2680	2.0112
d(rm)	0.9688	0.4511	1.6086
d(av)	1.0689	0.4581	1.7875
Glass’s Delta	0.8771	0.3087	1.3134
CLES	0.8730	0.5740	0.9761

Table 6: Effect Sizes for ‘Standardized’ Differences Dataset

Effect Size	Estimate	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
d(z)	-1.4973	-3.1976	-0.4122
d(rm)	-1.5140	-2.4391	-0.7780
d(av)	-1.5235	-2.3101	-0.6902
Glass’s Delta	-1.4297	-2.1534	-0.6963
CLES	0.0672	0.0007	0.3649

## References

- Angelo Canty and B. D. Ripley. *boot: Bootstrap R (S-Plus) Functions*, 2019. R package version 1.3-24.
- Larry V. Hedges. Distribution theory for glass's estimator of effect size and related estimators. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, 6(2):107–128, June 1981. DOI: 10.3102/10769986006002107. URL <https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986006002107>.
- Shinichi Nakagawa and Innes C. Cuthill. Effect size, confidence interval and statistical significance: a practical guide for biologists. *Biological Reviews*, 82(4):591–605, Nov 2007. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-185x.2007.00027.x. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185x.2007.00027.x>.
- R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2019. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- David Robinson and Alex Hayes. *broom: Convert Statistical Analysis Objects into Tidy Tibbles*, 2019. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=broom>. R package version 0.5.3.
- W. N. Venables and B. D. Ripley. *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. Springer, New York, fourth edition, 2002. URL <http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/MASS4>. ISBN 0-387-95457-0.
- Hadley Wickham, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D’Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Golemund, Alex Hayes, Lionel Henry, Jim Hester, Max Kuhn, Thomas Lin Pedersen, Evan Miller, Stephan Milton Bache, Kirill Müller, Jeroen Ooms, David Robinson, Dana Paige Seidel, Vitalie Spinu, Kohske Takahashi, Davis Vaughan, Claus Wilke, Kara Woo, and Hiroaki Yutani. Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43):1686, 2019. DOI: 10.21105/joss.01686.